

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Ethan Ridd
Title of Project:	Comparing the performance of routing protocols on mpls
Supervisor:	Dr Corlene Campbell
2nd marker:	Dr Captain Roddie Harrison
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	
Excellent project. Room to improve on few areas but as L6 project it is a very ^{good} quality.	72%
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark	
Agreed	74%

Signature: Date:

[Signature]

01/05/2018

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	3 /5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	15 /20	/15

Comments: clear & concise project background would help understand the idea behind the project. Aim & objectives were clearly explained. An explanation on why only reactive routing protocols are compared would be good. Good related work section, more analysis or critical evaluation would add strength to the discussion.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	3 /5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments: more generic, be more specific to project. why simulation and why net sim? no discussion or justification.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	18/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	5/10	/10

Comments: A cross reference to lit review finding of the results would be an excellent way to justify your methodology or outcome. Also would help you critically evaluate the outcome. Comparing more reality protocols would also add strength to the project. Conclusion is weak, done a survey of each chapters, but failed to stress on project outcome and how aim & objectives were achieved.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	12/15	-

Comments: Good design bit more discussion on how the nodes were chosen would be good.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	8 /10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	8 /10	/10
Comments: A very good reflection. Detailed all the positives & negatives faced during the process. Excellent report structure. There are few minor typos, structure or format error. May be bit more time to proof read will remove those errors.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100