

LEVEL 4 ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Student name:		Student ID number:	
Programme:	BA Primary Education with QTS		
Module:	ESDGC, Science and the wider curriculum		
Module code:	ECAD4006	Contribution to Overall Module Assessment (%):	100%
Lecturer:	Sioned V Hughes	Internal Verifier:	Sioned V Hughes
Assignment Title:	Following your visit to the National Botanic Garden of Wales or a similar setting, prepare a suitable resource and explain how you would use the resource to support identified aspects of the delivery of Science, ESDGC and the wider curriculum.	Word count (or equivalent):	Equivalent to 3000 words
Submission deadline:	23.11.2018	Return date of provisional marks & written feedback:	21.12.2018
Submission method:	All written assessments, where practical and possible, must be submitted via Turnitin unless otherwise instructed by the Lecturer. (Please DO NOT put this assessment specification into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.) Alternative submission method (if applicable): Late submission of the assessment will result in a late penalty mark. Penalties for late submission: Up to one week late, maximum mark of 40%. Over one week late, 0%. Only the Extenuating Circumstances Panel may approve a change to submission dates.		
Academic honesty / referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

Module Learning Outcomes (from module syllabus)

- Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the rationale and curriculum guidance for teaching ESDGC in the primary curriculum in Wales
- Recognise the particular contribution of science and the wider curriculum in promoting ESDGC and the 'four purposes of the Curriculum in Wales'
- Plan effectively for the teaching of ESDGC in science, cross-curricular themes and wider curriculum contexts
- Identify and evaluate appropriate learning and teaching strategies (pedagogies) for promoting ESDGC through science and the wider curriculum.

TASK DESCRIPTION

TITLE: Following your visit to the National Botanic Garden of Wales or a similar setting, prepare a suitable **resource** and **explain** how you would use the resource to support identified aspects of the delivery of Science, ESDGC and the wider curriculum (e.g. Geography).

Word Count: Equivalent to 3000 words

This assignment requires you to create a resource (lesson plans) and an explanation of how you would use the resource to support identified aspects of ESDGC, Science and the wider curriculum in the primary school. You should also demonstrate your knowledge and understanding of why ESDGC (sustainable issues and citizenship) should be included in the teaching and learning.

In particular, you should:

- Undertake research into the background and importance of teaching and learning ESDGC (Education for Sustainable Development and Global Citizenship) in the primary school
- Demonstrate your understanding of planning lessons for learners in the Foundation Phase
- Demonstrate your understanding of planning lessons for learners in Key Stage 2
- Explain how your resource (lesson plans) would support the teaching and learning of specific aspects of ESDGC, science and the wider curriculum.

Assignment Structure

[RESOURCE] = LESSON PLANS

- **6 session/lesson plans:**
 - **3 lesson plans** (focus tasks) for the **Foundation Phase** and **3 lesson plans** for **Key Stage 2**
 - At least **one science** lesson plan in **Foundation Phase** and **one science lesson** in **Key Stage 2**.
 - The other 4 session/lesson plans should focus on the **wider curriculum**, e.g. geography, RE, Art and Design, Creative Development, etc.
 - You **may choose a theme** for your resource, e.g. 'habitats'. You may also choose a different theme for FP and KS2 plans.

- In **all lesson/session plans** you need to indicate **how** particular **ESDGC themes** are being promoted in your lessons, e.g. the theme 'Natural Environment' in a science lesson – food chains. (**DCELLS (2008) *ESDGC: Common Understanding for School*. Cardiff: WAG)
- In all lesson/sessions, you need to show how **literacy/numeracy/digital competency skills** are developed as and when appropriate.
- You may include examples of **activities/cards/worksheets** to support your lesson plans in an Appendix with your resource.
- You may present your resource (i.e. lesson plans) as a portfolio/activity book.

[EXPLANATION]

Introduction (approximately 50 words)

In the introduction you should show that you understand the title of the assignment and set out what you intend to discuss, i.e. the importance of ESDGC and an explanation of how you would use your created resource (lesson plans) to teach ESDGC, Science and the wider curriculum in the primary school.

ESDGC in the primary school

For this session you need to demonstrate your knowledge and understanding of the following:

- Definition of ESDGC – refer to different definitions of ESDGC
- The historical background of teaching and learning ESDGC within the primary curriculum
- Explanation of why should we teach ESDGC in the primary school, e.g. Wellbeing for Future Generations Act (Wales) 2015; Donaldson (2015) '4 purpose of the Curriculum for Wales'; Estyn report (2014); etc.
- 7 ESDGC themes
- You must support your explanation and ideas with further references, e.g. books, articles, documents, etc.***

Explanation of your resource (approximately 950 words)

In this session you need to explain how your resource (lesson plans) promote the the teaching and learning of ESDGC, Science and the wider curriculum:

- A brief introduction to your resource, e.g. explanation for your choice of subjects/areas of learning; theme(s); any information relevant to learners prior to using the resource (when and where will the session/lesson plans take place, e.g. prior/during/after the visit)
- How do your lessons promote some of the ESDGC themes (e.g. Consumption and Waste)
- How are specific aspects of the curriculum being delivered through the lessons, e.g. scientific skills; mapping skills; etc; subject specific knowledge and understanding/concepts, e.g. habitats, food chains, etc.
- Opportunities to promote literacy, numeracy, ICT skills, Y Cwricwlwm Cymreig, etc.
- You must support your explanation and ideas with further references, e.g. books, articles, documents, etc.***

Conclusion (approximately 50 words)

- Briefly summarise the important ideas you have discussed in your work and draw conclusions based upon the ideas you have presented. It is always useful to link your conclusions back to the assignment title and learning outcomes.

GUIDANCE FOR STUDENTS IN THE COMPLETION OF TASKS

NOTE: The guidance offered below is linked to the five generic assessment criteria overleaf.

1. Engagement with Literature Skills

Your work must be informed and supported by scholarly material that is *relevant* to and *focused* on the task(s) set. You should provide evidence that you have accessed an appropriate *range* of sources, which may be academic, governmental and industrial; these sources may include academic journal articles, textbooks, current news articles, organisational documents, and websites. You should consider the *credibility* of your sources; academic journals are normally highly credible sources while websites require careful consideration/selection and should be used sparingly. Any sources you use should be current and up-to-date, mostly published within the last five years or so, though seminal/important works in the field may be older. You must provide *evidence* of your research/own reading throughout your work, using a suitable referencing system, including in-text citations in the main body of your work and a reference list at the end of your work.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

Use the essential and recommended readings in the module handbook and the articles/notes/documents placed on Moodle to support and enrich your explanation. You might also use other relevant sources independently. Make sure you reference by following the University referencing guide (Harvard). Welsh Government or Estyn documentation and publications in relation to the developing curriculum could also be useful.

2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills

At level 4, you should be able to demonstrate knowledge of the underlying concepts and principles associated with your area(s) of study. *Knowledge* relates to the facts, information and skills you have acquired through your learning. You demonstrate your *understanding* by interpreting the meaning of the facts and information (knowledge). This means that you need to select and include in your work the concepts, techniques, models, theories, etc. appropriate to the task(s) set. You should be able to explain the theories, concepts, etc. to show your understanding. Your mark/grade will also depend upon the *extent* to which you demonstrate your knowledge and understanding.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

In your explanation you need to include definitions of ESDGC (Education for Sustainable Development and Global Citizenship); historical development of the ESDGC within the curriculum; the importance of ESDGC in the current and future curriculum; ESDGC themes. You should also demonstrate your knowledge and understanding of the requirements of the Foundation Phase Framework and the Key Stage 2 National Curriculum.

3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

You should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data, in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of your subject(s) of study. You should be able to evaluate the appropriateness of different approaches to solving problems related to your area(s) of study and/or work. Your work must contain evidence of logical, analytical thinking. For example, to examine and break information down into parts, make inferences, compile, compare and contrast information. This means not just describing what! But also justifying: Why? How? When? Who? Where? At what cost? You should provide justification for your arguments and judgements using evidence that you have reflected upon the ideas of others within the subject area and that you are able to make sound judgements and arguments using data and concepts. Where relevant, alternative solutions and recommendations may be proposed.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

You need to support your explanation (e.g. the importance of teaching and learning ESDGC in the primary school; how your lessons help learners to develop subject specific skills and concepts, e.g. scientific concepts) with relevant literature/references, e.g. books, articles, documents, reports).

4. Practical Skills

At level 4, you should be able to apply the basic underlying concepts and principles to evaluate and interpret these within the context of your area of study. You should be able to demonstrate how the subject-related concepts and ideas relate to real world situations and/or a particular context. How do they work in practice? You will deploy models, methods, techniques, and/or theories, in that context, to assess current situations, perhaps to formulate plans or solutions to solve problems, or to create artefacts. This is likely to involve, for instance, the use of real world artefacts, examples and cases, the application of a model within an organisation and/or benchmarking one theory or organisation against others based on stated criteria.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

You should demonstrate in your lesson plans and explanation how you are able to plan effectively to teach and develop learners' knowledge and understanding of ESDGC through science, cross-curricular topics and wider curriculum contexts. In your lesson plans you should note clearly the learning outcomes; references to FP/KS2 skills/range; the learning activities.

5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Your work must provide evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility. This includes demonstrating: that you can communicate the results of your study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; that you can initiate and complete tasks and procedures, whether individually and/or collaboratively; fluency of expression; clarity and effectiveness in presentation and organisation. Work should be coherent and well-structured in presentation and organisation.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

In your explanation you should show that you are able to present your own points, thoughts and reflections in a coherent and clear written assignment which is well presented and well written. As a possible future teacher you should ensure that the work is grammatically correct, with professional, precise and accurate use of language. You should use the correct sub-headings when writing your lesson plans.

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

This section details the *extent* to which the assessment criteria are demonstrated by you, which in turn determines your mark. The marks available for each category of skill are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Generic Assessment Criteria	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills	20	
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20	
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20	
4. Practical Application Skills	20	
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20	

Assessment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		%
	Up to 1 week late (40% Max)		
	Over 1 week late (0%)		

GENERIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Level 4

In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 4 students will be expected to have demonstrated knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of a subject, and an ability to evaluate and interpret these within the context of that area of study. They should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of their subject(s) of study. They will have learned how to evaluate different approaches to solving problems, and will be able to communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments. They will be able to undertake further training and develop new skills within a structured and managed environment and will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources but with some reliance on information gained through class contact. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts rather than other sources. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Generally sound referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. Consistently accurate application of referencing.	Exceptional engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. High-level referencing skills consistently applied.
Knowledge and understanding (Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of the)	Major gaps in knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles of the	Gaps in knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles, with flawed or superficial	Limited knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles within	Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles is accurate with a good	Competent knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles. Exhibits very	Excellent knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Exceptional, detailed knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
principles of a subject.)	subject matter. Inclusion of irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies.	understanding. Some significant inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material.	the subject area. Some elements may be missing.	understanding of the field of study but lacks depth and/or breadth.	good understanding.	principles of the subject.	concepts and principles
Cognitive and intellectual skills (Evaluate underlying concepts and principles of a subject and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Minimal or no use of evidence to back up views.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Weak interpretation of data, flawed development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles, tending towards description. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data but with some errors. Some evidence to support emerging arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped or with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation.	Good evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data, with minor errors. An emerging ability to use evidence to support the argument. Mostly valid arguments and logical judgements.	Sound evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately. Ability to devise arguments using evidence to make mostly appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately and with some insight. Excellent ability to devise arguments using evidence and make appropriate and valid judgements.	Exceptional evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles based evidence. Outstanding interpretation of qualitative and quantitative data. Exceptional ability to devise arguments using evidence and make wholly appropriate and valid judgements.
Practical skills (Different approaches to solving problems in particular contexts.)	Limited or no use of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application.	Rudimentary application of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and competence.	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Basic appreciation of	A good and appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of the context of the application.	A very good application of a range of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of the application.	An advanced application of a range of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is well considered, and insightful.	Exceptional levels of application and deployment skills in particular practical contexts. Outstanding identification of problems in

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
	Very weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	Flawed appreciation of the context of the application. Weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	the context of the application. Can identify problems in particular contexts and propose basic alternative approaches or solutions though there may be errors.	Good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes mostly appropriate solutions.	Very good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	Excellent evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	particular contexts and formulation of wholly appropriate, thoughtful solutions / different approaches.
Transferable skills for life and professional practice (Communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; the qualities needed for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility within a structured and managed environment.)	Work is poorly structured, disorganised, inaccurate and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively individual or as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.	Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to individual or group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some	Mostly ordered presentation and structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence and/or accuracy in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of minor weakness.	Mostly coherent, organised and accurate work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	Work is accurate, coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with just occasional minor weakness.	Work is coherent, very fluent and is presented professionally. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an excellent contribution to group activities. Demonstrates excellent skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.	Work is accurate, exceptionally coherent, very fluent and presented well. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an exceptional contribution to group activities. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
		personal responsibility.					