

Feedback	
Student's Name	PETER FRANCIS
Student's SurName	HOLDSTOCK
Student's Email	2021952@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB5029
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	40
Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible, relevant and diverse academic and industry sources for Network Automation Frameworks, Tools, API Standards and Architecture, its security and compliance policies and various resources available. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise and summarise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. Key concepts discussed. In-text citation done. The lack of academic credible literatures from peer reviewed journals, books and conference proceedings limited the discussions, critical appraisals. Could have referred to more diverse sources and materials.	19.8

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding of network automation frameworks, tools, standards, architecture and its importance. The strength of statements need to reflect clearly the underlying principles, and critical understanding of concepts with appropriate examples and adequate figures/ diagrams. Good work. Frameworks, tools, Standards and Architecture discussed well. Discussions on Saltstack, Graphql etc are good. Could have used tables/ figures to illustrate your understanding about architectures/ standards. Maybe a table with comparison of different tools could have added more value to the work. Very basic discussion on resources available for Network Programmability.	21

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating Network Automation frameworks, tools, standards and architectures. There was also a need to address similar resources (where available, what is the cost, etc), with adequate arguments and judgements. Critical review on security, compliance policies and API security and privacy required. More adequate critical analysis and evaluation of NA frameworks, tools required. More discussion on similar resources available for Network Programmability could have added value to your work helping you to make adequate arguments and judgements.	12.5

Practical Application Skills	0
Feedback	Mark
Not Applicable	0

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Could have added chapter numbers. Flow of idea is good. Few spelling and gramatical errors. (Authentication, employeeless etc)	8.8
Overall Mark	62