


Research Participation Log 2018/2019



Level 5 Research
Design and Analysis
(M5X00913)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_AO

Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_AO

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

<u>N/A</u>

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

A consent form was given to me to sign and date and explained that I would be given paper-based reports of violent incidents. I was told I must be over the age of 18 and was asked to provide my age, gender and race. I was also asked if I was able to read about violent incidents and was assured that my answers would be held completely confidential. After the study, I was handed a debrief sheet that stated the true aims of the study and the right to withdraw if I wanted to. The researchers details such as email, were also provided on the debrief sheet in case of any queries I had.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Once the questionnaire was completed the page loaded to a debrief where I was offered the researchers details to discuss the study further or ask any questions.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

The study was enjoyable and was easy enough to do online without going to the labs.

Name (PRINT): SOPHIE MATTHEWS

Sign: S.Matthews

Date: 16/01/2019

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to read a paper based timeline report of a serious violent incident that occurred that included a suspect and victim. I then had to decide what time I would have intervened during the incident and rate how serious, dangerous, and violent I felt the incident was. I was then required to do this again with a different suspect and victim.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I enjoyed rating these incidents as it showed me how much more trusting I am of a certain race when it's the same incident. I also showed myself that I would intervene early on in an incident.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was handed a debrief sheet which contained the researchers contact details to give me an opportunity to ask questions or request any further information of the study.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

The researcher could have timed the study and closed the blinds on the door as she sat outside looking in which was off-putting and I felt a little rushed.

Name (PRINT): SOPHIE MATTHEWS

Sign: Smatthews

Date:05/12/2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to fill out a questionnaire on how I felt about living with a skin condition. I was advised that the research aim was to explore coping styles and gender differences in the psychological impact of living with a skin condition.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your par-

I found answering the questions made me think about the problems with my skin which lead me to being insecure about my skin for the rest of the day. Although this was no fault of the study.

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_OH (ONLINE)

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes X No

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

As this was an online study I was provided with an online consent form that explained I will be provided with a short questionnaire on skin conditions and would need to have one to participate. Although it was an online study, I was still given the right to withdraw at anytime and assured my answers were kept confidential at all times. I was advised due to the content of the study if the topic area lead to any distress then to not continue. After the study was completed the page loaded to a debrief form that provided me with the researchers details so I could contact her if I had any issues or questions.

Note:

Study Two

Study Code: SWAN_CREDIT_CJ

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was asked to sign an informed consent before starting. I was also asked if I felt that watching a video of the bank robbery or having to pick a suspect from a line-up would be too distressing for me then I was free to withdraw from the study. I was also informed that my answers would be held confidential and that I had the right to withdraw at any time. After the study I was given a debrief form explaining the true aim of the study with the researchers details attached in case of any further questions I may have had.

Note:

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

The researcher asked me if I felt everything went ok and handed me a debrief form with her information inputted for any further questions.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I feel the study was interesting enough and no further materials would have benefitted the study.

Name (PRINT): SOPHIE MATTHEWS

Sign: Smatthews

Date:05/12/2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to watch a short video of a bank robbery and provide a description of the person committing the robbery after the video. It was then explained that I would be required to complete a crossword puzzle that would be timed for 5 minutes. And finally would have to analyse two line-ups with 8 faces and say whether the suspect was in the line-up.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I enjoyed this experience as I found even with a distractor task such as the crossword I have a good memory and feel as if I were able to choose the suspect from the line-up.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

The researcher asked if I had any questions and if the study was ok for me. She explained that the debrief form had her contact details in case I had any further questions or queries.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I felt the researcher was clear with what I was expected to do and was ensuring me she was available should I have any questions. Although, I found the crossword very difficult and struggled to answer many.

Name (PRINT): SOPHIE MATTHEWS

Sign: Smatthews

Date: 05/12/2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was required to play a balloon game online where I won fake money for guessing how many pumps a balloon would take until it 'popped'. I was then asked to fill out two short questionnaires that assessed aspects of my personality with gambling behaviour.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I enjoyed taking part in this study as I enjoyed the thrill of winning money on the game.

Study Three

Study Code: SWAN_credit_DMG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

The researcher gave me a consent form to sign and date which explained I would be completing a task online followed by two questionnaires. The researcher explained that it would be held completely confidential and I was given the right to withdraw at anytime, although, she stated the questions in the study will be based on personal gambling behaviour and if the topic would upset me I should not continue. I was then handed a debrief after the study explaining the true aim of the study.

Note: