


Research Participation Log 2018/2019



Level 5 Research
Design and Analysis
(M5X00913)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_Credit_JM

Study Code: SWAN_Credit_JM

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

I was presented with a consent form, which allowed me to have access to all of the vital information in relation to the study such what the study is attempting to explore, which in this case would be relating to suicide and that the study would take up to 30 minutes to complete. The consent form then followed with my right to withdraw myself from the study at any point. The consent form also notified me that the study will include possibly offensive and upsetting terms, numerous statements and also a video clip that I may also find disturbing. After I had a firm understanding of the study, included in the consent form, I was the presented with a signature box I was required to sign.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

__Once the study had begun, I was answering numerous questions in relation to suicide, which went into a lot of depth, all based around my beliefs and thoughts. This study included questions such as, "Do you believe people who consider suicide are brave". After completing the first questionnaire, I was then presented with a word-search (distractor-task), where I was given 1 minute to uncover as many words as possible. Once 1 minute had passed, I was then shown a suicide public campaign video which lasted approximately 2 minutes. Once the video had finished, I was then presented with the same questionnaire I completed at the beginning of the study, which I needed to complete.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your par-

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Once I had completed the study, I notified the researcher who thanked me for taking part in the study. However, I was not asked how I found the study and if I have any concerns or queries. The researcher then presented me with a debrief form which again thanked me for taking part and that if I wanted to withdraw my data immediately, I was to notify her at this point. The consent form did however contain information regarding if I had any concerns or queries regarding the study that I should contact either the researcher or her supervisor. The debrief form then ended with a list of websites available if I have any concerns about my own mental health.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

[illegible]

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical margin line, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Online Study Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

[illegible]

Note:

[illegible]

Study Two Study Code: Swan_Credit_JC1

Study Code: Swan_Credit_JC1

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Before participating in this study, I was presented with an online consent form. This contained important information regarding the study and what it is attempting to explore, which in this case would be exploring an individual's emotional responses to situations, duration of the study, 15 minutes, my rights to withdraw myself from the study at any given moment if deemed necessary. Once I had was aware of the study's purpose, I then signed and dated the online consent form.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

_Once the study had started, I was required to complete a multiple-choice questionnaire, in relation to my emotional responses to the situations provided. Before the study had started, I was not entirely sure on what the study's question would include and was not sure on what the term 'situations' was indicating. Once answering each of the questions provided, I then notified the researcher and the study had been completed.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

_During the study, the researcher covered in depth what the questionnaires would include and what I was required to do. On a personal level, this made my participation in the study much more comforting, allowing

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was then handed my debrief form, which thanked me for participating in the researcher's study and was asked if I had any questions or concerns about the study. The researcher only mentioned that there will be a second element of this study which I was to complete at the given date.

[illegible]

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I believe that the question that the study is attempting to explore could have been slightly more specific in relation to the term 'situation's'. This left me feeling confused before the study started, which may have affected my results. This could have been avoided by making the term 'situations' more specific to what the researcher was attempting to explore.

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

[illegible]

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

[illegible]

Study Four Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

☐No ☐☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Three Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Before participating in this study, I was presented with an online consent form, including the relevant information such as the studies exploration of treatments for depression, the 15-minute duration of the study, my rights to withdraw myself at any moment. Once I had understood this, I was able to click the 'read and understood' box and begin the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

_Once I had completed the questionnaires, I was then provided an online debrief form, notifying me that the study had now ended and if I had any questions or concerns in relation to the study. Once I left the lab, the researcher personally thanked me for participating and if I had any questions.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

_Despite the study being well-structured and easy to follow, the only improvement I believe this study could have had is a longer time duration. This would have allowed the researcher to explore the study's question in a far greater depth. Even though the questions provided were already thorough, including more questions would have only provided the researcher with a better understanding, allowing her results to be as accurate as possible.

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

_The study included numerous questionnaires in relation to the treatments of depression. The study's question was brief and direct, giving me a clear understanding of what the study's questions may include, and its purpose. Questions such as, 'do you think guided self-help is a good form of preventing suicidal thoughts?' allowed me to have a clear indication of what treatments the researcher was exploring.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

_my overall experience of this study was a good one. The questions were clear and had an ethical flow, allowing myself to have a firm understanding of what the study was attempting to explore. As a result of this, the