


# Research Participation Log 2018/2019



Level 5 Research  
Design and Analysis  
(M5X00913)

**Study One** Study Code: SWAN\_credit\_AMD

Study Code: SWAN\_credit\_AMD

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Ethical guidelines were followed as fully informed consent was obtained through a consent form containing information about what the study included. Information included how my identity would be anonymous, answers would be kept confidential and nothing would be traced back to me. Protection of participants was seen as it alleged that the questionnaire may cause some discomfort and allowed participants to withdraw. A debrief form was provided which cleared up any deception and provided contact details of the researcher if there were any issues or questions.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

A debrief form was presented containing information about the study and gave information on how to contact the researcher if there were any further questions. It also added that my participation would be fully confidential and that I could withdraw. However, as it was an online study I was unable to ask questions face to face.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Although the participant could print out a copy of the debrief sheet out for their own reference, if a student is accessing the study through a tablet or laptop and unable to access a printer a copy of the debrief form could be automatically emailed to the participant automatically after completion.

Name (PRINT): KRISTEN HYETT

Date: 26 November 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The study included completing a questionnaire on binge drinking. The questions were about how alcohol is included in daily life and about how many units consumed. I understand that the purpose of this study before completion about the effects of binge drinking.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

This research task was interesting. Positive aspects included making me think about how alcohol can effect behaviour. However, some questions did not relate to me and didn't include an "N/A" option so I could not fully answer the question properly.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A debrief form was presented containing information about what the study was looking at and gave contact information for the researcher if there were any further questions. It also added that my participation would be fully confidential and that I could withdraw.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

A few items which were crossed out in the questionnaire which caused distraction, therefore all items which were crossed out could be amended before the questionnaire was printed.

Name (PRINT): KRISTEN HYETT

Date: 27 November 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The questionnaire presented to me was asking about caring for a family member who suffers with dementia. Questions included asking about carer burden, social support and satisfaction with those who care for a person with dementia in their family.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The experience of this online study was much easier to complete than a lab based study as I could complete it in my own time and did not have to report to a specific place at a specific time. It also put less pressure on the answers given as it was conducted in a natural environment.

**Online Study** Study Code: SWAN Credit OB

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| N/A |  |
|-----|--|

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Ethical guidelines were followed as fully informed consent was obtained through a consent form containing information about the study. Information included how my identity would be anonymous, answers would be kept confidential and that nothing would be traced back to me. Protection of participants was seen as it provided information that the questionnaire may cause some discomfort and allowed for them to withdraw or not participate. A debrief form was provided which cleared up any deception within the study and provided contact details of the researcher if there were any issues or questions.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled sheet of paper.

**Study Two**      Study Code: SWAN\_CREDIT\_CJ

Study Code: SWAN\_CREDIT\_CJ

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| N/A |  |
|-----|--|

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Ethical guidelines were followed as fully informed consent was obtained through a consent form which contained information about what the study would contain. Information included how my identity would be anonymous, answers would be kept confidential and that nothing would be traced back to me. Protection of participants was seen as information was given that the video watched may be distressing. It allowed for participants to withdraw or not participate. A debrief form was provided which cleared up any deception and provided contact details of the researcher if there were any questions.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled document.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A debrief form was presented containing information about what the study was looking at and gave information on how to contact the researcher if there were any further questions. It also added that my participation would be fully confidential and that I could withdraw.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

N/A

Name (PRINT): KRISTEN HYETT

Date: 28 November 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The study included watching a short video of a bank robbery, then write down immediately after a description of the person as much as I could remember (hair colour). Next the completion of a crossword puzzle task for five minutes. After the task two line ups of offenders were presented and I was asked to pick out the individual I identified as the robber. I fully understood the task which I would be taking part in as clear instructions were given.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The research study was very interesting seeing how after a short distraction it is difficult to try and recognise something that you saw in the past and I enjoyed. As the task was interesting it engaged me more so I paid more attention to detail.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

A debrief form was presented containing information about what the study was looking at and gave contact information for the researcher if there were any further questions. It also added that my participation would be fully confidential and that I could withdraw.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

An improvement for this study would be on the description of the study. It advised that a verbal description of the robber would be given, but in the experiment it was written. Therefore, clarity of instructions could be made in the description allowing individuals to fully understand what they need to do.

Name (PRINT): KRISTEN HYETT

Date: 27 November 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The task was to guess how many pumps it would take before the balloon popped, the more pumps you guessed and it didn't pop you would get rewarded with money but if it popped before your amount of pumps you would win nothing, all money won was virtual. I then had to complete a questionnaire on the personality and gambling behaviour.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The experiment was very exciting and made me want to learn more. The task was very engaging, fun and interesting to see how much an impact gambling behaviour can have.

**Study Three**      Study Code: SWAN\_credit\_PP

**Study Three**      Study Code: SWAN\_credit\_PP

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Ethical guidelines were followed as fully informed consent was obtained through a consent form containing information about the study. Information on how my identity would be anonymous, answers would be kept confidential and that nothing would be traced back to me was given. Protection of participants was seen as information that the questionnaire and task may cause some discomfort regarding the addictive behaviour was provided, allowing them to withdraw or not participate. A debrief form provided which cleared up any deception and provided contact information of the researcher if there were any issues or questions.

**Note:**

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled sheet of paper.