

Lost Landscapes of Palaeolithic Britain

**The contribution of projects funded by the
Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund 2002–2011**

by Mark White (ed), Martin R Bates, Matthew Pope,
Danielle Schreve, Beccy Scott and Andrew Shaw

Principal illustrator

Await copy

Other illustrations by

Await copy

Oxford Archaeology South

2015

Published by Oxford Archaeology
Oxford Archaeology, Janus House, Osney Mead, Oxford OX2 0ES,
Registered Charity No. 285627

The views expressed in this book are those of the author[s] and not necessarily those of Historic England.
Images (except as otherwise shown) © Historic England, Oxford Archaeology or © Crown copyright. NMR

This publication has been generously funded by Historic England (formerly English Heritage) XXXX

Brought to publication by Elizabeth Stafford and prepared for publication by Rebecca Nicholson,
Oxford Archaeology South

© Oxford Archaeology Ltd 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without
permission in writing from the publishers

Front cover illustration © Peter Lorimer

Application for the reproduction of images should be made to the XXX. Every effort has been made to trace the
copyright holders and we apologise in advance for any unintentional omissions.

ISBN: XXX

Typeset by Production Line, Oxford
Printed and bound in Great Britain by Berforts Information Press, Eynsham, Oxford

Contents

Contributors..... vi
Figures..... ix
Tables..... xiii
Text boxes and figures within boxes..... xv
Acknowledgements..... xix
Illustration credits..... xxi
Summary..... xxiii

CHAPTER 1: PALAEOLITHIC ARCHAEOLOGY, COMMERCIAL QUARRYING AND THE
AGGREGATES LEVY SUSTAINABILITY FUND *by Mark White*

Introduction, aims and objectives..... 1
Format of this volume..... 2
For the love of clasts..... 6
The English Rivers Palaeolithic Survey, 1991–1997..... 7
The Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund, 2002–2011..... 8
 Theme 1: quarries..... 8
 Theme 2: marine..... 9

CHAPTER 2: METHODS FOR RECONSTRUCTING ICE AGE LANDSCAPES *by Martin Bates
and Matthew Pope*

Introduction..... 11
The Pleistocene period: frameworks for Palaeolithic archaeology..... 12
Methods for investigation..... 14
 Field investigations..... 18
 Employing boreholes..... 19
 Using trenches, test pits and quarry faces..... 26
 Watching briefs..... 34
 Geophysics..... 35
 Discussion..... 35
Post-excavation analysis..... 40
Approaches to landscape at a variety of scales..... 40
 Macro-scale..... 40
 Meso-scale..... 46
 Micro-scale..... 48
Discussion..... 49

CHAPTER 3: COASTAL AND SUBMERGED LANDSCAPES *by Matthew Pope and Martin Bates*

The changing shape of Britain..... 53
Evolution of the British landmass and the prehistory of human occupation..... 56
Flotsam of the Ice Age: the potential of the submerged resources as revealed by finds..... 58
First Europeans and dynamic coasts: Pakefield/Happisburgh Marine Survey..... 60

Investigating the offshore record of the North Sea 62

Area 240 Survey 62

Pakefield and Happisburgh Offshore Survey 63

Preserved ancient coastlines: mapping raised beaches in Sussex and Hampshire 64

The Raised Beach Mapping Project (RBMP) 64

The Palaeolithic Archaeology of the Sussex/Hampshire Coastal Corridor (PASHCC)..... 66

The lost river: submerged landscapes of the English Channel 67

Contiguous palaeo-landscape reconstruction: Transition Zone Mapping. 68

The 21st century exploration of Doggerland and the submerged English Channel: North Sea
Prehistory Research and Management Framework 70

Emerging misconceptions 71

Summary 71

CHAPTER 4: TERRESTRIAL FLUVIAL LANDSCAPES *by Danielle Schreve*

Introduction 73

The potential of fluvial sequences for reconstructing past landscapes and hominin occupation . . . 74

The bigger picture: meso-scale investigation of river valleys 77

Micro-scale: new site-specific information from the fluvial record 77

Developing predictive modelling – Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London
project. 77

Testing predictive models: the Medway Valley Palaeolithic Project 86

Closing the lacunae: Palaeolithic Rivers of Southwest Britain project 89

Investigating the northern boundaries: Trent Valley Palaeolithic Project. 90

Micro-scale: new site-specific information from the fluvial record 91

Norton Subcourse

Aylesford Gravel Pit

Welton-le-Wold

Whitemoor Haye Quarry

Lynford Quarry

Wider engagement

CHAPTER 5: SITE AND ARTEFACT STUDIES *by Andrew Shaw and Beccy Scott*

Lost in translation: communicating the Palaeolithic record 103

When is a site not a site? 103

Space, time and scale 106

Collections and collectors 110

Types, techniques and toolkits: Lower and Middle Palaeolithic artefacts 113

The earliest occupation 117

Lower Palaeolithic handaxe and non-handaxe assemblages 117

Levallois and the beginnings of the Middle Palaeolithic 119

Hiatus. 124

The late Middle Palaeolithic 124

Interpreting Palaeolithic artefacts 125

Taphonomy 125

Technology 127

Discussion 128

CHAPTER 6: LOST LANDSCAPES OF THE BRITISH PALAEOLITHIC: WHERE DO WE GO

FROM HERE? *by Mark White*

R.I.P. ALSF, 2002–2011 131

‘We haven’t got a plan so nothing can go wrong’ (Spike Milligan) 131

Frameworks. 132

ALSF legacy: strategic agendas for a richer tomorrow. 133

Extending the Pleistocene record. 133

Enhancing the Pleistocene record 134

Engaging with the Palaeolithic Record 134

Towards mutual understanding 134

Conclusions. 135

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: ALSF Palaeolithic Project Resources. 137

Appendix 2: National Ice Age Network ‘Recognition sheets’ 141

Bibliography

Index

Contributors

Dr. Martin Bates

Department of Archaeology, University of Wales, Trinity Saint David, Lampeter, Ceredigion SA48 7ED, UK

Dr. Matthew Pope

UCL Institute of Archaeology, 31-34 Gordon Square, London WC1H 0PY UK

Dr. Beccy Scott

Department of Prehistory and Europe, The British Museum, Franks House, 28–55 Orsman Road, London N15QJ, UK

Dr. Andrew Shaw

Centre for the Archaeology of Human Origins, Department of Archaeology, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BF, UK

Prof. Danielle Schreve

Department of Geography, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham Hill, Egham TW20 0EX

Prof. Mark White

Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1

1.1 Location of key Palaeolithic archaeological sites in Britain and ALSF projects referred to in this report 2

CHAPTER 2

2.1 Marine Isotope Stage 7 showing benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ isotope The stacked marine oxygen isotope record for the last 130,000 years (after Lisienski and Raymo 2005 (upper curve) and orbitally tuned pelagic O isotope stack of Bassinot et al. (1994) (lower curve) 14

2.2 The stacked marine oxygen isotope record for the last 130,000 years (after Martinson *et al.* 1987) 14

2.3 Gravel quarrying in 19th /early 20th century; Galley Hill Pit 18

2.4 Shell and auger drill rig at West Wittering, West Sussex. 24

2.5 U4/U100 core samples from West Wittering. Cores are split to show stratigraphy. Fine grained sediments are estuarine deposits sandwiched between two cold stage gravels 25

2.6 Section cleaning of a gravel quarry face at Badminton Farm Quarry, Hampshire 25

2.7 Excavation of palaeolandsurface at CH2 Boxgrove, West Sussex in mid 1980s 26

2.8 Sampling at Corfe Mullen in trench opened into former quarry face. 26

2.9 Stepped trench at Northfleet Sewage Works during investigation of Devensian colluvial sediments 27

2.10 Excavation of a test pit during the PASHCC fieldwork in the Solent system 27

2.11 Long trench excavated in a stepped fashion through Devensian slope deposits at Dartford A2/A282 crossing 28

2.12 Example of excavated test pit through gravels of the river Medina at Great Pan Farm, Isle of Wight 28

2.13 Sieving sediment recovered from a test pit for artefacts 29

2.14 Example of a stratigraphic profile and OSL sample points from Norton Farm in West Sussex (after Bates *et al.* 2004; 2007a). 29

2.15 Stratigraphic logs from boreholes and test pits used to form a site wide outline of sequences from the West Sussex Coastal Plain 30

2.16 Long profile from Pear Tree Knap site, West Sussex showing drawn and photographed section. ... 31

2.17 Distribution of test pits at Roke Manor Farm, Romsey on a grid based pattern. 31

2.18 Excavations at Cuxton (Wenban Smith *et al.* 2007a). 32

2.19 Site location plan for past and recent work at Cuxton, with dates the trench was excavated (Wenban-Smith *et al.* 2007a). 32

2.20 Test pit excavated at Cuxton (Wenban-Smith et al. 2007a) showing fluvial gravels over Chalk bedrock. 33

2.21 Large ficron and cleaver recovered from excavations at Cuxton. 33

2.22 West Wittering, West Sussex. A: site location plan for marine and terrestrial geophysics. B: West Wittering channel – terrestrial investigation and geophysics. C: sub-bottom profile data and interpretation from submerged channel in Chichester Harbour. 34

2.23 Multibeam bathymetry for the lower segment of the submerged Arun valley displayed as a composite depth coloured and shaded relief image. Sun-illumination is from the north-west at an elevation of 45° 35

2.24 Borehole logs and contoured bedrock surface from Bognor Regis, West Sussex illustrated through the Rockworks Software system 36

2.25 Block model of major stratigraphic units from the boreholes at Bognor Regis, West Sussex 36

2.26 A: On-shore to off-shore Arun Valley showing distribution of main geomorphological features. B: terraces of the eastern Solent and Solentsea bed showing discontinuity between on- and off-shore sequences 37

2.27	A: buried landsurface of the Goodwood/Slindon Raised Beach. B: buried landsurface of the Brighton/Norton Raised Beach	46
2.28	Distribution map showing mammal remains and stone tools from Lynford	50
2.29	Part of West Sussex Coastal Plain divided into zones of different Palaeolithic potential for integration with the HER	50

CHAPTER 3

3.1	Map showing the position and outline of Britain against landscapes of now submerged Doggerland and the La Manche/English Channel lowlands	53
3.2	Cromerian sediments exposed on the foreshore at Happisburgh, Norfolk	57
3.3	Excavation of fine-grained deposits and <i>in-situ</i> archaeology at the horse butchery site GTP17, Boxgrove	57
3.4	Barbed antler point, discovered by the trawler Colinda from the surface of the bed of the North Sea in 1931	58
3.5	A large commercial trawler	58
3.6	Portion of frontal bone from the skull of a Neanderthal, dredged from the Zeeland Bank off the Dutch coast	59
3.7	Artist's reconstruction of the boreal woodland environment of Happisburgh	61
3.8	Map of the North Sea showing localities of gravel extraction monitored through ALSF surveys	63
3.9	Vibrocure survey undertaken at Area 240 to map the sea floor off the Yarmouth Coast	63
3.10	Map showing the 26km extent of the Boxgrove palaeolandscape as mapped through the Raised Beach Mapping project	64
3.11	Cross section through the archaeologically important Slindon Silts at the Valdoe, West Sussex	65
3.12	Reconstruction of the partially enclosed marine embayment defining the Boxgrove Raised beach during the MIS13 interglacial	65
3.13	Mapping of marine, intertidal and terrestrial deposits undertaken by the PASHHC project	67
3.14	Bathymetric survey of the palaeo-Arun Valley mapped by Gupta <i>et al.</i> (2004)	68
3.15	Martin Bates excavating fluvial deposits from the Middle Pleistocene Earnley Channel on Selsey Bill, West Sussex	69
3.16	Crossing Doggerland. Excavation of Middle Palaeolithic archaeology and mammoth remains at Lynford, Norfolk showed that the southern North Sea was an important seasonal migration route for Neanderthal hunters during MIS 3	72

CHAPTER 4

4.1	The 19th century antiquarian, George Worthington Smith, indicates the position of Palaeolithic artefacts from the site Pit A, Caddington, Bedfordshire	73
4.2	Phased model of fluvial aggradation in synchrony with climatic change (after Bridgland and Allen 1996)	75
4.3	Schematic cross-section through the Lower Thames terraces, showing four post-Anglian terraces. Proposed correlations with the marine oxygen isotope record are shown, together with mammalian biostratigraphical characteristics (from Bridgland 1994; Schreve 2004)	76
4.4	Schematic cross-section through the terraces of the Solent valley and correlation with the raised beach sequence of the Sussex coastal plain (from Bridgland 2000)	77
4.5	Location map of the Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London project study area (from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> 2011)	79
4.6	3-D plots of the 'pre-Holocene' landsurface (vertical exaggeration x 4) from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> (2011)	80
4.7	Combining deposit characteristics and pre-Holocene topography for Map 5 of the Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London project study area (from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> 2011)	81
4.8	The relationship between terrains and landscape zones in the Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London project study area (from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> 2011)	82

4.9	BSG mapping of the Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London project study area (from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> 2011)	83
4.10	Borehole transect and schematic cross-section through the central part of Map 3 of the Mapping the Sub-Surface Drift Geology of Greater London project study area (from Corcoran <i>et al.</i> 2011)	84
4.11	Section showing the Lea Valley Arctic Beds in the Angel Road ballast pit, Islington, north London (from Warren 1912)	85
4.12	The Greater Thames Survey of Known Mineral Extraction Sites project study area, showing zone of 3-D modelling in red (from ECC/KCC 2004)	87
4.13	Example of a proforma for recording geological characteristics for The Greater Thames Survey of Known Mineral Extraction Sites project (from ECC/KCC 2004)	88
4.14	The Whitemoor Haye woolly rhinoceros shortly after its discovery (photo: Birmingham Archaeology)	93
4.15	Skull with complete dentition of the Whitemoor Haye woolly rhinoceros <i>Coelodonta antiquitatis</i> (from Schreve <i>et al.</i> 2013)	94
4.16	Excavation of the Lynford site	94
4.17	Associated upper molars of <i>Mammuthus primigenius</i> from Lynford, Norfolk (from Boismeyer <i>et al.</i> 2012)	96
4.18	Palaeolithic geoarchaeology walk at Ottery St Mary (Devon), 2006, by PRoSWeB	97
4.19	Montage of images from the Royal Holloway 'Day In the Ice Age' (a-d) showing Phil Harding flint knapping, pollen analysis, demonstration of vertebrate fossils, and spear-making and cave painting activities for children	98-99

CHAPTER 5

5.1	A Levallois core from Creffield Road, Acton, showing the manner in which John Allen Brown marked artefacts	112
5.2	John Allen Brown's section of Pit 2 in the St Barnard's area of Creffield Road, Acton	113
5.3	Quaternary chronology of Britain, showing key British sites, archaeological industries, palaeogeography, and major warm periods and ice advances for the past 700,000 years	116
5.4	A series of typical Lower Palaeolithic flint cores (1-3), a group of refitting flakes (4), scrapers (5-6), flaked flakes (5, 7-8) and a denticulate (9), all from Barnham, Suffolk	118
5.5	Schematic depiction of the process of Levallois flaking	119
5.6	Diagrammatic representation of the technique of discoidal flaking	124

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1

1.1 List of projects related to Palaeolithic research funded by the ALSF 2002-2011. 3-5

CHAPTER 2

2.1 Major component stages of the British Pleistocene as outlined by Roe (1981) 13

2.2 Summary of Marine Isotope Stages in relation to other aspects of the Palaeolithic record 12-13

2.3 Description of zones of Palaeolithic potential as defined in the PASHCC project for part
of West Sussex Coastal Plain 48-49

Text boxes and figures within boxes

2.1 Oxygen isotope stratigraphy	15
Figure 2.1.1 An example of a foraminifer: <i>Elphidium excavatum</i>	
Figure 2.1.2 Effects of glacials and interglacials on the ¹⁸ O/ ¹⁶ O ratio of sea water	
Figure 2.1.3 Oxygen isotope trace from deep-sea sediment core V28-238	
2.2 Use of boreholes: terraces and raised beaches	16–17
Figure 2.2.1 Distribution of gravel bodies in the eastern and western Solent	
Figure 2.2.2 Long profiles of the eastern Solent terraces using the PASHCC scheme	
Figure 2.2.3 Distribution of marine and fluvial sediments in the PASHCC study area	
Figure 2.2.4 Elevation of marine sediments from selected sites in the PASHCC coastal plain study area	
Figure 2.2.5 Schematic section through the West Sussex Coastal Plain showing the main stratigraphic units recognised today from integrated studies of boreholes and published records	
2.3 Quarry face recording	20–1
Figure 2.3.1 Long profile cut through a variety of sediments at the Southfleet Road site, north Kent	
Figure 2.3.2 Preparing section for traditional recording by drawing, Southfleet Road site, north Kent	
Figure 2.3.3 Fence diagram showing deposit phases, Southfleet Road, north Kent	
Figure 2.3.4 Ground laser survey at Hodge Ditch	
Figure 2.3.5 Hodge Ditch 1, 2 and 3 point data interpolated in ArcMap 10 using inverse distance weighting and displayed in ArcScene in 3D using height values	
Figure 2.3.6 A: photograph of stepped face of Hodge Ditch I used for dating	
2.4 Electrical geophysics	22–3
Figure 2.4.1 DC electrical survey equipment laid out along transect at West Wittering, Sussex	
Figure 2.4.2 EM 31 electromagnetic survey at Allhallows in the Medway Estuary, Kent	
Figure 2.4.3 DC electrical sections from West Wittering showing conductive sediments in blue and non-conductive sediments in red/yellow.	
Figure 2.4.4 EM 31 electromagnetic survey results from West Street, Selsey. Contour plot of conductivity values indicate a highly conductive zone equivalent with the position of the channel	
Figure 2.4.5 Excavated edge of West Street, Selsey Channel as predicted from the EM 31 conductivity survey	
Figure 2.4.6 A: Electrical pseudo-section fence diagram. B: inferred stratigraphy from Allhallows study area	
2.5 OSL dating	38
Figure 2.5.1 OSL sampling and measurement from a raised beach at St Clement, Jersey	
Figure 2.5.2 A: OSL age estimates from Hodge Ditch I, Chard Junction, listed in stratigraphic order; B: Age-depth plot for Hodge Ditch I Optical dating samples, Chard Junction. MIS curve from ODP 677	
Figure 2.5.3 Bayesian modelling of accepted optical age estimates within the Broom Sand and Silt Bed	

2.6 AA dating	39
Figure 2.6.1 L- and D-amino acid structure	
Figure 2.6.2 Plot of OcPD hydrolyzed vsIcPD free mean values, with 1 standard deviation, for shells of <i>Bithynia tentaculata</i> and <i>Valvata piscinalis</i>	
Figure 2.6.3 A: Lower Thames terrace stratigraphy; B: HydIcPDvs Free IcPD for the Thames aminostratigraphic sequence. Each point represents the overall extent of intra-crystalline protein decomposition from an individual <i>Bithynia tentaculata</i> opercula sample	
2.7 Macro-scale investigations	41
Figure 2.7.1 Palaeogeographic map for an interglacial during the early Middle Pleistocene between 0.5 and 1 million years ago	
Figure 2.7.2 Ostracod range chart from the West Sussex Coastal Plain illustrating the main indicator species and their distributions	
2.8 Meso-scale investigations	42–3
Figure 2.8.1 OSL dates from the West Sussex Coastal Plain area plotted against Marine Isotope Stratigraphy stages	
Figure 2.8.2 Regional palaeogeographical reconstructions for the PASHCC study area of the south coast	
Figure 2.8.3 Mr George Turton’s section drawing of the gravel deposits at Hilton, Derbyshire	
Figure 2.8.4 Modified transverse section through the terraces of the Middle Trent based on TVPP work showing the distribution of artefacts by terrace	
2.9 Data for the Historic Environment Record (HER)	44–5
Figure 2.9.1 Superficial geology for the Lea Valley study area	
Figure 2.9.2 Distribution of boreholes across the study area	
Figure 2.9.3 Geoarchaeological model developed for study area	
Figure 2.9.4 Study area subdivided into different Palaeolithic archaeological zones and example of supporting data table for the HER	
Figure 2.9.5 Zoned space in Kent: MVPP	
2.10 Micro-scale investigations.	47
Figure 2.10.1 A view of the Valdoe Quarry	
Figure 2.10.2 Drilling on the Upper Coastal Plain	
Figure 2.10.3 Excavated landsurface at the Valdoe Quarry	
Figure 2.10.4 Finds under excavation at the Valdoe Quarry	
Figure 3.1 Island Britain	54–5
Figure 3.1.1 A: an early Middle Pleistocene interglacial: peninsula Britain, MIS13. B: an early Middle Pleistocene late glacial: formation of pro-glacial lake Harrison, MIS12. C: late Middle Pleistocene interglacial, the formation of island Britain. D: late Pleistocene cold stage MIS2, landscapes of the English Channel River and Doggerland	
Figure 4.1 Late Pleistocene mammalian turnover in Britain.	78
Figure 4.1.1 <i>Palaeoloxodon antiquus</i>	
Figure 4.1.2 <i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	
Figure 4.2 Killing the mammoth	95
Figure 4.2.1 Modified bones from Lynford. A) Horse molars broken during extraction of marrow from the mandibular cavity; B) Reindeer tibia fragment showing impact scars from marrow extraction; C) Partial mammoth vertebra showing attached fragment of adjacent vertebra, broken while being prised apart	

Figure 4.3 Shedding light on the dark arts	100
Figure 4.3.1 National Ice Age Network “Digging up the Ice Age”	
5.1 Flagships, scatters and patches.	104–5
Figure 5.1.1 The chalk cliff backing the Boxgrove palaeolandscape, as exposed in GTP 25a	
Figure 5.1.2 Handaxes from Boxgrove	
Figure 5.1.3 The Q1/A knapping scatter, Boxgrove	
Figure 5.1.4 Q1/B waterhole, Boxgrove	
5.2 Refloating dredgers 1.	108
Figure 5.2.1 Handaxe from Chard Junction	
5.3 Refloating dredgers 2.	109
Figure 5.3.1 Handaxe from Welton-le-Wold	
Figure 5.3.2 Excavating the elephant molar from the western quarry face in 1969	
Figure 5.3.3 Alabaster and Straw’s original recorded section	
5.4 Refloating dredgers 3	111
Figure 5.4.1 Schematic section illustrating the Broom Pleistocene deposits	
Figure 5.4.2 An asymmetrical handaxe from Broom	
5.5 Stopes Palaeolithic Project	114–5
Figure 5.5.1 Lithic artefacts marked by Stopes	
Figure 5.5.2 Typical entries from Stopes’ catalogue	
Figure 5.5.3 Stopes’ findspots around Swanscombe, Kent	
5.6 Early Levallois flaking at Dunbridge, Hampshire	120–1
Figure 5.6.1 Simple prepared cores from Purfleet, Essex	
Figure 5.6.2 Simple prepared core(s) from Dunbridge, Hampshire	
Figure 5.6.3 Fully developed Levallois core(s) from Dunbridge, Hampshire	
5.7 Ghost ships: evidence of absence and other stories	123
Figure 5.7.1 Happisburgh artefacts and ecofacts	
5.8 Of mammoths and men	126
Figure 5.8.1 Collection of handaxes from Lynford	

Acknowledgements

The work described in this volume is the culmination of effort by a large number of individuals.

Production of this volume would not have been possible without the support of Dr Helen Keeley, Jonathan Last of Historic England

Peter Marshall of Historic England is thanked for his input into Box 2.5.

Beccy Scott is very grateful to Dr Nick Ashton and Dr Rob Hosfield.

Illustration credits

Where illustrations that have been sourced from works cited in the main text of the volume, the relevant publication is identified in the following list by a short reference and full details can be found in the bibliography. Full references are only given in this list for works which are not otherwise cited in the main text.

Text Figures

Fig. 1.1 Oxford Archaeology; Fig. 2.1 adapted from data in Lisiecki, L E and Raymo, M E, 2005 A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records, *Paleoceanography* 20, PA1003 (upper curve) and Bassinot *et al.* (1994) (lower curve); Fig. 2.2 adapted from data in Martinson *et al.* 1987; Fig. 2.3 courtesy of Francis Wenban-Smith; Figs 2.4-2.10 courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.11 photo Oxford Archaeology; Fig. 2.12 and 2.13 photo courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.14 and 2.15 after Bates *et al.* 2004, 2007a; Fig. 2.16 adapted from Bates *et al.* 2010, fig 5a with permission; Fig. 2.17 after Bates *et al.* 2004, 2007a; Figs 2.18-2.21 from Wenban-Smith *et al.* 2007a, courtesy of Francis Wenban-Smith; Fig. 2.22 from Bates *et al.* 2007c, fig 9 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.23 from Gupta *et al.* 2004 fig 3-89 courtesy of Historic England; Fig. 2.26 from Bates *et al.* 2007c, fig 4 and fig 8 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.27 photo courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.28 from Boismier *et al.* 2012, courtesy of Historic England; Fig. 2.29 after Bates *et al.* 2004; 2007a; Fig. 3.1 from P. Gibbard 2007, Nature Precedings (doi:10.1038/npre.2007.1205.1), adapted from Bourillet J-F, Reynaud J-Y, Baltzer A, Zaragosi S, 2003 The “Fleuve Manche”: the sub-marine sedimentary features from the outer shelf to the deep-sea fans, *Journal of Quaternary Science* 18, 261-282 and reproduced courtesy of P. Gibbard and J-F Bourillet; Fig. 3.2 photo courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 3.3 Reproduced with the permission of the Boxgrove Project; Fig. 3.4 The barbed point from Lemen and Ower banks (no 10), reproduced from Clark, J G D & Godwin, H, 1956 A Maglemosian site at Brandesburton, Holderness, Yorkshire, Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society 22, PL II reproduced with permission from Cambridge University Press; Fig. 3.5 reproduced courtesy of Wessex Archaeology; Fig. 3.6 reproduced with permission from the Dutch National Museum of Antiquities; Fig. 3.7 reproduced with permission of the artist © John Sibbick; Figs 3.8 and 3.9 reproduced courtesy of Wessex Archaeology; Fig. 3.10 – Fig. 3.12 reproduced courtesy of the Boxgrove Project; Fig. 3.13 courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 3.14 reproduced from Gupta *et al.* 2004 courtesy of Historic England;

Fig. 3.15 reproduced courtesy of the Boxgrove Project; Fig. 4.1 reproduced courtesy of Luton Museum Services; Fig. 4.2 and Fig. 4.3 reproduced from Bridgland, DR, 2014 Lower Thames terrace stratigraphy: latest views, in D R Bridgland, P Allen and T S White *The Quaternary of the Lower Thames & Eastern Essex*, Field Guide, fig. 4 and fig. 1A with permission from David Bridgland and the Quaternary Research Association; Fig. 4.3 from Morigi *et al.* 2011, fig. 2.6, based on Schreve 2004 and adapted from Bridgland 2006 fig. 1a; Fig. 4.4 adapted from Bridgland 2000; Figs 4.5-4.10 from Corcoran *et al.* 2011 courtesy of the Museum of London Archaeology (MOLA) and Carlos Lemos; Fig. 4.11 from Warren 1912; Fig. 4.12 and 4.13 adapted from Essex Co. Council and Kent Co. Council 2004; Fig. 4.14 © Birmingham Archaeology; Fig. 4.15 photo courtesy of Danielle Schreve, from Schreve *et al.* 2013 reproduced with permission from the Quaternary Research Association; Figs 4.16 and 4.17 modified from Boismier *et al.* 2012, reproduced with permission from Historic England; Fig. 4.18 photo courtesy of Dr Robert Hosfield; Fig. 5.1 © Beccy Scott; Fig. 5.3 Image reproduced courtesy of the AHOB Project; Fig. 5.4 Images reproduced courtesy of the British Museum; Fig. 5.6 Images 1 and 2 modified from Boëda 1993 and Images 3-6 reproduced courtesy of the Lithic Studies Society.

Figures within Boxes

Fig. 2.1.3 adapted from Lowe and Walker 1997 fig 6.5, after Shackleton and Opdyke, 1973 with permission from Pearson Education Inc; Fig. 2.2.1 from Bates *et al.* 2007 fig. 3 and Briant *et al.* 2012, fig. 2 courtesy of Martin Bates, Fig. 2.2.2 after Briant *et al.* 2012 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.3.4 after Bates *et al.*, 2010, fig 9 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.3.5 after Bates *et al.*, 2010, fig 2 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.3.3 Oxford Archaeology; Fig. 2.3.4 –2.3.6 from Brown 2012 courtesy of T Brown and L Basell; Fig. 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 courtesy of Martin Bates; Box 2.4.3 after Bates *et al.* 2004, 2007a; Fig. 2.4.4 from Bates *et al.* 2009 with permission from the Quaternary Research Association; Fig. 2.4.5 courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.4.6 from Bates *et al.* 2007b; Fig. 2.5.1 courtesy of Martin Bates; Fig. 2.5.2 from Basell *et al.* 2007 with permission from the Quaternary Research Association; Fig. 2.5.3 from Hosfield *et al.* 2011 with permission from the Quaternary Research Association; Fig. 2.6.1-2.6.2 and 2.6.4 from Penkman *et al.* 2008; Fig. 2.6.3 adapted from Bridgland 1994 fig. 4.3; Fig. 2.7.1 from Hijma *et al.* 2012 with permission from XXX; Fig.

2.8.1 from Bates *et al.* 2010 fig. 16 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.8.2 from Bates *et al.* 2010 fig. 17 with permission from XXX; Fig. 2.8.3 courtesy of the Derby Museum and Art Gallery; Fig. 2.9.1-2.9.4 courtesy of Matt Pope; Fig. 2.10.1-2.10.5 courtesy of Matt Pope

Box 3.1 Adapted from XXX

Fig. 4.2.1 from Boismier *et al.* 2012 reproduced with permission from Historic England; Figs 5.1.1-5.1.4 courtesy of Matt Pope and the Boxgrove project; Fig. 5.2.1 image courtesy of Laura Basel1; Fig. 5.3.2 Photograph by Alan Straw; Fig. 5.3.3 Reproduced from Alabaster and Straw 1976, Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society Vol 41 (1977) by permission of the Council of the

Yorkshire Geological Society; Fig. 5.4.1 reproduced from Hosfield and Green 2013, fig 4.1 courtesy of Rob Hosfield; Fig. 5.4.2 reproduced from Hosfield and Chambers 2004, from the C.E. Bean collection, with permission from Dorset County Museum; Fig. 5.5.1 photo © Francis Wenban-Smith; Fig. 5.5.3 from Wenban-Smith 2004, courtesy of Francis Wenban-Smith; Fig. 5.6.1 drawings after Ashton and White 2003 courtesy of Mark White, photo © Mark White; Figs 5.6.2 and 5.6.3 reproduced courtesy of Wessex Archaeology; Fig. 5.7.1 © Simon Parfitt and Craig Williams, courtesy of Simon Parfitt; Fig. 5.8.1 photo courtesy of Mark White.

Summary

