Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

LEVEL/ LEFEL 5

MODULE CODE/ COD Y MODIWL: BMHP5002

Principles of Health and Social Care Practice.

ASSESSMENT TYPE/ MATH O ASESU: ASSIGNMENT

ASSESSMENT/COMPONENT/ ASESIAD/CYDRAN: 2 (Written Assessment)

COMPONENT WEIGHTING/ PWYSAU'R CYDRAN: 50%
WORD COUNT/ NIFER Y GEIRIAU:- 2500 words

MODULE TUTOR(S) / TIWTOR Y MODIWL: Barbara Chinyani

SUBMISSION DETAILS/ MANYLION CYFLWYNO:

- **Make sure that Your Name , Your Student Number, Your Module Title, Assignment Title and Your Module Lecturer's Name are clearly shown on the front page of your assignment**
- **All assignments must be submitted electronically to Moodle.**
- **DO NOT put this form into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.**

BSc (Hons) Health and Social Care ASSIGNMENT SPECIFICATION (SOAC)

Programme:	**BSc (Hons) Health and Social Care**		
Lecturer(s):	Barbara Chinyani	Internal Verifier:	
Assignment Title:	Principles of Health and Social Care Practice		
Hand Out Date:		Submission deadline:	Please refer to the assessments schedule published on Students' Hall in Moodle and the Assessment Board on campus
Referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

<u>Late submission will result in a late penalty mark, as follows:</u>

Up to one- week late, maximum mark of 40% for first attempts and 0% for resubmissions. No work will be accepted more than one week after the submission deadline (Academic Quality Handbook 2016/17 7.5 (5)

AIM(S)

The aim of this module is to develop understanding of the values, theories and policies underpinning health and social care practice and the mechanisms that exist to promote good practice.

.

LEARNING OUTCOMES

Upon the successful completion of this module, the student should be able to demonstrate the ability to:

- Examine how principles of support are implemented in health and social care practice
- Critically evaluate the impact of policy, legislation, regulation, codes of practice and standards on organisation policy and practice
- Analyse the theories that underpin health and social care practice

READING

Please refer to your module descriptor for relevant reading for this module. Your tutor will also provide links to relevant articles on Moodle, which you will be expected to read and discuss as part of your weekly learning activities.

TASK DESCRIPTION

Assessment Component 2 – 50% Written Assignment (Coursework) 2500 words

Students will write a 2,500-word document on the theoretical concepts and theories which underpin current practice within health or social care.

Write an essay of 2500-words, examining the current practice for person-centred care within health and social care.

You should address the:

- Underlying concept of person-centred care
- Theoretical underpinning of person-centred care
- Ethical underpinning of person-centred care
- Main benefits and limitation of person-centred care
- Application of person-centred care in to practice (You can choose an example of service provided, such as person-centred care for people with dementia or cancer)
- Recommendation for improving person-centred care in your chosen settings

GUIDANCE FOR STUDENTS IN THE COMPLETION OF TASKS

NOTE: The guidance offered below is linked to the five common assessment criteria

1. Engagement with Literature Skills

Your work must be informed and supported by scholarly material that is *relevant* to and *focused* on the task(s) set. You should provide evidence that you have accessed an appropriate *range* of sources, which may be academic, governmental and industrial; these sources may include academic journal articles, textbooks, current news articles, organisational documents, and websites. You should consider the *credibility* of your sources; academic journals are normally highly credible sources while websites require careful consideration/selection and should be used sparingly. Any sources you use should be current and up-to-date, mostly published within the last five years or so, though seminal/important works in the field may be older. You must provide *evidence* of your research/own reading throughout your work, using a suitable referencing system, including in-text citations in the main body of your work and a reference list at the end of your work.

Guidance specific to this assessment: *you should show a wide level of reading, a minimum of 15 references, from a variety of sources including reports, textbooks, academic journals, and academic based websites. The credibility and relevance of all sources should be considered, and untrustworthy sources should be avoided. Electronic referencing should be kept to a minimum and should not include tabloid newspapers, or non-academic sources. The credibility and relevance of all sources should be considered. Ensure you use both author prominent and text prominent referencing within your work. Avoid referencing discrepancies by checking that the sources used in the text are cited in reference list and vice versa. Make use of the*
University's guidance for Harvard referencing to help you ensure the correct formats are used.

2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills

At level five, you should be able to demonstrate the following: sound knowledge and critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles of the subject area and the way in which those principles have developed; and knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline. *Knowledge* relates to the facts, information and skills you have acquired through your learning. You demonstrate your *understanding* by interpreting the meaning of the facts and information (knowledge). This means that you need to select and include in your work the concepts, techniques, models, theories, etc. appropriate to the task(s) set. You should be able to explain the theories and concepts meaningfully to show your understanding. Your mark/grade will also depend upon the *extent* to which you demonstrate your knowledge and understanding.

Guidance specific to this assessment: *demonstrate sound knowledge and critical understanding of the specific health and/or social care support services that could be utilised for this case. Also, you should demonstrate sound knowledge and understanding about the policies and practice of your chosen organisation/department.*

3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

You should be able to analyse information critically, and propose solutions to problems arising from that analysis, including the critical evaluation of the appropriateness of different approaches to solving problems. Your work must contain evidence of logical, analytical thinking, evaluation and synthesis. For example, to examine and break information down into parts, make inferences, compile, compare and contrast information. This means not just describing: what? It also involves justifying the following: why? How? When? Who? Where? At what cost? At all times, you must provide justification/evidence for your arguments and judgements. Evidence that you have reflected upon the ideas of others within the subject area is crucial to you providing a reasoned and informed debate within your work. Furthermore, you should provide evidence that you are able to make sound judgements and convincing arguments using data and concepts, with an understanding of the limits of knowledge, and how this influences analyses and interpretations. Sound, valid conclusions are necessary and must be derived from the content of your work. Where relevant, alternative solutions and recommendations should be proposed.

Guidance specific to this assessment: *there should be clear synthesis and critical analysis of the chosen health and/or social care support services for this case study. You need to make sound judgements and convincing arguments why that particular support service is more appropriate for this case study using data and concepts, with an understanding of the limits of knowledge, and how this influence analyses and interpretations. You need to draw sound, valid conclusions which must be derived from the content of your work.*

4. Practical Skills

At level five, you should be able to use/deploy a range of established techniques within the discipline, and apply underlying concepts and principles outside the context in which they were first studied, including, where appropriate, the application of those principles in an employment context. You should be able to demonstrate how the subject-related concepts and ideas relate to real world situations and/or a particular context: how do they work in practice? You will deploy models, methods, techniques, and/or theories, in that context, to assess current situations, perhaps to formulate plans or solutions to solve problems, or to create artefacts, some of which may be creative. This is likely to involve, for instance, the use of real world artefacts, examples and cases, the application of a model within an organisation and/or benchmarking one theory or organisation against others based on stated criteria. You should show awareness of the limitations of concepts and theories when applied in particular contexts.

Guidance specific to this assessment: *having chosen a department/organisation, make appropriate recommendations for improvements. Support your suggestions for improvements with consideration to this case and consider how they might work in practice. You should also consider and discuss potential limitations of the recommendations you make.*

5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Your work must provide evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making. This includes a demonstration of the following elements: professional development to advance existing skills and acquire new competences that will enable you to assume significant responsibility within organisations; initiation and completion of tasks and procedures, individually and/or collaboratively; use of appropriate media to communicate effectively information, arguments and analysis in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences; fluency of expression; clarity and effectiveness in presentation and organisation. The work should be coherent and well-structured in presentation and organisation.

.

Guidance specific to this assessment*: your work should be written as a case study report and structured in a coherent manner which demonstrates clarity of thought. Sentences need to be constructed appropriately and joined into paragraphs. Avoid the use of long sentences and ensure you use academic language throughout. Paragraphs need to be linked together to enable the assignment to flow. Proofread your work to avoid spelling and grammatical errors. Students are recommended to use an appropriate font such as Arial 12 point, 1.5 spacing. Please use a title page, content page, and use appendices if appropriate.*

GRADING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your grade. The weightings available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Percentage weighting	Grade awarded
1.Engagement with Literature Skills	20	
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline.	20	
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20	
4. Practical Application Skills	20	
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20	

	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		%
Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Up to one-week late	40 Max	
	Over one week late	Fail	

COMMON ASSESSMENT AND GRADING CRITERIA

Level 5
In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 5 students will be expected to have developed sound knowledge and critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles in their field of study, and of the way in which those principles have developed. They will have learned to apply those concepts and principles more widely outside the context in which they were first studied, including, where appropriate, the application of those principles in an employment context. They will have knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the subject area, and ability to evaluate critically different approaches to problem solving. They will possess an understanding of the limits of their knowledge, and how this influences their analyses and interpretations. They will be able to use a range of established techniques to initiate and undertake critical analysis of information, and to propose solutions to problems arising from that analysis. They will be able to effectively communicate information, arguments and analysis in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences, and deploy key techniques of the discipline effectively. They will be able to undertake further training, develop existing skills and acquire new competences that will enable them to assume significant responsibility within organisations. They will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.

Level 5	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%
Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Very good use of referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement extensive range and credible Consistently application of refe
Knowledge and understanding (Sound knowledge and critical understanding o f the well - established concepts and principles in their field of study; knowledge of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.)	Major gaps in knowledge with unsatisfactory, uncritical understanding of the subject matter. Much irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies. Significantly flawed understanding of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.	Fragmentary knowledge, with only superficial critical understanding. Some significant inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material. Incomplete or partially flawed understanding of the main methods of enquiry in the discipline.	Limited but adequate knowledge and critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles within the subject area, with a few gaps in the selection of material. A narrow critical understanding of the main methods of enquiry.	Knowledge is reasonably detailed and accurate. A good critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles and the main methods of enquiry, with minor gaps in the selection of material.	Knowledge is reasonably extensive. Exhibits very competent critical understanding of the well-established concepts and principles of the subject and the main methods of enquiry. Breadth and depth of knowledge.	Excellent, knowledge and hi understanding of established con principles of the the main me enquiry.
Cognitive and intellectual skills (Critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles; argument and judgement; the limits of their knowledge, and how this influences their analyses and interpretations.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Unsubstantiated generalisations or opinion, made without use of any credible evidence.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial use of critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles. Weak development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles, tending towards description. Some evidence to support arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped, with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation or failure to fully recognise limits of knowledge.	Some critical evaluation and analysis of concepts and principles, though descriptive in parts. An emerging awareness the limits of their knowledge and ability to use evidence to support the argument though with some tendency to assert/state opinion rather than argue on the basis of reason and evidence. Mostly valid arguments and logical	Sound critical evaluation and analysis of concepts. Is selective in the range of evidence used and synthesises rather than describes. Ability to devise arguments that show awareness of different stances, and use evidence convincingly, to support appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent critical and analysis of co principles leading evidence-based, arguments and j Explicit recognitio stances and awareness of th their knowledge. A capacity for in thought and abil beyond the suggesting some g broader field concepts.

Level 5	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%
				judgements.		
Practical skills (Apply underlying concepts and principles more widely outside the context in which they were first studied; use a range of established techniques; propose solutions to problems arising from analysis.)	Limited or no use of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application. Limited understanding of the application of theory to practice or making appropriate links between the two. Very weak problem-solving skills outside the context in which they were first studied.	Rudimentary application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and competence. Flawed appreciation of the context of the application. Weak understanding of the application of theory to practice, with only occasional evidence of making appropriate links between the two. Weak problem-solving skills outside the context in which they were first studied.	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Basic appreciation of the context of the application. Theoretical knowledge and understanding applied in practice, but not always making logical links between the two. Can identify problems and propose basic solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	A good and appropriate application of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of the context of the application. Mainly consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making appropriate links between the two. Can identify problems and propose mostly appropriate solutions outside the context in which they were first studied.	A very good application of a range of established methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of the application, with perceptive insights. Consistent, accurate and logical application of theory to practice, making appropriate links between the two. Can identify problems and propose appropriate solutions outside the context in which they were first studied. Evidence of some creativity.	An advanced appl range of methods, mater and/or techniques The context application i considered, and in Consistent, accu logical application to practice, ma developed links b two. Can identif and propose creative solution the context in were first studied.
Transferable skills for life and professional practice (Effectively communicate in a variety of forms to specialist and non-specialist audiences; the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.)	Communication medium is inappropriate or misapplied. Work is poorly structured, disorganised and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.	Communication medium is poorly designed and/or not suitable for the audience. Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making.	Can communicate in a suitable medium for the audience but with some room for improvement. Mostly ordered presentation and structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with some areas of minor weakness.	Can communicate effectively in a suitable medium for the audience, but may have minor errors. Mostly coherent, organised work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates the skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	Can communicate well, confidently and consistently in a suitable medium for the audience. Work is coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work very well autonomously and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of personal responsibility and decision-making, with just occasional minor weakness.	Can co professionally and consistently i medium for the a Work is coherent, and is presented Can work autono initiative. Wher can work pr within a team leadership s appropriate, an obligations. De excellent ski employment req exercise of responsibility an making and an a further developm