


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research Methods
(ECPS4002)

STUDY ONE

Study Code:

1. Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed?
(E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

YES ☒NO ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A.

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Informed consent was at the start of the study. This was to ensure me that I am giving approval to the researcher. Confidentiality was also provided as the consent form discussed with me that all my results will be anonymous. The debrief sheet also showed, withdrawal from the overall study and remove my results.

References:

The British Psychology Society. (2014). *BPS Code of Human Research Ethics* (2nd ed.).

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study?
Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was given information after completing, however there was no researchers there to ask any questions. Also, information that was provided afterwards gave me contact information.

Are there any areas of improvements for this research study? (Think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

The study I felt wasn't as reliable due to it being online. This was because I was in my home environment. Also, I was not able to see my Part 1, this would be helpful to see as I can look at the difference.

NAME (PRINT): ALISHA CHILCOTT

SIGN: A. Chilcott

DATE: 28/02/2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Before the study, the researcher described that I was filling out two questionnaires in relation to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. A definition was also presented.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The study helped me increase my knowledge on SIDS and infants. I was able to put my opinion on the topic, as well as discover new information.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study?
Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

The researcher asked me if I had any questions afterwards. The researcher also explained to me about debriefing sheet. It was explained to me that I was given contact information if necessary.

Are there any areas of improvements for this research study? (Think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I felt that the researcher was clear in explaining what I had to do in the questionnaire and was very helpful in providing support if I needed it.

NAME (PRINT): ALISHA CHILCOTT

SIGN: A. Chilcott

DATE: 30/01/2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was told to follow a future planning leaflet which explains that I have a code in an email to complete this study. I was told to fill out a similar questionnaire to the first one. It was aimed at how I felt that day.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I felt the study was very interesting and a good topic to gather data on. Both Part 1 and Part 2 had very surprising ideas involved.

ONLINE STUDY Study Code:
SWAN_Credit_KMD_Part 2

1. Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed?
(E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your
right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

YES ☒

NO ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A.

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Informed consent was at the start of the study. This was to ensure me that I am giving approval to the researcher. Confidentiality was also provided as the consent form discussed with me that all my results will be anonymous. The debrief sheet also showed, withdrawal from the overall study and remove my results.

STUDY TWO Study Code:

1. Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed?
(E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your
right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

YES ☒

NO ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

N/A.

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Informed consent was at the start of the study. This was to ensure me that I am giving approval to the researcher. Confidentiality was also provided as the consent form discussed with me that all my results will be anonymous. The debrief sheet also showed, withdrawal from the overall study and remove my results.

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I had five minutes to fill out questions about Freud, either using books or technology to answer them. After I answered these, I was told to fill out a questionnaire about how often I use technology.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I found the study very interesting as I could choose which type of research I found to be easier. I felt I had no issues with the study as I found the researchers topic to be very relatable to our generation.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

They provided me with a debrief sheet explaining what I just did and if I had any issues, to email them. I was also given the chance to ask any questions after I was finished.

Are there any areas of improvements for this research study? (Think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I felt I wasn't fully explained what the study was used for. However, overall it was an interesting study to take part in.

NAME (PRINT): ALISHA CHILCOTT

SIGN: A. Chilcott

DATE: 29/02/2018