

Individual Report

Mental Health Service Waiting Times

Module Name: Digital Skills and Research Methods

Module Code: LCHS 4007

Submitted by: Kopila Roka Magar

Submitted to:

University of Wales

Trinity Saint David, London

Student ID:2512524

Lecturer's Name: Pat Ganza

13 December 2025

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Problem Statement.....	3
3. Justification.....	3
Task 2 Research Methodology	4
3.2 Selected Methodology and Rationale.....	5
Task 3: Data Collection.....	5
4.2 Selected Data and Justification.....	5
Conclusion.....	6
References	7

1. Introduction

Long waiting times in the provision of mental health care in the UK health system is a serious and ongoing problem. As a student at a university majoring in public health, I observe the adverse effects of such delays on people, their psychological distress, the factor of escalating a crisis, and the quality of life in general significantly diminishing. To the NHS, long waiting lists cause huge strain on already stretched services that is a contributing factor to inefficiencies, long run healthcare costs and workforce capacity and morale. It is thus important to determine the underlying causes of such delays, since timely and early intervention has been well known to be clinically effective as well as cost-effective. Fastening access to mental health services would reduce patient anxiety, as well as avert worsening of conditions, besides enhancing treatment outcomes. Finally, it is important to solve the issue of waiting time to avoid the fact that the mental health system is becoming more disorganised and overwhelmed and cannot be sustained over time.

2. Problem Statement

The scenario I am addressing is the not short or the long queues in the mental health services of children and adults in the UK, particularly in NHS Talking Therapies (IAPT) and Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS). People who require help are disastrously affected by this issue, as they feel worse when they must wait, which increases their stress levels and the likelihood of crisis emergence (Mind, 2023). It is not very appealing to all.

Caregivers and families also experience the pressure, as they must continue to support their loved ones when they are trapped in the system. It is a vicious cycle which cuts across the board. Practitioners in the field of mental health are the first line of defence, and the waiting lines are a colossal burden to them. Tense, high pressure, and burnout is a familiar common occurrence. It is a hard job that is progressively becoming harder.

The entire NHS is victimised since the increased pressure is transferred to A&E and primary care. Crisis patients find no other way to go, and consequently, they crowd in the emergency rooms or local health centres, further congesting them.

3. Justification

We must urgently research to reduce the waiting time of mental health services and bridge the gap between policy discourse and reality. Although the government continues to make promises

of improved access (NHS Digital, 2024), the reality is that quite a few individuals continue to spend more time than the target time.

We are no longer going to content ourselves with time measures and wait times, but determine why they occur, including increased demand after the pandemic, staffing problems, and funding is very thin. Understanding the actual expenses, including how such time wastes play with student grades and work productivity of adults, is valuable in contributing a solid argument to specific investment and significant alterations in mental health care management.

Sources of Information and Rationale.

1. Source 1: NHS Digital (2024). Psychological Therapies, Annual Report on IAPT services. It is the official NHS statistical release which provides all the figures on waits, referrals, recoveries, and capacity to talk therapies in England - basically the raw numbers concerning why the waits are such a problem.

Reason behind the Choice: I have chosen this since it is the final word and trustworthiness - the do-not-need-more-sayer of statistics book.

2. Source 2: Mind (2023). We are Still Waiting: Mind report on Waiting Time to Mental Health Treatment. Mind is one of the leading mental health charities, and this report is a combination of both survey and various personal stories, which introduce the human element to the figures. Rationale to be Selected: It will bring an essential qualitative, user-friendly element, transforming not-warm figures into strong narratives of suffering and perseverance required to complete the picture.

3. Source 3: The King's Fund (2023). Mental Health and the NHS: The Long Waiting Treatment. It identifies two major causes of the long waits, including systematic under-investment in mental and behavioural health, and under-investment in primary care systems.

Reason to be Selected: This think-tank does not merely explain the problem, but it justifies it, giving detailed policy insights that are vital to formulating solutions.

Task 2 Research Methodology

Provide a short description of quantitative and qualitative research.

It has been observed that research methods are commonly divided into two types: quantitative and qualitative. All quantitative studies are about numbers and seek patterns and relationships. It involves surveys, experiments and the data may be frequently used on a larger population. Think "how many?" or "how much?"

Qualitative research, however, goes into the why, viewpoints and motivation of things. It is based on interview or focus group words, and it is very insightful into the why and how. It provides abundant background and narratives (Dawson, 2019).

3.2 Selected Methodology and Rationale.

In this research, I will adopt a mixed-method approach since the waiting-time problem is a complex one- it should be statistically supported to demonstrate the scale and be person-storey-based to demonstrate the cost. Entirely quantitative research may be able to trace tendencies and correlations but cannot measure the amount of anxiety and degradation that a person experiences when they have to wait to receive assistance.

Therefore, I will mix a quantitative study of the current data on NHS waiting times and a qualitative interview with individuals waiting and with GPs making referrals. Such a combination will provide us with a strong evidence base, being statistically sound and highly human, building an effective case to change.

Task 3: Data Collection

Gathering data refers to gathering information with the aim of addressing a research issue. Primary data is obtained directly by the researcher, such as a new survey, interview, or firsthand observations. It is original and specific to the study, yet highly expensive and time-consuming. Secondary data refers to the information that has already prepared and gathered with other purposes in mind - such as government data, academic journals, company statistics or pre-published surveys. It is also faster and less expensive, yet you should make sure that it is reliable and relevant (Saunders et al., 2019).

Description of data collection on primary and secondary levels.

Primary and Secondary Data Collection The methodology used for the primary and secondary data collection will be overviewed in the following section.

4.2 Selected Data and Justification.

I will refer to the primary and secondary data to obtain a comprehensive and efficient study. The investigation will be initiated by a thorough analysis of the secondary data, including NHS Digital statistics and reports released by Mind and The King's Fund, which will provide a reasonable evidence-based overview of the situation in the country and the concepts of reasons.

Then I will collect first-hand information of fresh primary data by carrying out semi-structured interviews with individuals who are currently on a mental-health waiting list or recently off it. Integrating the macro perspective of secondary data with the micro perspective of interviews will render the findings realistic to real-life and based on actual experiences.

Conclusion

To put it briefly, this report describes a holistic approach to addressing the acute issue of the waiting time in accessing mental health services in UK. The problem statement demonstrates the extent of the presence of this issue, which impacts individuals, families, communities, and the entire health system. I believe that our study should not be confined to the reasons provided in the superficial way and dig into the structural and operational reasons that may inform substantial and enduring change in policy. The mixed-methods approach will be employed to arrive at both quantitative data of services and qualitative information on the lived experience of patients in waiting. The methodology will enable the holistic study of the impacts of delayed access, which will demonstrate the urgency of saving waiting time and ensuring efficiency, equity, and outcomes, which will ultimately result in millions more people obtaining timely, high-quality mental health care.

References

Dawson, C. (2019) *Introduction to research methods: A practical guide for anyone undertaking a research project*. 5th edn. Oxford: Little, Brown Book Group.

Mind (2023) *We're still waiting: Mind's report on waiting times for mental health treatment*. Available at: <https://www.mind.org.uk/> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

NHS Digital (2024) *Psychological therapies: Annual report on the use of IAPT services*. Available at: <https://digital.nhs.uk/> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019) *Research methods for business students*. 8th edn. Harlow: Pearson.