Feedback

Student's Name	CONNOR MICHAEL ANTHONY
Student's SurName	WILSON
Student's Email	2011592@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB6000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	**Marks**
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources for threats, vuln., attacks & defense strategies, lab outcomes, similar tools used & mitigation strategies discussed. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. Good engagement with Literature review. However, it is not adequate to display all aspects requested. Key concepts on Lab activities, implementation of similar tools not adequately discussed. More academic credible literatures could have been referred to the work. More in-depth critical appraisals and literature summaries could have added value to your work.	16.4

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high marks you need to demostrate systematic understanding of various technologies (your MCQ Test marks applies here). The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered in finding the outcomes using various tools, understanding technical weaknesses & addressing appropriate recommendations contributed to the score on this section Generic question removed from marking (MCQ Test). Lab results/ outcomes not discussed. Lab activities, its outcome not documented and discussed. Discussing the lab outcomes could have helped in systematic understanding of the concepts. Senario based security recommendations discussed well.	12.95

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	15
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the threats, observations made on the results gathered, analysing the pros and cons of similar tools and mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Requires more elaborate discussion on various possible attacks, the vulnerability postures, threats etc. Critical analysis on implementation of lab activities, discussion on its outcomes and similar tools required. Analysing pros and cons of similar tools needs more discussion. Key observations on mitigation strategies needs more discussion.	5.8

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
To excel in this part, you need to provide evidence of the practical activities done by giving appropriate screenshots on the implementation and results. A description of the new similar tool and its implementation that will convince the examiner on the work done. More evidences on completing the lab activities, implementing the new tools required.	3

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Basic formatting. Use automated table of contents. Number chapters/ subheadings.	3.2
Overall Mark	41