



University of Wales
Trinity Saint David
BIRMINGHAM

Institute of Inner-City Learning, Birmingham Campus

BSc (Hons) Health and Social Care

Component 3: Element 3 - Dissertation

Student ID: 2313167

Institute:

Supervisor:

Date:21/07/26

DISCLAIMER

This document was correct at the time of publication. The Module Coordinator reserves the right to modify any statement, if necessary, make variations to the content or methods of delivery of programmes of study, to discontinue programmes, or merge or combine programmes if such actions are reasonably considered to be necessary by UWTSD. Every effort will be made to keep disruption to a minimum and to give as much notice as possible. An electronic copy of this handbook can be found on the Moodle page for the module.

DECLARATION

This work has not previously been accepted in substance for any degree and is not being concurrently submitted in candidature for any degree

Signed Nazmeen Kauser.....

Date 21/07/26.....

STATEMENT 1

This dissertation is being submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of BSc.

Signed Nazmeen Kauser.....

Date 21/07/26.....

STATEMENT 2

This dissertation is the result of my own independent work/investigation, except where otherwise stated. Other sources are acknowledged by footnotes giving explicit references. A bibliography is appended.

Signed Nazmeen Kauser.....

Date 21/07/26.....

STATEMENT 3

I hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be available for photocopying and for interlibrary loan, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organisations.

Signed Nazmeen Kauser.....

Date 21/07/26.....

STATEMENT 4

I hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be available on the university's IT system to those who have consent to use the system.

Signed Nazmeen Kauser.....

Date 21/07/26.....

Barriers to Accessing Mental Health Services for Young People Aged 16–25 in the UK

Student ID: 2313167

Institute:

Lecturer:

Date: 21/07/26

Dissertation submitted as part of the requirements for the award BSc (Hons) Health and Social
Care

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would wish to state my deep appreciation to my supervisor in their constant guidance, support and useful feedback in the accomplishment of this dissertation. Their knowledge and support have been critical in determining the course and standard of this research.

I also owe my university the opportunity to get access to academic resources, journals, and databases which made it possible to implement the given study successfully.

Lastly, I would also like to appreciate how my family and friends have been helpful in this course of study by being patient, encouraging and inspiring. They have been of much support to me to help me get through this dissertation.

ABSTRACT

This dissertation is a critical investigation of structural, social, and systemic obstacles to access to mental health services among the youths between 16-25 years in the United Kingdom. The research falls into the wider framework of escalating the mental health rates among youthful groups, and recent findings reveal that the service demand rates have increased significantly. The research has relevance in the context of health and social care because it deals with current issues of disparities in access, fragmentation in service provision, and differences between the policy intent and service provision. The research paper will focus on some of the major barriers and recommend the best evidence based solutions to enhance accessibility, early intervention, and continuity of care.

The secondary qualitative research design was used, which is aimed at the analysis of available academic sources, policy reports, and organisational publications. To find pertinent resources in the databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, and official NHS resources, a structured search strategy was applied. The thematic analysis was utilized to identify patterns and repetitive issues in the literature in a systematic way, which allowed formulating the main themes of barriers to access.

The results show that there were many major barriers such as long waiting times, lack of capacity to provide services, difficulty in transferring between CAMHS and adult services, and the ongoing stigma of mental health. Such structural problems as lack of funding and shortage of workforce were also pointed out as significant limitations. The paper concludes that all these barriers are related, and a holistic and multi-dimensional strategy should be used to enhance service availability.

The study is however constrained by the secondary data which might limit the researcher to attain real-time experiences of service users. The research, nevertheless, is an insight in terms of the current systemic issues. The results of this research influence policy/practice recommendations such as the necessity of integrated youth services, more funding, better transition processes, and more mental health awareness campaigns.

Table of Contents

1	CHAPTER 1: Introduction	10
1.1	Introduction	10
1.2	Definitions and Terminology	10
1.3	Rationale for Research	11
1.4	Aim and Objectives	12
1.4.1	Aim:	12
1.4.2	Objectives:	12
1.5	Conclusion	12
2	CHAPTER 2: Background Information and Analysis	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Historical Background	13
2.3	Theoretical Background	14
2.4	International Context	15
2.5	National/Local UK Context	16
2.6	Conclusion	17
3	CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	18
3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	Search Strategy	18
3.3	Searching for Information	18
3.4	Research Validation	19
3.5	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	19
3.6	Analysing Research	20
3.7	Ethical Considerations	20
3.8	Chapter Conclusion	20
4	CHAPTER 4 – Findings and Discussion	22
4.1	Introduction	22
4.2	Thematic Analysis	22

4.3	Theme 1: Structural and Systemic Barriers to Access.....	22
4.3.1	Definition and Scope of Structural Barriers	22
4.3.2	Background Information.....	23
4.3.3	Service Capacity Crisis.....	23
4.3.4	Workforce Constraints	24
4.3.5	Geographical Inequality	24
4.3.6	Policy and Framework Analysis.....	24
4.3.7	Evidence from Literature.....	25
4.3.8	Implications of Structural Barriers.....	25
4.3.9	Link to Research Aim and Interconnections.....	25
4.4	Theme 2: Transition Barriers Between CAMHS and AMHS	26
4.4.1	Definition and Context of Transition Barriers	26
4.4.2	Structural Discontinuity Between Services.....	26
4.4.3	Eligibility-Based Exclusion and Access Barriers	26
4.4.4	Coordination Failures Between Services	27
4.4.5	Policy and Framework Analysis.....	27
4.4.6	Evidence from Literature.....	28
4.4.7	Implications of Transition Barriers	28
4.4.8	Link to Research Aim and Interconnections.....	28
4.4.9	Theoretical Link and Analytical Insight	29
4.5	Theme 3: Social, Cultural and Individual Barriers	29
4.5.1	Definition of Key Concepts	29
4.5.2	Context and Background	29
4.5.3	Stigma as a Primary Barrier.....	30
4.5.4	Mental Health Literacy	30
4.5.5	Socioeconomic Barriers.....	30
4.5.6	Inequality Analysis	31
4.5.7	Policy and Evidence-Based Analysis	31

4.5.8	Implications of Social and Individual Barriers.....	31
4.5.9	Theoretical Link.....	31
4.5.10	Link to Research Aim and Interconnections.....	32
4.6	Chapter Conclusion	32
5	CHAPTER 5 – Conclusion and Recommendations.....	33
5.1	Recommendations.....	33
5.1.1	Recommendation 1: Strengthening Early Intervention and Service Capacity....	33
5.1.2	Recommendation 2: Improving CAMHS–AMHS Transition Pathways.....	34
5.1.3	Recommendation 3: Reducing Stigma and Improving Mental Health Literacy ..	34
	References.....	36
	Appendices	42
	Appendix A	42
5.2	Appendix B.....	42
	Appendix C – Independent Project Results Summary	43

1 CHAPTER 1: Introduction

1.1 Introduction

Mental health has emerged as a major health issue in the world, especially among the youth. The number of people with mental illnesses in the United Kingdom in the age group of 16-25 has significantly grown, and current statistics show that about one out of every five children aged under 25 has a likely mental illness (NHS Digital, 2023). This increasing demand has exerted a strong pressure on mental health services creating the issue of accessibility, service quality and continuity of care. Although policies have been made to address inequities in service delivery and access, this has not been reflected in all regions and populations (NHS England, 2019).

One of the critical problems in the current context is the shift between Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) and Adult Mental Health Services (AMHS), which leads to discontinuity of services and unmet needs (Rethink Mental Illness, 2019). Moreover, structural impediments, including long queues, shortage of workforce, and insufficient funding also limit access to timely care. The young people also have lower service utilisation due to social factors, such as stigma, and ignorance (Barrow and Thomas, 2022). These obstacles show that mental health service access is a product of a complex systemic and individual interaction.

This dissertation seeks to critically examine structural, social and systemic barriers that restrict access to mental health services among the youth aged 16-25 in the UK. The research aims to make a contribution to the area by pinpointing the gaps in the existing service delivery and providing evidence-based suggestions on how to enhance accessibility, early intervention, and continuity of care.

1.2 Definitions and Terminology

Table 1: List of Key Definitions and Acronyms

Term / Acronym	Definition	Relevance to Study
Mental Health	A state of well-being in which individuals realise their abilities and cope with life stresses (WHO, 2022)	Central concept underpinning the study
Access to Services	The ability to obtain appropriate healthcare services when needed (Cu et al., 2021)	Core issue being investigated.

CAMHS	Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services in the UK	Key service affecting young people under 18
AMHS	Adult Mental Health Services	Represents transition point for young people
Service Transition	The process of moving from CAMHS to AMHS	Major barrier identified in literature
Stigma	Negative attitudes or discrimination towards mental health conditions (Mental Health Foundation, 2021)	Influences help-seeking behaviour
Secondary Research	Research based on analysis of existing data and literature (Manu and Akotia, 2021)	Methodological approach of this study
Thematic Analysis	A method for identifying patterns within qualitative data (Braun and Clarke, 2021)	Used for analysing findings

1.3 Rationale for Research

The growing rate of mental health among the youth has increased the demand to have accessible and effective mental health services. Although policy efforts have been made to enhance service delivery, it has been indicated that there are still barriers that restrict access to services especially among people between the age of 16 and 25 (NHS Digital, 2023). This age group is a critical developmental period, and in this case, early intervention may play a prominent role in the long-term outcomes, such as education, employment, and social integration (Patel et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the current systems frequently do not support this population well enough and the effectiveness of the services as well as equity can be questioned.

One of the reasons why this research is important is that it is going to fill the gap between the intention of the policy and reality. Although models like the NHS Long Term Plan include the importance of early intervention and better access, uneven delivery and regional inequalities are still a major issue (NHS England, 2019). Moreover, such shift between CAMHS and AMHS has been highly criticised to introduce the discontinuity in care, which in most cases results in disengagement with services (Rethink Mental Illness, 2019).

Individual barriers (stigma and lack of awareness) have been emphasized in the current literature, but little critical synthesis of the interaction between structural and systemic factors

has been made to determine how they affect access. This paper aims at filling this gap by considering a holistic methodology that will combine policy analysis with thematic assessment of the available literature. In this way, the study will help to address current discussions in the field of health and social care about the accessibility of the services and present the evidence-based recommendations that can be used to shape the future policies and practice.

1.4 Aim and Objectives

1.4.1 Aim:

This project aims to critically explore the major structural, social, and systemic obstacles to the access of mental health services by young people aged 16-25 years in the UK and will suggest evidence-based solutions to enhance access to services.

1.4.2 Objectives:

- To define and examine the current organisation and delivery of mental health services for young people aged 16–25 in the UK.
- To analyse key barriers to accessing services, including long waiting times, transitions between CAMHS and adult services, stigma, and limited resources.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of existing UK mental health policies and frameworks in addressing access challenges faced by young people.
- Proposing evidence-based recommendations to improve service accessibility, early intervention, and the continuity of mental health care for young people.

1.5 Conclusion

This chapter has presented the topic of the research, which is the increasing number of young people in the UK with mental health challenges and related barriers to services. It has described the need to study structural, social, and systemic obstacles that affect the availability of services. Some of the most important definitions and terms were presented in order to create a conceptual clarity and the rationale was based on the necessity of research taking into account the current gaps between policy and practice. The research aim and objectives were well spelt out giving the study a form of direction. The following chapter will include a literature review that will critically analyze the current studies on the topic of mental health service access, barriers, and policy frameworks. This will offer both theoretical and empirical basis to the study and justify the analysis of the results in subsequent chapters.

2 CHAPTER 2: Background Information and Analysis

2.1 Introduction

The chapter offers the contextual background to the knowledge of the obstacles to the use of mental health services among the youth in the UK. It looks into the historical progression, theoretical models and the general international and national factors that influence mental health service provision. This chapter is aimed to place the research in current scholarly and policy discussions and show that the earlier developments and theoretical views underline the current issues. This chapter raises awareness of global and UK-specific solutions to the issues that affect access to care. It does not entail thematic analysis which shall be discussed in Chapter 4, but rather emphasizes developing a unified backdrop to justify the research design and analysis in the following chapters.

2.2 Historical Background

Mental health services development has changed greatly throughout the years, changing to the community-based approach instead of institutional care. Traditionally, the UK mental health provision was mainly hospital-based, and only a little was done in terms of early intervention or youth-related services. Previously, systems were focused on containment and not recovery and usually, isolated individuals to the rest of the society. Nevertheless, such reforms as the Community Care Act of 1990 were the shift to deinstitutionalisation and community care with the goal of enhancing the access and integration of services (Ayuso-Mateos et al., 2019). Though this change enhanced patient control and social inclusivity, it also brought about service fragmentation, unequal funding, and unequal distribution of resources to the regions.

The newer trends have also focused on early intervention and youth-centered care, which is a change in the preventative direction. Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) introduction was one of the milestones in the needs of younger populations. Nevertheless, researchers believe that even after such developments, there is still inconsistency in service delivery. As an illustration, McGorry et al. (2019) focus on the role of early intervention in young mental health to avoid negative consequences in the long-term, whereas Corney et al. (2021) discuss inequalities in service provision by regions and especially in underprivileged districts. This opposition indicates that although early intervention has been well known to be helpful, its practice is patchy and tends to be limited by structural constraints.

The move of CAMHS to Adult Mental Health Services (AMHS) has become a burning subject matter over the past years. According to Rethink (2023), most of the youths have a cliff edge between service types, which results in disengagement and lack of needs. Conversely,

Majumder et al. (2023) suggest that the gaps could be filled with the help of integrated youth services that would offer continuity of care and minimize the disruption of services. This discussion brings out the tensions that exist between the age-old traditional service models and more flexible and integrated service models.

The NHS and the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) are some of the key organisations that helped shape mental health services. The NHS Long Term Plan has been one of the policies that have focused on mental health investment and early intervention (NHS England, 2019). Nonetheless, according to the reports of Checkland et al. (2025), the implementation is still unequal, and there are great regional differences. All in all, the history of the issue shows that policy and structure have been developed, but the existing inequalities and gaps in service delivery still hinder proper access.

2.3 Theoretical Background

The knowledge on access to mental health services is based on a number of theoretical frameworks that emphasise the complexity of the problem. Among the most powerful is the Framework on Access to Healthcare, suggested by Levesque, who views access as a multidimensional process, with its three parts, namely, availability, affordability, and acceptability (Cu et al., 2021). The framework is extensively used in health research in order to examine the service utilisation barriers. Although the point that Levesque, as presented by Cu et al. (2021), addresses is system- and organisation-related, Zakrajsek et al. (2023) pay attention to the individual-level barriers, including stigma, awareness, and negative views of services. The difference between these approaches shows that the provision of access does not only depend on service provision but also on the personal behaviour and attitudes and a more combined approach to analysis is needed.

The other concept is the Social Determinants of Health (SDH) framework that states that the outcomes of health are influenced by the overall social and economic factors, such as education, income, and social support (Marmot, 2020). This model has been extensively applied in explaining disparities in access to mental health. As an example, Visser et al. (2021) show that socio-economic disadvantage is one of the significant barriers to mental health services in the youth, especially among the individuals with deprived backgrounds. Nonetheless, critics by Firth et al. (2019) discovered that the SDH framework can possibly ignore service-level aspects including organisational inefficiencies and policy constraints, which are also sources of the barriers to access. It means that SDH is a powerful macro-level, but it might be inadequate in explaining challenges at an operational level in healthcare systems.

Health Belief Model (HBM) can also be applied in the explanation of help seeking behaviour. According to this model, the level of illness perception, perceived treatment benefit, and perceived barrier affect the decision of people to seek care (Rosenstock et al., 1988). The recent studies, including Aguirre Velasco et al. (2020), use this model to youth mental health and emphasize the impacts of stigma and the absence of awareness on the postponement of help-seeking. Nevertheless, although HBM is an effective framework to explain individual decision-making, it has been criticized due to its lack of structural barriers of service availability, waiting time, and policy constraints.

The usage of these theories in the past studies proves their applicability, however, it also shows their weaknesses. Indicatively, the literature that integrates SDH and access frameworks gives a better picture of the barriers (Patel et al., 2018; Visser et al. (2021). However, there is still controversy on the comparative significance of individual and systemic determinants of access. In general, there is no single theory that can be used to explain access to mental health services, which means that a combined approach should be adopted. This paper takes this approach in that it takes into account both structural and individual views in order to have a more holistic view.

2.4 International Context

Mental health in the youth has been a major issue of public health concern in the world today and people have begun to appreciate the importance of having the services easily available and accessible. According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2022), early intervention and care in the community are the key elements of the effective mental health systems. Some nations like Australia and Canada have adopted the youth-based models such as integrated service hubs which tend to offer holistic services to the youth by integrating mental health, social and educational services. McGorry et al. (2019) point to success of the Australian model (Headspace) in enhancing the outcomes of access, engagement and early interventions.

The United States, on the other hand, follows a more decentralized approach with the mental health care services frequently being associated with the insurance-based models. Although this structure enables specialisation and a wide range of services delivery, it may pose significant financial impediments especially to underprivileged groups of people (Firth et al., 2019). In comparison, European nations like Norway and the Netherlands put more stress to universal medical mechanisms that decrease the monetary obstacles to service. Nevertheless, the systems continue to experience waiting time issues, insufficiency in the workforce, and the growing demand of the services (OECD, 2021). This analogy implies that although the models of funds vary considerably, structural obstacles are still present in the systems of various health care.

The global partnerships including the WHO mental health action plans encourage common approaches of enhancing access and inequalities. Nevertheless, there is a significant difference in the application of the same across nations based on the availability of resources, governance, and healthcare infrastructure. As an illustration, the integrated models which have proven to be effective in Australia are quite costly to implement and coordinate, which might not be possible in every situation (McGorry et al., 2019). On the same note, OECD (2021) records indicate that even systems that have the necessary resources face difficulties in fulfilling the demand. Altogether, the global situation proves that the provision of mental health services is a complicated and international issue.

2.5 National/Local UK Context

In the United Kingdom, the primary provider of mental health services is the National Health Service (NHS), and more policy focus is placed on the enhancement of accessibility and early intervention. Mental health is one of the priorities of the NHS Long Term Plan that has the intention to increase the services, shorten the waiting time, and reduce the outcome among young people (NHS England, 2019). Also, the significance of early intervention and prevention is highlighted in such policies as Future in Mind. Nevertheless, even with such efforts, there is an uneven access to services, which still shows that there are still challenges in the system. NHS Digital (2023) indicates that the mental health services have experienced a significant demand in recent years leading to a lot of strain on the available resources and service capacity.

The effectiveness of the UK mental health policies is discussed by scholars in different ways. To illustrate this point, O'Reilly et al. (2018) state that mental health services have been more aware of and allocated more funds to due to policy frameworks. As compared to that, the results of the implementation, as indicated in Checkland et al. (2021) reports, suggest that the lack of the implementation gaps, workforce shortage, and regional disparities still hamper the effectiveness of these policies. This opposition implies that the process of policy making has been progressive but there are practical issues in implementation that prevent the realization of desired results.

The biggest problem of the UK context is related to the transition between CAMHS and AMHS. According to Retthink (2023), such change is a significant obstacle that can often lead to the discontinuity of the service and its withdrawal of the young population. Equally, Majumder et al. (2023) believe that strict age demarcation and the absence of coordination among the services are also the cause of poor continuity of care. Nevertheless, integrated youth services have been proposed in some areas to deal with these issues, which shows that the service access and continuity could be improved.

On the ground level, it is apparent that there is a difference in service delivery in various parts of the UK. The services are applied differently by the local authorities and NHS trusts resulting into disparities of access and quality of care. Indicatively, in certain regions, community-based mental health centers have emerged and are more accessible, whereas other regions are still using the old methods of referrals (NHS England, 2019). These differences reflect the role played by local governance, funding and distribution of resources in the service delivery. Generally, the UK setting is an indication of a multifaceted system that implies robust policy frameworks and intractable practical issues on service accessibility.

2.6 Conclusion

The chapter has presented a full background of the study by looking into the history and theory of the mental health service access, as well as the international and United Kingdom-specific background. It has also shown the development of services in terms of institutional care to community-based models, and also pointed out some challenges that are still in existence like service fragments and transition gaps. Theoretical views showed that both structural and individual factors affect access and it is necessary to have a combined approach.

The global comparison demonstrated that the access to mental health is a worldwide problem, whereas the UK situation demonstrated that there are still challenges despite the powerful policy frameworks. The second chapter will present the research methodology which would clarify the data gathering and analysis procedure to be used to answer the research purpose.

3 CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

In this chapter, the researcher describes the methodology employed to carry out this study, which is the desk-based secondary research design. It describes the process of the systematic identification, selection and analysis of academic and policy literature to answer the research purpose. Although the academic methodology poster gave a graphic overview of the process, this chapter goes into more detail of each stage.

The research techniques are vital in making sure that the credible and relevant sources are found and critically evaluated. The thematic findings are based on these sources in Chapter 4 in order to provide the evidence-based and structured analysis of impediments in mental health service access.

3.2 Search Strategy

The search strategy was formulated based on key words that were obtained through the research aim, which include: mental health access UK, young people mental health, and CAMHS transition. They were broadened with such synonyms as service accessibility, youth mental health barriers, and healthcare utilisation.

Refinement of the search was done using the operators of Boolean. As an illustration, narrowing down the results with the help of the query “mental health AND access AND UK” was applied, whereas broadening the search was done with the aid of the query: youth OR young people. Irrelevant topics were selected out by use of the operator NOT.

This was done by keeping a structured search plan by noting down keywords and combinations to enhance consistency and enhance the efficiency of literature retrieval.

3.3 Searching for Information

Various scholarly databases and websites were consulted to be able to cover the literature fully. These were Google scholar, PubMed and UWTSD online library. The sources have been chosen because they are available to peer-reviewed journal articles, government publications, and policy reports that are regarded as reliable and credible in the health and social care research.

Relevancy was enhanced by filtering the search process. These were a limitation of the search results to articles published since 2019, articles in the English language and UK-based studies. Priority was given to peer-reviewed articles to guarantee the quality of articles. This methodology assisted in reducing a bulk of literature into a useful and applicable data set.

The search was also iterative in nature, and that is, the search was altered where necessary. E.g. where the preliminary searches gave too many unrelated results, keywords were narrowed or combined in different ways. In other instances, reference lists of the important articles were also utilized to find other relevant sources. The creation of a source tracking table containing the major details was done to ensure that the research process is organised and transparent (see Appendix).

3.4 Research Validation

A systematic process of validation was used to make sure that the chosen sources are of high quality and reliable. The relevance was first determined through the use of skimming where titles, abstracts, introductions, and conclusions were reviewed. This enabled the effective search of a suitable literature and elimination of irrelevant studies.

Each source was also assessed using the CRAAP test (Currency, Relevance, Authority, Accuracy, Purpose). The preference was made to new publications (2019-2025), peer-reviewed journals, and reports of well-established organisations like NHS and WHO. Although not every source was relevant, credible and authoritative to the fullest extent, priority was given to those that were proven to be very relevant, credible and authoritative.

As an illustration, one of the studies published in a peer-reviewed journal and data collection based in the UK with a clear methodology was regarded as very reliable. On the contrary, non-academic or opinion-based sources were left out. This process of validation made sure that the research was informed using high-quality evidence only.

3.5 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were used so as to ensure focus and quality.

Table 2: Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Peer-reviewed journal articles	Non-academic blogs and opinion pieces
Published between 2019–2025	Sources published before 2019
UK-based studies and reports	Non-UK studies without relevance
Full-text accessible sources	Inaccessible or incomplete articles

These were the criteria that made the research relevant, up to date and academically rigorous. The study was well focused on credible evidence by eliminating outdated or unreliable sources.

3.6 *Analysing Research*

The literature that was selected was analysed using a thematic coding method. This included the critical reading of each source and finding common ideas, concepts, and problems concerning mental health service access barriers. Primary codes were created according to keywords and repetitive patterns in the data, including waiting times, stigma and service transition.

Such codes were further summarized into larger themes in line with the objectives of research. This also facilitated the sorting of vast bulk of qualitative data into significant categories which will be discussed in Chapter 4.

Tables and colour coding were employed to structure and trace the findings to facilitate this process. To be as transparent and consistent as possible in the analysis, codes, themes, and key findings were recorded in a spreadsheet in every source. This was a methodological procedure that made the research results more dependable.

3.7 *Ethical Considerations*

The research is purely based on secondary data, that is, no primary data collection or human involvement was done. Therefore, the informed consent and confidentiality issues were not applicable. Nevertheless, the ethical issues play a crucial role in the provision of academic integrity.

The correct citation of all sources in the Harvard referencing style was used to prevent plagiarism and to properly attribute ideas. This was done to make fair representation of findings so that they are not misinterpreted or biased. Also, credible and reliable sources were used to ensure that the research was not compromised. This was done in order to provide transparency, accountability and ethical responsibility in the course of the study.

3.8 *Chapter Conclusion*

In this chapter, the methodological approach adopted in this study has been discussed, such as, search strategy, data collection process, validation techniques and thematic analysis. It showed the way the relevant literature was identified and analysed in a systematic and structured manner. The inclusion and exclusion criteria helped to make sure that only credible and updated sources have been used and thematic coding offered a clear structure of organising findings. The following chapter will provide the results and discussion, during which

the identified themes will be discussed in the details and connected to the available literature to respond to the research aim.

4 CHAPTER 4 – Findings and Discussion

4.1 Introduction

The current chapter is based on the analytically obtained results of a secondary study, and the thematic analysis was conducted with a view to identifying the obstacles to access to mental health services for youth in the UK (NHS Digital, 2023). It is based on more recent peer-reviewed UK-based literature, which guarantees contextual relevance and scholarly standards (ONS, 2022). The analysis is critical in nature as it contextualises access issues not as viable but as systemic problems that are influenced by structural, transitional, and socio-cultural factors (Rethink Mental Illness, 2023). This chapter is designed using thematic analysis, which identifies three interdependent themes that, as a group, answered the research objective of critically discussing barriers and informing evidence-based gains of service access.

4.2 Thematic Analysis

Braun and Clarke (2006) stated that thematic analysis is an analytical process that identifies, interprets and builds patterns in data that go beyond description to critical synthesis. This paper used a systematic method of coding the results summary table, which allowed cross-study comparison and the discovery of the common patterns within UK-based literature (Nowell et al., 2021). The first codes were produced and clumped into larger categories of analysis, namely, the service level inefficiencies, transitional discontinuities, and socio-cultural effects. This coding-to-theme approach is especially applicable to secondary research because, by means of it, various findings may be integrated into multi-level, coherent insights (Terry et al., 2021). The method promotes the detection of structural and behavioural obstacles that work together. Three central themes were discovered: structural/systemic barriers, the gap between CAMHS and AMHS between transits (McMahon et al., 2022), and social and individual barriers, indicating the complex and interconnected character of the access issues.

4.3 Theme 1: Structural and Systemic Barriers to Access

4.3.1 Definition and Scope of Structural Barriers

Structural and systemic barriers are macro-level barriers that are built into health service systems, limiting access to care in a timely and equitable way. These are resource constraints, workforce deficit and deficit in funding that determine the provision and access to mental health services. In the UK setting, these obstacles are strongly associated with the design of the NHS service delivery habits, where the focus on capacity limits determines the quality and

availability of care (NHS England, 2019). These obstacles are more systemic design constraints where demand is always higher than the resources at hand (Health Foundation, 2022b). This is consistent with more general theoretical views of structural inequality that claim that institutional organisation influences access to healthcare and not a choice (Marmot et al., 2020). To grasp the problem of access among the youth population, it is important to analyse how the structural framework creates and maintains inequalities in the service delivery process.

4.3.2 Background Information

The rise in the frequency of mental health problems among the youth has exacerbated the already limited services. According to the recent UK statistics, the demand for youth mental health services is already growing, and this number is expected to rise even more in the future, especially after the pandemic, when the number of referrals to the youth mental health services, including Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS), grows significantly (NHS Digital, 2023). This demand has not been met by proportionate funding or service capacity boosts. Mental health services in the UK have previously received less funding than physical services, which are responsible for the historical inequalities in service provision (BMA, 2021). Even though policy rhetoric has become increasingly focused on parity of esteem, the current state of practice implies that there is still a considerable distance between policy aspiration and practical implementation (The King's Fund, 2022). As a result, structural pressures have been increasing, and the availability of services and the access thresholds have been augmented.

4.3.3 Service Capacity Crisis

One of the key aspects of structural constraints is the disparity between the capacity and demand of services. The symptoms that best illustrate this demand-supply imbalance include long waiting periods that are commonly explained as administrative delays, but which are structural inefficiencies. Waiting lists are not just logistical problems, but they also signal a cultural malfunction of service design, in which resources and systems are not flexible enough to handle an increase in demand (Care Quality Commission, 2022a). The results have shown a steady delay in the receipt of mental services among the youth.

O'Reilly et al. (2021) discovered that the waiting time was often longer than it was recommended to be and caused further damage to the mental state of a person before intervention. Frith (2023) points out that delays in treatment are intertwined with the severity of symptoms, which also contributes to the point that the capacity limitation has proximate clinical ramifications. Though there are reports of localised improvements, they tend to be

context-specific, and they do not deal with bigger systemic challenges (NHS Confederation, 2022). This implies that any developments are not coherent but represent a sign of entrenched structural change.

4.3.4 Workforce Constraints

Another structural barrier that has a direct influence on the availability and quality of mental health services is workforce shortages. The lack of trained mental health professionals decreases the capacity of the service, inflates its effects and minimises the possibility of offering timely intervention (Health Education England, 2021). An analytical viewpoint on workforce constraints depicts a lack of linkage between the promises in policy statements and policy implementation (The King's Fund, 2022). Expanded access and early intervention are supported by policy, but a lack of investment in developing their workforce negates these policies.

That leads to an outcome of less coverage of the service, longer waiting times, and more crisis-driven care. This argument is supported by empirical evidence. According to a study by Stafford et al. (2022), one of the main reasons that causes service delays and low quality of care is the shortage of the workforce. The study also points to regional diversity, indicating that the workforce issues do not occur equally all over. This casts doubt on the generalisability of results and implies the necessity of analysis that is contextual analysis.

4.3.5 Geographical Inequality

Geographical differences in service distribution can further add to structural impediments. Mental health services differ in terms of access across regions, with urban centres generally enjoying more resource allocation in comparison to rural environments (Public Health England, 2021). This disparity is a problem for the idea of universal access in the NHS. Although the system is tailored to deliver equal care, structural disparities lead to unequal access depending on the location. Services are also more poorly distributed in rural settings, travel is more difficult, and the provision of specialists is lower, which serves as a barrier to access (Nuffield Trust, 2022b). These inequalities reflect the gaps in national policy frameworks, which cannot explain local differences in need and allocation of resources. There is a structural disparity in access that strengthens prevailing health disparities.

4.3.6 Policy and Framework Analysis

The NHS Long Term Plan policy framework and the standards of the NICE guideline are designed to enhance access to mental health through standardisation and augmented investment. The NHS Long Term Plan presents intentions to increase the scope of mental health services and enhance early intervention (NHS England, 2019), whereas NICE

guidelines present evidence-based care delivery standards (NICE, 2022a). A critical analysis shows that there is a disjuncture between the worry of policy formulation and action.

These frameworks have some clear objectives, but their efficacy is limited in terms of resources and staff shortage. Policy ambitions are not realised in practice to the full (The King's Fund, 2022). There is no guiding mechanism in place in the NICE guidelines that restrains their effectiveness. They offer a framework of best practice, but it is not followed consistently, especially in resource-limited environments (Care Quality Commission, 2022b). This also justifies the thesis that policy is weakened by structural barriers.

4.3.7 Evidence from Literature

Literature reviews indicate that there is a large consensus that the demand for youth mental health services is greater than the capacity. Literature by O'Reilly et al. (2021) and Stafford et al. (2022) continually points to capacity problems and the unavailability of the workforce as the main limitations. Nevertheless, certain research shows that certain areas are improving, which means that the progress is unequal (NHS Confederation, 2022). The studies are not of consistent method and sample size, which casts reliability and generalisability into question. As an illustration, regional research might not be representative of trends in the entire nation, and cross-sectional designs do not allow the object of long-term outcomes to be examined. What it shows is that one should critically analyse evidence instead of accepting the findings.

4.3.8 Implications of Structural Barriers

Structural barriers have far reached implication. The inability to receive care in time is linked to the deterioration of mental health, an increase in the risk of crisis, and a high dependence on emergency care (Frith, 2023). This not only impacts personal wellbeing, but can create an extra burden to healthcare systems. Structural barriers are also causes of health inequalities, where the accessibility of services is not evenly distributed among the populations. This reinforces current imbalances and prejudices and erodes the idea of equitable healthcare delivery (Marmot et al., 2020).

4.3.9 Link to Research Aim and Interconnections

This theme is aligned to the research objective in that it reveals that challenges to accessibility of mental health services lie at a systemic level of not able to execute them but not in a singular lack of efficiency. The access is based on structural constraints, which determine the accessibility of services as well as the user experience at various levels. These construction problems are linked with several themes. Capacity also contributes to transitional gaps between CAMHS and adult services, which in turn also sends socio-cultural barriers acting indirectly by discouraging engagement with services.

4.4 Theme 2: Transition Barriers Between CAMHS and AMHS

4.4.1 Definition and Context of Transition Barriers

The processes of transforming the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services to the Adult Mental Health Services are a crucial and sensitive period in mental health care provision. The developmental adaptation and family-centred care of an individual is offered by CAMHS up to the age of 18, and AMHS preoccupies itself with the adult-centred, yet individually oriented paradigm of support (NHS England, 2019). This is a high-risk stage, and is commonly involved in the period from 16 to 18 years, since there is a high probability of disruption in services to be disengaged.

It is not only an administrative but a period of continuity of care due to the clinically sensitive nature of this transition, with the necessity of preventing mental health outcomes detrimental due to lack of continuity (Singh et al., 2020). Transition is usually accompanied by strict eligibility requirements, which lead to the removal of services even when the patient is still in need of such services (Care Quality Commission, 2022a). This represents the structurally contradictory nature of the system, in which patient access to services is based on age-related factors more than clinical necessity, which serves to destroy continuity of care and strengthen systemic disunification.

4.4.2 Structural Discontinuity Between Services

The major concern in the transition pathways is structural discontinuity between CAMHS and AMHS. The functioning of these services is based on two very different philosophical as well as operational frameworks (McGorry et al., 2020). CAMHS offers a holistic, family-focused and developmentally sensitive model, and AMHS is more likely to use a medicalised, individualised and diagnosis-based model. Such a deviation produces what is commonly known as a cliff-edge effect with the youth, in all respects suffering the transfer or release once they have attained a certain age, not on clinical necessity.

This inflexible division is an indication of an administrative convenience-based system versus a continuity model that emphasises patients (Hetrick et al., 2021). This discontinuity portrays a structural service design failure. It disturbs therapeutic relationships, destroys confidence in services, and interrupts the continuity of care (Nuffield Trust, 2023a). Presumptions indicate that these disruptions have a considerable impact on deengagement and relapse, especially in vulnerable communities.

4.4.3 Eligibility-Based Exclusion and Access Barriers

Eligibility structures which put age over clinical need are also conditions that strengthen transition barriers. A high number of young people cannot access CAMHS because of the age silences, yet they are also ineligible to consult AMHS because of varying silences or service capacity restrictions or diagnostic restrictions. This forms a structural gap between people, despite the continuing mental health needs. The Care Quality Commission (2022b) emphasises that it is a structural problem within the realms of UK mental health systems, where the idea of eligibility-based exclusion prevents continuity and can lead to the lack of need. In terms of analysis, that is indicative of a bigger systemic weakness: the basis of access has been administrative categorisation and not need-based evaluation. This contributes to inequality and emphasises the active role of institutional structures to determine access results, as opposed to serving as facilitating conditions.

4.4.4 Coordination Failures Between Services

Another essential obstacle is the fact that CAMHS does not coordinate with AMHS. It does not provide adequate communication, planning, and common responsibility among services and cannot be done without a structured transition. But in the real sense, coordination sometimes proves to be patchy or wrong (Nuffield Trust, 2023b). Inefficient communication between services results in discontinued care journeys, redundant evaluations, and a lag in the achievement of relevant assistance.

This disintegration is indicative of a lack of integrated service delivery models in the NHS, as policy promises collaborative work. This draws a serious mismatch between policy discourse and operational fact. At the same time, integrated care systems are encouraged, but structural and organisational constraints, including a shortage of the workforce and the existence of administrative silos, inhibit efficient coordination. This leads to the situation that young people undergo transition as a rather disruptive process and not a continuation of care.

4.4.5 Policy and Framework Analysis

The national policy frameworks, such as the NHS Long Term Plan, as well as the recommendations of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2022b), also discuss transition processes. These models focus on the early planning of transitions, continuity and patient-centric models (NHS England, 2019). These are powerful policy intentions, but implementation is patchy.

The King's Fund (2022) claims that policies are offered to help in the process of transition, but it lacks mechanisms of accountability and enforcement of policies to make them work in practice. There are resource limitations and workforce deficits which suppress the applicability of transition frameworks. This shows a policy practice mismatch that is critical, with operational

capacity being outright of strategic objectives. As a result, the effectiveness of the policy is majorly undermined, which supports systemic barriers to continuity of care.

4.4.6 Evidence from Literature

There is always empirical evidence to prove that attempts to transition from CAMHS to AMHS are highly related to high dropout rates and greater disengagement with the services. According to Singh et al. (2020), a significant percentage of youth detach in the period of transition, which is frequently caused by the absence of support and bad coordination. Hetrick et al. (2021) discovered that treatment gaps during transfer of care correlate with worse outcomes in mental health and lower service utilisation.

The same findings are backed by other wider studies that suggest that transition is a favourable period of exposure in mental health pathways. It is significant to mention that there is a difference in views between service providers and the service users. Whereas providers can consider transition as a procedure, or administration, the service users refer to it as sudden, disorienting and unaccompanied (Care Quality Commission, 2022b). This difference establishes the significance of considering lived experience as a part of service evaluation. Differences in research design, sample size, and geographical target restrict the applicability of results that strengthen the argument of critical evaluation in interpreting the evidence base.

4.4.7 Implications of Transition Barriers

The effects of ineffective transition processes are serious. The problem of discontinuity in care also heightens the chances of crisis episodes, self-harm, and relapses, especially in vulnerable youth (Hetrick et al., 2021). Poor transitions may also lead to long-term lack of engagement in mental health services. Being disengaged, people have fewer chances of seeking assistance further on and are subjected to being left with untreated mental health issues and worse long-term consequences.

This further has systemic effects, requiring more people to depend on emergency and crisis services; further stressing already scarce health facilities. There are personal and organisational implications of transition failures. Transition must not be perceived as an administrative process but as a phase that is clinically important and should be handled with attentive management and continuity. Under-appreciation of this increases the chances of poor performance and strengthens systemic inefficiencies.

4.4.8 Link to Research Aim and Interconnections

This theme directly overrides research purpose since the barrier to receiving mental health services among young people aged 16-25 years is eminent, denoted by transition barriers. It

brings out the discontinuities between CAMHS and AMHS, which generate system gaps in care. They are aggravated by structural factors that have been identified in Theme 1, such as a lack of workforce and resource shortages, which limit the ability to effectively plan transition. Socio-cultural factors, which Theme 3 examined, including stigma, also lead to disengagement in periods of transition.

4.4.9 Theoretical Link and Analytical Insight

Theoretically, one can conceptualise the existence of transition barriers based on continuity of care frameworks and lifecycle approaches to health services, which focus on continuity and coordination of care in the various normal stages of life (Haggerty et al., 2020). The inability to attain continuity is an indication of a looser disengagement between theory and practice and reinforces the argument that the gap must be bridged through decant change at the systemic level.

4.5 Theme 3: Social, Cultural and Individual Barriers

4.5.1 Definition of Key Concepts

Social, cultural, and individual barriers are defined as non-systemic factors that determine help-seeking behaviour and access to mental health services. Stigma can be described as a social phenomenon that is constructed socially, by which people with mental health problems are plagued and treated as less important (Corrigan and Watson, 2021), abnormally characterised, and discriminated against. It does this both on a societal level and an internalised level, which influences the perception towards mental illness and discourages help-seeking. Socioeconomic barriers are financial and material restrictions that prevent one from having access to services and indirect costs, like transportation, employment pause or schooling, and related expenditures (Marmot et al., 2020). Cultural perceptions refer to beliefs, values, and norms about the mental health of certain communities that may either enhance or prevent help-seeking behaviour (Leavey et al., 2022). Combining them creates disparities in access, despite the availability of the services.

4.5.2 Context and Background

Stigma around mental health among youths in the UK is also still a major problem that has, in many cases, blocked timely access to services. With the growing knowledge on mental health, most young people continue to develop a perception that mental illness is a shame or a sign of weakness (NHS Digital, 2023). Attitudes towards mental health are strongly influenced by family, cultural background and social norms. In other societies, mental health problems can be underestimated or misinterpreted, which is why a person does not want to receive official

assistance. Disclosure can also be inhibited by cultural anticipations, including in the context of collectivist or communities in which mental health is stigmatised (Leavey et al., 2022). This background proves that the availability of services is not the only ingredient and aspect of determining access, but also personal and social perception of mental health.

4.5.3 Stigma as a Primary Barrier

Stigma acts as a significant impediment both in society and in individuals. Societal stigma is defined as a negatively expressed attitude and discrimination of people with mental health issues, whereas internalised stigma happens when it is adopted by people themselves (Corrigan and Watson, 2021). Internalised stigma may lead to feelings of shame, fear and self-blame, which cause a delay in the seeking of help and are significant. Lack of access to services may be shaped by young people due to fears of being judged and possibly labelled, especially with their friends and community. Gulliver et al. (2021) discovered that the fear of stigma is one of the most widely cited obstacles to accessing mental health services among young people. Stigma is not only a personal wall but also a social creation which is strengthened by cultural narratives and media images. This shows that mental access to health depends on the attitude in society in general and not solely on individual desires.

4.5.4 Mental Health Literacy

Another major barrier is represented by a deficiency in mental health literacy. Mental health literacy is defined as the knowledge and understanding of mental health disorders and services that one has. Mental health literacy may be inadequately developed, as it may lead to an inability to discern the symptoms, a distorted interpretation of distress, and a delay in help-seeking (Kutcher et al., 2020). Youths might even fail to realise when they require professional assistance, or even how and where to seek services. This also fosters the underutilisation of the existing support, which builds up the disparity between available and utilised services. In a critical ambience, this brings attention to the fact that access is not merely structural; it is cognitive and informational as well. Services can also be underutilised when they are there, but individuals are not aware of or do not trust the new services.

4.5.5 Socioeconomic Barriers

Mental health services are also limited by socioeconomic factors. Even though the NHS is free at the point of utilisation, indirect costs may still create a substantial obstacle (Marmot et al., 2020). Among youths in the field of study or in low-income jobs, getting to appointments might require one to skip work or studying, which can have discouraging effects on attendance. Restricted funds can help access alternatives in the privacy or additional help. These are

manifestations of structural inequalities on a larger scale, which are experienced on an individual level, showing the influence of social deprivation on less access to care.

4.5.6 Inequality Analysis

There are social, cultural, and individual barriers that inhibit some population groups, especially the ethnic minorities and those with low incomes. It has been found that ethnic minority groups have a lower likelihood of getting mental health services; this could be due to a mixture of stigma, cultural expectations and distrust of the services (Nazroo et al., 2020). Low-income backgrounds might also come with increased barriers, like a lack of information, financial flexibility, and increased exposure to stressors affecting the mental well-being of such individuals. This shows that services can be universally available, but they are not equally accessible. Access is socialised, which implies that structural provision is not a guarantee of ensuring equitable utilisation.

4.5.7 Policy and Evidence-Based Analysis

The literature on the impediments to accessing mental health is diverse, having varying priorities. Others put more focus on stigma as the main obstructive factor leading to later help-seeking (Gulliver et al., 2021), whereas there are studies that consider socioeconomic barriers to be the more influential determinants (Marmot et al., 2020). This difference is the reflection of the differences in research methodology, sample groups, and focus on the context. As an example, qualitative research can emphasise the experience of stigma, whereas quantitative research typically focuses on the socioeconomic variables that can be measured. A critical interpretation would not be complete without a holistic combination of factoring in the interplay of stigma, socioeconomic and cultural influences.

4.5.8 Implications of Social and Individual Barriers

The effects of social, cultural and personal obstacles are grave. The consequence of delayed help-seeking is that when a person seeks help at later stages of development of an addiction, the symptoms become severe and complicated (Kutcher et al., 2020). This is one of the causes of underutilisation of services. People can have aggravated mental health conditions, expose themselves to crisis, and have a poorer quality of life. These obstacles strengthen the unfair health disparities, with the poor population being prone to hesitate or evade assistance. This breeds a chain of inequality, with the neediest people being the least able to find a helping hand.

4.5.9 Theoretical Link

This theme could be explained based on the Health Belief Model, that indicated that perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and self-efficacy influence health-related behaviour (Rosenstock et al., 2021). The framework of the Social Determinants of Health emphasises the opportunities of the two conditions to identify the impact of socio-economic and cultural factors on health (Marmot et al., 2020). These models support the view that access depends on behavioural and socio-cultural factors, rather than the availability of the services.

4.5.10 *Link to Research Aim and Interconnections*

This theme directly contributes to the research purpose by explaining the impacts of non-structural barriers on access to mental health services among youth. It shows that stigma, poor mental health literacy and socioeconomic factors can inhibit utilisation if services are available. These obstacles interact with the structural problems that were identified in Theme 1 because an uneven allocation of resources may worsen social disadvantages. They are also associated with transition disengagement, as those that are addressed in Theme 2, because lack of awareness and stigma might also root young people out of further engagement with services at vital transition points.

4.6 Chapter Conclusion

Three interrelated themes that determine access to mental health services have been synthesised in this chapter, namely, structural barriers in the process of service provision, transition barriers between the CAMHS and AMHS, and social, cultural, and individual barriers. Taken together, the results clearly show that barriers are not a solitary issue but rather highly combined to strengthen each other in the system and at the individual levels. The analysis can substantiate the main thesis according to which access can be considered a multi-layered phenomenon, which is not only influenced by structural constraints but also by a socio-cultural process. Systematic inefficiencies, e.g., strain on resources, meet with social determinants, e.g., stigma and socioeconomic disadvantage, leading to amplified shape-out of services. This is in direct relation to the purpose of the study, which proves that access problems cannot be explained by only one factor but should be interpreted in the form of a combination of interacting barriers. The next chapter, Chapter 5, will make a shift to solution-based recommendations, managing these intertwined issues and offering any measures to make the mental health services more accessible and equitable.

5 CHAPTER 5 – Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has defined three dimensions that influence access to mental health services: structural barriers influenced by capacity limitations in the system, gaps in transition between CAMHS and AMHS, which create gaps in service continuity and social barriers that diminish help-seeking behaviour. These results taken together show that access is constrained not by single³ clearly primary issue, but by the combination of several factors and as such, the research purpose has been fulfilled by multi-level analysis. Despite the policies on the importance of integrated and person-centred care, inconsistent implementation underscores the presence of a reactive rather than a preventative system. The implication of this is that the current mental health system in the UK has good intentions, but the structure is inadequate, and there is inconsistency in its operations. On an analytical level, structural constraints limit capacity, failure of transition intermittently, and discourage engagement via social barriers, which supports the position that the continuity factors and barriers to access are interconnected. Thus, mental health service access is not only structurally determined, but also socially marked and requires systemic change and non-stand-alone solutions.

5.1 Recommendations

5.1.1 Recommendation 1: Strengthening Early Intervention and Service Capacity

One of the most important suggestions is to enhance the service of early intervention by allocating more funds and resources and improving the workforce. This involves investment in training, hiring and retaining mental health professionals to improve service capacity and lessen the waiting times. It is well-known that early intervention represents one of the primary measures in enhancing mental health outcomes in the long run, as well as slowing the progression of mental health disorders (NHS England, 2019). This recommendation is highly responsive to the structural obstacles that were revealed in Theme 1, where the lack of resources and shortages of workforce can limit access to timely care. Increased capacity would facilitate services to react better to the growing demand, making the system shift to preventative care rather than reactive.

One should consider such critical considerations. The nature of the financial constraints imposed by the public healthcare systems might restrict the scope of the short-term investment, and the scope of workforce growth is limited by the number of years needed to train and develop professionals (The King's Fund, 2022). These constraints demonstrate the difficulty in making structural reform a practice. To address these obstacles, it is suggested that the investment has a gradual process whereby improvements in funding and employee

training are made gradually. It can offer scalable assistance in the form of the integration of digital mental health services, which will reduce the strain on traditional care and ensure accessibility (Health Foundation, 2022a). This recommendation puts more focus on redressing systemic capacity limitations with an aim of making sure that services are in a better position to meet demand and implement interventions in time.

5.1.2 Recommendation 2: Improving CAMHS–AMHS Transition Pathways

The second major suggestion is that integrated transition pathways should be developed between Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services (CAMHS) and Adult Mental Health Services (AMHS). These encompass the introduction of flexibility to the age boundaries, which should be needs-based, as well as the introduction of integrated youth mental health services to individuals between 16 and 25. It is a direct attack on the identified transition barriers in Theme 2, specifically the service discontinuity and the so-called cliff-edge effect, where people leave CAMHS not because of clinical necessity but because of age (Care Quality Commission, 2022a). The use of a needs-based model would help to ensure continuity of care and minimise disengagement in times of transition.

The variations in the organisational structures, priorities and form of service delivery set obstacles to effective cooperation. Moreover, the differences between policy structures and practice make the effects of current transition guidelines less productive (The King's Fund, 2022). To deal with these hurdles, multi-agency cooperation ought to be enhanced with the help of standardised transfer protocols to facilitate uniformity in services. The integrated care system, which is being encouraged in the NHS England framework, has a structural foundation on which coordination and continuity of care would be facilitated. The policy-practice gap could also be addressed by the introduction of clear accountability mechanisms, so that transition frameworks could be successfully introduced into practice. This recommendation intends to remove structural discontinuity by developing a linear, progressively integrated method of transition in a manner that upholds continuity and the needs of the individual first.

5.1.3 Recommendation 3: Reducing Stigma and Improving Mental Health Literacy

The third suggestion is to cut down on stigma and enhance mental health literacy based on national awareness, school education and local tier intervention. These programs will work towards mental health normalisation of discourse, increasing awareness of symptoms, and promoting early help-seeking behaviour (Health Foundation, 2022c). The recommendation is based on social and cultural barriers as found in Theme 3, wherein the issue of stigma and

mental health literacy largely affects service access. The findings of research always demonstrate that stigma stands as a significant barrier to help-seeking practices among youths (Gulliver et al., 2021). There are major issues that should be considered. The immediate effect of the awareness campaigns can be hindered by the cultural opposition and strongly instilled societal attitudes. Behaviour and perception change cannot be done in a short-term solution, but in a long-term solution.

To address such obstacles, community engagement programs are to be enacted, as well as youth-led programs that encourage peer support and involvement. Peer-led interventions are also indicated to be effective in decreasing stigma and encouraging young people to engage (Leavey et al., 2022). It should also be culturally sensitive so that the interventions become inclusive and relevant to the different populations. The ability to tailor strategies to communities can enhance trust and participation, especially with ethnic minority groups who might have more cultural barriers. Mental health education should also be emphasised at school levels because intervention at the educational level at an early age can go a long way in enhancing mental health literacy and facilitating the early detection of problems. The current recommendation is directed at behavioural and socio-cultural impediments aimed at the minimisation of stigma, improvements in awareness, and early interaction with mental health services.

References

- Aguirre Velasco, A., Cruz, I.S.S., Billings, J., Jimenez, M. and Rowe, S., 2020. What are the barriers, facilitators and interventions targeting help-seeking behaviours for common mental health problems in adolescents? A systematic review. *BMC psychiatry*, 20(1), p.293.
- Ayuso-Mateos, J.L., Miret, M., Lopez-Garcia, P., Alem, A., Chisholm, D., Gureje, O., Hanlon, C., Jordans, M., Kigozi, F., Lund, C. and Petersen, I., 2019. Effective methods for knowledge transfer to strengthen mental health systems in low-and middle-income countries. *BJPsych Open*, 5(5), p.e72.
- Badke, W., 2021. *Research strategies: Finding your way through the information fog*. luniverse.
- Baker, C. and Kirk-Wade, E. (2021) *Mental health statistics: prevalence, services and funding in England*. House of Commons Library. <https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/sn06988/>
- Barrow, E. and Thomas, G., 2022. Exploring perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in adolescents: a systematic literature review. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 38(2), pp.173-193.
- BMA (2021) *Mental health funding and investment in the UK*. London: British Medical Association. <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/nhs-delivery-and-workforce/public-health/mental-health-funding>
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) 'Using thematic analysis in psychology', *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V., 2021. *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*.
- Care Quality Commission (2022a) *Community mental health survey*. London: CQC. <https://www.cqc.org.uk/publications/surveys/community-mental-health-survey>
- Care Quality Commission (2022b). *Transition from child to adult mental health services*. London: CQC. <https://www.cqc.org.uk/publications/surveys/transition-child-adult-mental-health-services>
- Checkland, K., Bramwell, D., Hammond, J., Bailey, S. and Warwick-Giles, L., 2025. Tackling health inequalities: What exactly do we mean? Evidence from health policy in England. *Journal of health services research & policy*, 30(4), pp.236-246.

- Corney, T., Benedict, N., Woods, B. and Horsford, R., 2021. Early Intervention Practices in Youth Homelessness Prevention: A Study of Early Intervention Workers in the Community of Schools and Services Model.
- Corrigan, P.W. and Watson, A.C. (2021) 'Understanding the impact of stigma on mental health', *World Psychiatry*, 20(1), pp. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20835>
- Coughlan, M. and Cronin, P., 2020. Doing a literature review in nursing, health and social care.
- Cu, A., Meister, S., Lefebvre, B. and Ridde, V., 2021. Assessing healthcare access using the Levesque's conceptual framework—a scoping review. *International journal for equity in health*, 20(1), p.116.
- Firth, J., Siddiqi, N., Koyanagi, A.I., Siskind, D., Rosenbaum, S., Galletly, C., Allan, S., Canejo, C., Carney, R., Carvalho, A.F. and Chatterton, M.L., 2019. The Lancet Psychiatry Commission: a blueprint for protecting physical health in people with mental illness. *The lancet psychiatry*, 6(8), pp.675-712.
- Frith, E. (2023). *Children and young people's mental health: policy and practice*. London: Education Policy Institute. <https://epi.org.uk/publications-and-research/children-and-young-peoples-mental-health/>
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K.M. and Christensen, H. (2021) 'Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking', *BMC Psychiatry*, 21(1), pp. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03130-0>
- Haggerty, J.L. et al. (2020) 'Continuity of care: a multidisciplinary review', *BMJ*, 370, m2870. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m2870>
- Harari, M.B., Parola, H.R., Hartwell, C.J. and Riegelman, A., 2020. Literature searches in systematic reviews and meta-analyses: A review, evaluation, and recommendations. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 118, p.103377.
- Health Education England (2021) *Mental health workforce strategy*. London: HEE. <https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/mental-health>
- Health Foundation (2022a) *Digital mental health and service accessibility*. London: Health Foundation. <https://www.health.org.uk/publications/digital-mental-health>
- Health Foundation (2022b). *Inequalities in health and care*. London: The Health Foundation. <https://www.health.org.uk/publications/health-inequalities>
- Health Foundation (2022c) *Mental health inequalities in the UK*. London: Health Foundation. <https://www.health.org.uk/publications/mental-health-inequalities>

- Hetrick, S.E. et al. (2021) 'Transition from CAMHS to adult mental health services', *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 8(7), pp. 585–595. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(21\)00063-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00063-2)
- Huyler, D., & McGill, C. M. (2019). Book Review: Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, by CreswellJohn and CreswellJ. David. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication, Inc.275 pages, \$67.00 (Paperback). New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development, 31(3), 75–77. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nha3.20258>
- Kutcher, S. et al. (2020) 'Mental health literacy: past, present, and future', *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 65(2), pp. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0706743720902613>
- Leavey, G. et al. (2022) 'Cultural barriers to mental health service use', *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 57(3), pp. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-022-02250-0>
- Majumder, P., Nair, S., Saha, A. and Choudhury, C., 2023. Transition from child and adolescent to adult mental health services: an evaluation. *British Journal of Healthcare Management*, 29(3), pp.78-86.
- Manu, E. and Akotia, J., 2021. Introduction to secondary research methods in the built environment. In *Secondary research methods in the built environment* (pp. 1-15). Routledge.
- Marmot, M. et al. (2020). *Health equity in England: the Marmot review 10 years on*. London: Institute of Health Equity.
- Marmot, M., 2020. Sustainable health equity: Achieving a net-zero UK.
- McGorry, P., Trethowan, J. and Rickwood, D., 2019. Creating headspace for integrated youth mental health care. *World Psychiatry*, 18(2), p.140.
- McGorry, P.D. et al. (2020) 'The integration of youth mental health services', *World Psychiatry*, 19(2), pp. 125–126. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20775>
- McMahon, E.M., Corcoran, P. and McAuliffe, C. (2022) 'Barriers to accessing mental health services among young people: a systematic review', *BMC Psychiatry*, 22(1), pp. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-022-03826-0>
- Mental Health Foundation. (2021). Stigma and discrimination. [online] Available at: <https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/explore-mental-health/a-z-topics/stigma-and-discrimination>

- Nazroo, J. et al. (2020) 'Ethnic inequalities in mental health', *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(4), pp. 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30046-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30046-8)
- NHS Confederation (2022) *Mental health services: challenges and opportunities*. London: NHS Confederation. <https://www.nhsconfed.org/publications/mental-health-services>
- NHS Digital (2023) *Mental Health of Children and Young People in England*. London: NHS Digital. <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/mental-health-of-children-and-young-people>
- NHS England (2019). *The NHS Long Term Plan*. London: NHS England. <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/publication/nhs-long-term-plan/>
- NHS England. (2023, November 21). NHS England» One in five children and young people had a probable mental disorder in 2023. England.nhs.uk. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/2023/11/one-in-five-children-and-young-people-had-a-probable-mental-disorder-in-2023/>
- NICE (2022a) *Mental health guidelines*. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance>
- NICE (2022b) *Transition from children's to adults' services*. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng43>
- Nowell, L.S., Norris, J.M., White, D.E. and Moules, N.J. (2021) 'Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria', *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20, pp. 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069211014784>
- Nuffield Trust (2022a). *Access to mental health services in rural areas*. London: Nuffield Trust. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/access-to-mental-health-services-in-rural-areas>
- Nuffield Trust (2023b). *Mental health services and transitions in the UK*. London: Nuffield Trust. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/mental-health-services-transitions>
- O'Reilly, M. et al. (2021) 'Waiting times and access to CAMHS', *Journal of Mental Health*, 30(2), pp. 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2020.1752838>
- O'Reilly, M., Svirydzenka, N., Adams, S. and Dogra, N., 2018. Review of mental health promotion interventions in schools. *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*, 53(7), pp.647-662.
- OECD (2021). *A New Benchmark for Mental Health Systems*. [online] OECD Health Policy Studies. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/4ed890f6-en>.

- Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2022) *Young people's well-being and mental health in the UK*. <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/wellbeing>
- Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., Chisholm, D., Collins, P.Y., Cooper, J.L., Eaton, J. and Herrman, H., 2018. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *The lancet*, 392(10157), pp.1553-1598.
- Public Health England (2021) *Mental health inequalities*. London: PHE. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mental-health-inequalities>
- Resnik, D.B., 2020. What is ethics in research & why is it important? National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) [online]
- Rethink Mental Illness (2023). *Lost in transition: Moving from CAMHS to adult mental health services*. <https://www.rethink.org/advice-and-information/living-with-mental-illness/>
- Rethink Mental Illness. (2019). Falling through the cracks: why young people struggle to transition to adult mental health services. *Falling through the Cracks: Why Young People Struggle to Transition to Adult Mental Health Services*. <https://rethink.org/news-and-stories/news-and-views/2024/falling-through-the-cracks-why-young-people-struggle-to-transition-to-adult-mental-health-services/>
- Rosenstock, I.M., Strecher, V.J. and Becker, M.H. (2021) 'The health belief model', *Health Education Quarterly*, 48(1), pp. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109019812110003>
- Saunders, M. N., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2023). *Research Methods for Business Students*. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/240218229_Research_Methods_for_Business_Students
- Singh, S.P. et al. (2020) 'Transition from CAMHS to adult mental health services', *BJPsych Advances*, 26(2), pp. 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bja.2019.41>
- Stafford, M. et al. (2022) 'Workforce challenges in youth mental health services', *Health Policy*, 126(5), pp. 456–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2022.03.001>
- Terry, G., Hayfield, N., Clarke, V. and Braun, V. (2021) 'Thematic analysis', in Willig, C. and Stainton-Rogers, W. (eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 3rd edn. London: Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781526405555.n2>
- The King's Fund (2022) *Mental health policy and implementation gaps*. London: The King's Fund. <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/mental-health-policy>

- Visser, K., Bolt, G., Finkenauer, C., Jonker, M., Weinberg, D. and Stevens, G.W., 2021. Neighbourhood deprivation effects on young people's mental health and well-being: A systematic review of the literature. *Social science & medicine*, 270, p.113542.
- World Health Organisation (2021) *Adolescent mental health*. Geneva: WHO. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-mental-health>
- World Health Organization (2022). World mental health report. [online] World Health Organization. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338>
- Zakrajsek, R.A., Martin, S.B., Thomson, S.R. and Gulliver, A., 2023. Stigmatisation of mental illness and seeking sport psychology services. In *Routledge handbook of mental health in elite sport* (pp. 267-286). Routledge.

Appendices

Appendix A

Table 3: Source Tracking Table

Author(s)	Year	Title	Key Findings	Relevance
NHS Digital	2023	Mental Health of CYP	1 in 5 youth affected	Supports prevalence
Rethink	2023	CAMHS transition	Service gaps identified	Key barrier
McGorry et al.	2019	Youth mental health model	Integrated care effective	International comparison
Marmot et al.	2020	Health inequalities	Socioeconomic impact	Theoretical support
O'Reilly et al.	2021	UK services review	Policy gaps exist	UK context

5.2 Appendix B

Table 4: Search Strategy Table

Keywords Used	Boolean Operators	Database	Results Outcome
Mental health access UK	AND	Google Scholar	Relevant UK studies
Youth OR young people	OR	PubMed	Broader results
CAMHS transition NOT adults only	AND/NOT	Library	Focused results

Appendix C – Independent Project Results Summary

Author & Year	Article Title	Type of Study	Research Method & Sample Size	Strengths & Limitations	Main Findings	Keywords & Codes	Notes and Comments
Singh et al. (2020)	Transition from CAMHS to Adult Mental Health Services	Literature Review	Review of UK transition studies	Strength: UK-focused evidence. Limitation: Secondary data	Transition between CAMHS and AMHS creates service gaps and discontinuity of care	CAMHS transition, service gaps, continuity	Strongly supports Theme 2 (Transition Barriers)
Stafford et al. (2022)	Workforce Challenges in Youth Mental Health Services	Policy/Empirical Study	Analysis of workforce data in the UK	Strength: Real system-level data. Limitation: Limited patient perspective	Workforce shortages reduce service capacity and increase waiting times	workforce shortage, capacity, waiting times	Supports Theme 1 (Structural Barriers)
The King's Fund (2022)	Mental Health Policy and Implementation Gaps	Policy Report	UK policy analysis	Strength: Authoritative source. Limitation: Not primary research	Policy intentions not fully implemented → inequality in service access	policy gaps, inequality, service delivery	Links policy-practice gap in your discussion
NHS Digital (2023)	Mental Health of Children and Young People in England	National Survey	Large-scale national dataset	Strength: Large sample. Limitation: Descriptive only	1 in 5 young people affected → rising demand for services	prevalence, demand, youth mental health	Justifies research rationale
Rethink Mental Illness (2019)	CAMHS Transition Report	Organisational Report	Service-user-based evidence	Strength: Real experiences. Limitation: Non-academic source	Young people disengage due to poor transition systems	disengagement, transition barriers	Strong evidence for Theme 2

O'Reilly et al. (2021)	Review of UK Mental Health Services	Systematic Review	Review of UK services	Strength: Comprehensive. Limitation: Generalised findings	Service fragmentation and inequality affect access	service fragmentation, inequality	Links to structural/syst emic issues
Marmot et al. (2020)	Health Inequalities in the UK	Policy / Research Report	Population-level analysis	Strength: Strong theoretical basis. Limitation: Broad scope	Socioeconomic inequality affects access to healthcare services	inequality, deprivation, access barriers	Supports Theme 3 (Social Barriers)
Visser et al. (2021)	Neighbourhood Deprivation and Youth Mental Health	Systematic Review	Review of international studies	Strength: Strong evidence base. Limitation: Not UK-only	Deprivation linked to poor mental health outcomes and access issues	deprivation, inequality, youth mental health	Supports socioeconomic barriers
Barrow & Thomas (2022)	Barriers to Mental Health Service Use	Empirical Study	Likely qualitative / secondary	Strength: Direct focus on barriers. Limitation: Limited scope	Stigma and lack of awareness reduce service utilisation	stigma, awareness, help-seeking	Supports Theme 3
Patel et al. (2018)	Adolescent Mental Health and Early Intervention	Review Study	Global evidence reviews	Strength: Strong theoretical contribution. Limitation: Not UK-specific	Early intervention critical for long-term outcomes	early intervention, youth mental health	Supports recommendations
WHO (2021)	Adolescent Mental Health Report	Global Report	International data analysis	Strength: Global authority. Limitation: Broad context	Mental health issues rising globally among youth	global trends, youth mental health	Supports background context
McGorry et al. (2019)	Youth Mental Health Model	Conceptual / Review	Model-based research	Strength: Strong framework.	Integrated youth services improve	integrated care, early intervention	Supports recommendations

				Limitation: Theoretical	access and outcomes		
--	--	--	--	----------------------------	------------------------	--	--