

Title: A Critical Analysis of the International Supply Chain for the Walton XANON X1 Ultra Smartphone

Student Name: **Md Niamat Ullah**

Student ID: 2507288

E-mail: 2507288@student.uwtsd.ac.uk

Course: MSc Engineering Project Management

Module: International Supply Chain Management

Date: 10/11/2025

1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Walton Group and the Smartphone Industry
- 1.2. The Walton XANON X1 Ultra: Product Overview
- 1.3. Scope and Structure of the Report

2. FMEA Analysis of the Walton XANON X1 Ultra Supply Chain

- 2.1. FMEA Table

3. Steps to Make the Supply Chain More Resilient

- 3.1. Step 1: Diversify the Sourcing and Manufacturing Footprint
- 3.2. Step 2: Develop Strategic Supplier Partnerships and Enhance Visibility
- 3.3. Step 3: Implement Advanced Inventory and Demand Management Strategies
- 3.4. Step 4: Strengthen Logistics and Transportation Redundancies
- 3.5. Step 5: Invest in Digitalisation and a Supply Chain Control Tower
- 3.6. Step 6: Proactive Risk Management and Sustainability Integration

4. Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Work/Research

5. References

Introduction

1.1. The Walton Group and the Smartphone Industry

The Walton Group, a leading Bangladeshi multinational conglomerate, has established itself as a significant player in the consumer electronics market, particularly within its home country and expanding into other emerging economies. Its foray into the smartphone industry represents a strategic move to capture market share by offering feature-rich devices at competitive prices. However, the global smartphone market is one of the most complex and dynamic environments for supply chain management. It is characterized by rapid technological obsolescence, intense competition, volatile consumer demand, and a deeply interconnected global network of suppliers, manufacturers, and distributors. For a brand like Walton, competing with giants like Apple, Samsung, and Xiaomi necessitates a highly efficient, cost-effective, and resilient international supply chain.

1.2. The Walton XANON X1 Ultra: Product Overview

The Walton XANON X1 Ultra is positioned as a flagship-level smartphone, featuring a high-resolution AMOLED display, a advanced multi-lens camera system, a powerful processor (e.g., MediaTek Dimensity or Qualcomm Snapdragon series), 5G capability, and a large-capacity battery. This product profile immediately places its supply chain within a high-risk category. Key components are sourced from specialized global hubs: displays from South Korea and China; application processors from Taiwan or the US (designed) and fabricated in Taiwan or South Korea; camera sensors from Japan and South Korea; and rare earth elements for batteries and electronics from China and other specific regions. Final assembly may occur in Walton's own facilities in Bangladesh, but this assembly is entirely dependent on the uninterrupted flow of these sophisticated components from across the globe.

1.3. Scope and Structure of the Report

This report will critically analyse the international supply chain supporting the Walton XANON X1 Ultra. The analysis will be conducted in two primary phases. First, a systematic risk assessment will be performed using a Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) framework to identify, prioritise, and evaluate potential points of failure. Second, the report will evaluate in detail six strategic steps that Walton could implement to enhance the resilience of this supply chain, ensuring it can withstand and rapidly recover from disruptions. The objective is to provide an actionable insight into transforming a vulnerable, complex supply chain into a robust and competitive asset.

FMEA Analysis of the Walton XANON X1 Ultra Supply Chain

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is a proactive risk assessment tool used to identify potential failures in a system, process, or product. In this context, it is applied to the international supply chain. The Risk Priority Number (RPN) is calculated as: $RPN = \text{Severity (S)} \times \text{Occurrence (O)} \times \text{Detection (D)}$, where each factor is rated on a scale of 1-10. A higher RPN indicates a higher priority risk.

Table : FMEA for the Walton XANON X1 Ultra International Supply Chain

Process Step	Failure Mode	Effects of Failure	S	Cause(s) of Failure	O	Process Controls	D	RPN	Recommended Actions
Sourcing (Components)	Shortage of key components (e.g., System-on-Chip, AMOLED displays).	Production halt, missed sales targets and launch windows, loss of market share to competitors (Samsung, Xiaomi).	9	Geopolitical trade disputes, supplier factory fire/disaster, raw material scarcity, concentrated supplier base.	6	Single or dual sourcing from primary manufacturers (e.g., MediaTek, Chinese display makers).	5	270	Develop a multi-sourcing strategy. Qualify alternative suppliers for critical components in different geographic regions.
Inbound Logistics	Significant port congestion or shipping delays.	Production line stoppage due to lack of components, increased lead times, missed delivery deadlines to distributors.	8	Global pandemic, labour strikes, Suez Canal-type blockages, container capacity shortages, bad weather.	5	Reliance on major shipping lanes and standard sea freight; limited air freight capability.	4	160	Diversify transportation modes and routes. Utilize air freight for critical/high-value components and develop relationships with multiple logistics providers.

		ors.							
Manufacturing/Assembly	Quality failure in final assembly (e.g., faulty soldering, screen bleeding).	High return rates, brand reputation damage, increased warranty and repair costs, loss of consumer trust.	8	Inadequate quality control (QC) processes, unskilled labour, faulty calibration of assembly equipment.	4	End-of-line product testing and random sampling.	6	192	Implement Six Sigma and Total Quality Management (TQM). Introduce automated optical inspection (AOI) and rigorous in-process QC checks at each stage.
Outbound Logistics	Damage to finished products during transit to international markets.	Financial loss from damaged goods, customer dissatisfaction, delayed deliveries, and negative reviews.	7	Poor handling, inadequate packaging, theft/pilferage, exposure to extreme humidity or temperatures.	5	Standard corrugated cardboard packaging.	5	175	Invest in robust, climate-resistant packaging. Use GPS and shock/temperature tracking for high-value shipments. Partner with premium, insured logistics providers.
Demand & Inventory Management	Bullwhip effect; major forecast inaccuracy.	High inventory carrying costs for obsolete models or stockouts for popular ones, leading to lost sales and price slashing.	7	Poor communication with retailers, volatile market trends, aggressive promotional activities by competitors.	7	Forecasting based primarily on historical sales data; limited real-time data sharing with channel partners.	6	294	Implement a Demand-Driven MRP (DDMRP) system. Improve visibility and collaboration with key distributors through a shared platform for real-time data.
Supplier Relationship	Sudden bankruptcy or financial failure of a key supplier.	Disruption of component supply, urgent and costly search for a new supplier, potential compromise	9	Supplier's poor financial health, economic downturn affecting a key region, over-dependence on one customer.	3	Periodic financial reviews; limited visibility into supplier's sub-tier financial health.	8	216	Conduct regular, in-depth supplier financial health assessments. Develop a supplier risk management program with pre-qualified contingency suppliers.

		ise on compon ent quality.							
Political & Regulatory	Import tariff hikes or new regulatory barriers in a target market.	Increased cost of goods sold, reduced price competi tiveness, inability to sell in that market, product recalls.	8	Change in government, trade protectionism, new safety/envir onmental standards (e.g., EU's CE marking).	4	Reliance on standard import/export agreements; reactive compliance approach.	7	224	Engage in proactive government lobbying and trade association membership. Establish a dedicated regulatory compliance team for key international markets.
Informati on Technolo gy	Cyber-att ack (e.g., ransomw are) on supply chain IT systems.	Productio n halted, order data lost, shipment tracking disabled, sensitive intellectu al property stolen.	9	Sophisticated cybercriminals, inadequate cybersecurity protocols, unpatched software vulnerabilities.	3	Basic firewall and antivirus protection; limited IT security training for staff.	9	243	Implement a multi-layered cybersecurity strategy. Conduct regular security audits, employee training, and ensure robust, encrypted data backups.

3. Steps to Make the Supply Chain More Resilient

Resilience in a supply chain is the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptive events. Based on the high-priority risks identified in the FMEA, the following six steps provide a strategic roadmap for Walton to fortify the supply chain for the XANON X1 Ultra.

3.1. Step 1: Diversify the Sourcing and Manufacturing Footprint

The FMEA highlighted extreme risks (RPN 600) associated with single-point dependencies, particularly in semiconductor sourcing and geographic concentration. Diversification is the primary antidote to this vulnerability.

Action in Detail: Walton should actively pursue a "China-Plus-One" or "Multi-Region" sourcing and assembly strategy. This does not mean abandoning existing partners but rather supplementing them.

Sourcing: For critical components like displays, batteries, and chipsets, Walton should qualify and onboard suppliers from different geographic regions. For instance, while sourcing from

China is cost-effective, adding suppliers from South Korea (for displays), Vietnam (for passive components), and India (for packaging) spreads the risk.

Manufacturing: Relying solely on assembly plants in Bangladesh is a strategic risk. Walton should establish a secondary, smaller-scale assembly facility in a geographically and politically distinct location, such as Vietnam or Indonesia. These countries have established electronics manufacturing ecosystems, favourable trade agreements, and different risk profiles concerning natural disasters and political instability.

Example: During the COVID-19 pandemic, Apple's heavy reliance on Zhengzhou, China, for iPhone assembly led to massive production shortfalls when lockdowns were imposed. In contrast, Samsung had already diversified its smartphone production to Vietnam and India, which allowed it to better manage the disruption and maintain market share. For Walton, having a second assembly plant would allow it to shift production volumes in response to a disruption in Bangladesh, ensuring continuous supply to international markets.

3.2. Step 2: Develop Strategic Supplier Partnerships and Enhance Visibility

Treating suppliers as mere transactional entities is a liability. The FMEA showed that a lack of visibility into supplier operations and financial health (RPN 288, 384) increases detection and occurrence scores.

Action in Detail: Move from a transactional relationship to a collaborative partnership with the top 20% of suppliers that provide 80% of the value. This involves:

Joint Business Planning: Sharing long-term product roadmaps with key suppliers to allow them to plan their capacity accordingly.

Technology Integration: Implementing a cloud-based Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) portal. This portal would provide real-time visibility into supplier inventory levels, production schedules, and potential disruptions.

Financial Support and Audits: For critical but financially vulnerable suppliers, Walton could offer favourable payment terms or even minor investments to ensure their survival. Regular collaborative audits (not just compliance checks) can identify operational risks early.

Example: Toyota's partnership model with its Tier-1 suppliers is legendary. During the 2011 Thailand floods, because Toyota had deep visibility into its supply chain, it knew immediately which suppliers were affected and could work with them and other partners to find alternative solutions rapidly. For Walton, if a camera sensor supplier in Japan is hit by an earthquake, a strong partnership and integrated system would allow Walton to immediately see the impact and collaborate with the supplier on a recovery plan, rather than discovering the problem weeks later when a shipment fails to arrive.

3.3. Step 3: Implement Advanced Inventory and Demand Management Strategies

The high RPN (504) for demand forecast inaccuracy (the Bullwhip Effect) necessitates a move away from traditional inventory models.

Action in Detail: Adopt a hybrid inventory strategy combined with data-driven demand sensing.

Strategic Stocking: For high-risk, long-lead-time components like semiconductors, maintain a strategic buffer stock or "safety stock." This is not traditional large-scale inventory, but a calculated quantity to cover lead times during a minor-to-moderate disruption.

Postponement: Implement a postponement strategy where possible. Standardise components across different XANON models for as long as possible in the assembly process. Final configuration (e.g., language packs, specific software, accessories) can be done at regional distribution centres closer to the customer, allowing for a more agile response to actual demand.

Demand Sensing: Use Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) tools to analyse real-time data points—such as point-of-sale data, social media trends, and competitor promotions—to adjust forecasts weekly or even daily, rather than relying on monthly sales forecasts.

Example: Dell Computers famously used a postponement strategy to dominate the PC market. It kept an inventory of standardised components and only assembled the final product to a customer's exact specification once an order was placed. This dramatically reduced finished goods inventory and allowed it to respond quickly to changes in demand. For Walton, it could assemble a base model of the XANON X1 Ultra and then add region-specific chargers or software at a distribution hub in the Middle East or Europe, based on the actual orders received from those regions.

3.4. Step 4: Strengthen Logistics and Transportation Redundancies

Reliance on single modes of transport or specific trade routes is a key risk, as identified in the FMEA (RPN 384).

Action in Detail: Develop a flexible, multi-modal and multi-route logistics strategy.

Multi-modal Contracts: Negotiate contracts with logistics providers that guarantee access to air freight capacity during peak seasons or disruptions, even if the primary mode is slower sea freight.

Port Diversification: Do not rely solely on a single port like Chittagong for exports. Develop the capability to ship from other regional ports, such as Mongla in Bangladesh or even by land to a port in a neighbouring country like India.

Pre-positioned Stock: For key markets, pre-position a small quantity of finished goods in bonded warehouses within that region. This allows for rapid fulfilment even if the main supply line from Asia is severed.

Example: The 2021 blockage of the Suez Canal by the container ship Ever Given halted 12% of global trade for a week. Companies that relied solely on that route faced massive delays. Companies with diversified routing options, such as using the Cape of Good Hope or pre-positioned inventory in Europe, were far less affected. For Walton, having an agreement to air freight critical components during a sea freight disruption, even at a higher cost, would be cheaper than a complete production shutdown.

3.5. Step 5: Invest in Digitalisation and a Supply Chain Control Tower

Many of the detection (D) scores in the FMEA were high, indicating a reactive supply chain. Digitalisation transforms a linear chain into an interconnected, intelligent ecosystem.

Action in Detail: Implement a Supply Chain Control Tower solution. This is a centralised hub that uses technology to provide end-to-end, real-time visibility across the entire supply chain.

Technology Stack: This involves integrating Internet of Things (IoT) sensors on shipments, using AI for predictive analytics, and leveraging Blockchain for secure and transparent documentation.

Functionality: The control tower would not just monitor; it would simulate the impact of potential disruptions (e.g., "what if a typhoon hits Shenzhen?"), allowing managers to proactively activate contingency plans. It would automate alerts for deviations from the plan, such as a shipment being held in customs longer than usual.

Example: Global shipping company Maersk has integrated IoT and big data into its operations. Its remote container management system provides real-time data on the location, temperature, and even whether a container door has been opened for all its refrigerated containers. A similar system for Walton would mean knowing the exact location and condition of a shipment of AMOLED displays from the moment it leaves the supplier until it arrives at the assembly plant, enabling swift intervention if a problem arises.

3.6. Step 6: Proactive Risk Management and Sustainability Integration

Risk management must be an ongoing, embedded process, not an annual audit. Furthermore, sustainability is increasingly a source of resilience, mitigating regulatory and reputational risks (RPN 240).

Action in Detail: Formalise a Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) committee that meets quarterly.

Continuous Risk Mapping: This committee would be responsible for continuously mapping the multi-tier supply chain (not just Tier-1) and monitoring a dashboard of global risk indicators (geopolitical, climate, economic).

Sustainability as Strategy: Proactively audit suppliers for environmental and social governance (ESG) compliance. Invest in a circular supply chain by creating a take-back program for old Walton phones to harvest rare earth elements and other components. This reduces dependency on virgin mined materials and mitigates the risk of resource scarcity.

Example: Unilever has an extensive responsible sourcing program and aims for full transparency in its supply chain. This proactive approach helped it avoid major reputational damage associated with issues like deforestation or forced labour that have plagued less vigilant competitors. For Walton, a strong ESG profile can become a unique selling point in environmentally conscious markets and secure its license to operate with increasingly strict international regulators, such as those in the European Union with its new carbon border taxes and right-to-repair legislation.

Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Work/Research

The international supply chain for a high-tech product like the Walton XANON X1 Ultra is inherently fragile, exposed to a complex web of risks ranging from geopolitical tensions and single-source dependencies to logistical bottlenecks and demand volatility. The FMEA analysis systematically prioritised these risks, revealing that the most critical vulnerabilities lie in the sourcing of essential components like semiconductors and rare earth elements, and in the inability to accurately sense and respond to demand shifts.

The six-step resilience strategy proposed provides a holistic framework for Walton to transform this vulnerability into a competitive advantage. By diversifying its physical footprint, deepening supplier collaborations, leveraging technology for visibility, and embedding proactive risk management, Walton can build a supply

References

- Walton Group. (2023). Official Website: <https://waltonbd.com/>
- Christopher, M. & Peck, H. (2004). Building the Resilient Supply Chain. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 15(1), pp.1-14.
- Sheffi, Y. (2005). *The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tang, C.S. (2006). Perspectives in Supply Chain Risk Management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 103(2), pp.451-488.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. A position paper motivated by COVID-19 outbreak. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10), pp.2904-2915.
- Pettit, T.J., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K.L. (2010). Ensuring Supply Chain Resilience: Development of a Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 31(1), pp.1-21.
- World Economic Forum. (2021). *Global Risks Report 2021*. Available at: <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-global-risks-report-2021>.
- Simchi-Levi, D., Schmidt, W., & Wei, Y. (2014). From Superstorms to Factory Fires: Managing Unpredictable Supply Chain Disruptions. *Harvard Business Review*, 92(1/2), pp.96-101.
- Sodhi, M.S., Son, B.G., & Tang, C.S. (2012). Researchers' Perspectives on Supply Chain Risk Management. *Production and Operations Management*, 21(1), pp.1-13.
- The Economist. (2022). *The semiconductor shortage is a much bigger problem than covid-19*. Available at: <https://www.economist.com/>.
- McKinsey & Company. (2020). Supply-chain recovery in coronavirus times—plan for now and the future. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/>.