


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_AB

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AB

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

At the beginning of the study, I was informed by the researcher that the study centred around a topic that might be upsetting or distressing to some participants. Also, if I wanted to withdraw at any point I was able to do so.

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and extend across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical margin line, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled document.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical red margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled sheet of paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Yes, after the study was completed, I was given the email address of the researcher and was told that I was able to contact them if I had any questions.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

No, the questions that were asked were straightforward and not so advanced that a participant would have to consult any additional resources in order to answer them.

Name (PRINT): Alex Harries

Sign: A Harries

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to complete two paper questionnaires surrounding the topic of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome, and attitudes to potential causes and consequences of it.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Although it was an emotional subject to study, it was an interesting study to take part in. This was because I was able to learn about people's possible perceptions to Sudden Infant Death Syndrome.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

_Yes, I was given a debrief sheet. I was also told that I was able to email the researcher if I had any further questions after the study was completed.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

No. Considering the research centred around what is a potentially emotional and upsetting topic, I thought the researcher organised the study very well.

Name (PRINT): _Alex Harries

Sign: ____A Harries____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

As part of this study, I was asked to complete a questionnaire asking for people's personal attitudes to illegal immigrants.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

It was a positive experience. The main difference in taking part in an online study compared to a lab based study is that you are able to complete it in more relaxing conditions, for example, your own home. Also, you can take as much time as possible to consider the questions before completing them.

Online Study Study Code: SWAN_credit_TB2_online

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

_As the questionnaire required people to give their own personal opinions, it was done anonymously and was able to be done in privacy.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical red margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled sheet of paper.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_KE

Study Code: SWAN_credit_KE

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

_As it was a questionnaire on people's personal opinions and attitudes, data was kept confidentially.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

In this study, I completed a two-part questionnaire which looked at people's attitudes towards Muslims. The questions aimed to see how you would feel in certain situations. Also, during the study I was given a news article to read about a community's reaction to a fire that had happened.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

__ My experience was overwhelmingly positive, taking part in this study enabled me to consider my own personal attitudes, and what may influence them.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

___ I was given a debrief sheet to read through and told if I had any questions I wanted to ask I was welcome to contact the researcher.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

_Yes. Some of the questions asked me to put myself in a position where I was in a situation with a Muslim person of the opposite sex. As a male, that would mean myself in a situation with a Muslim woman. However, this is implausible as it is a well-known cultural consensus in the Islamic faith that women must be chaperoned by a male relative. This would mean that this hypothetical situation could not take place, possibly de-valuing research.

Name (PRINT): Alex Harries

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Study Four Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Note:

[illegible]

Study Three Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

[illegible]

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

[illegible]

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical margin line, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be part of a notebook or a set of loose-leaf papers.