Feedback

Student's Name	LUCIE COLINE NADIA
Student's SurName	LELONG
Student's Email	2325626@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCD5000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	10
Feedback	**Marks**
To do well in this task, throughout the work, you must be informed and supported by academic/industry credible literatures relevant to computer/digital forensics. Your Task 1 documentation must reflect on your research focusing computer/digital forensics definitions, history and comparisons. It also must cover the scope and applications in real-world scenarios. You must have covered the features and capabilities of tools in Task 2 and 3 presentation based on your research. You did well in this section. In-text citations are required. Comparison done. Real-world scenario discussed. Very detailed research on the features of the Tools. More diverse and academic credible literatures/ sources could have been referred to the work. Summaries and critical analysis could have added value to the work.	5

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	8
Feedback	**Mark**
In this section, you must have demonstrated sound knowledge and understanding by identifying appropriate tools based on its features, categories and by exploring recent advancements/ innovations in it. Categorisation of identified tools done well and in detail. More detailed description on recent advancements could have added value.	5.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	32
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section, you should be able to critically analyse the difference between computer and digital forensics, evaluate the capabilities of tools used, distinguish each tool. Your work must contain reflection on real-world use cases, describing, justifying the methodology used with adequate contingency plans and valid, sound conclusions derived from the task. You did well in this section. Explored specific capabilities distinguishing the tools. Real-world cases discussed in detail. Could have also explored the challenges faced. Professional forensics report needs more areas to be covered. A report is not a screenshot of what you have done, rather it needs to have a flow of evidences supporting the decision. More details on methodology could have added value to the work.	19.8

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this task, you are expected to use/deploy a range of established techniques within Computer Forensics to secure the evidence, investigate applying the relavent concepts and principles and demonstrate the outcome. Could have used more tools for your analysis rather than using one particular tool because, it is easy to work. More description of the findings could have added value to the work. Demo done and Screenshots presented with Timestamps. Could have been more professional if you could have avoided reading the notes during presentation.	24.7

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section, you must showcase evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for completing this task exercising personal responsibility and decision making. Using appropriate definitions, acronyms and abbreviations, a complete professional report and presentation with supporting documents is also considered. Good Presentation. Logical flow of ideas are good and clear. Could have been a walkthrough of your understanding rather than reading information. Supporting documents, Usecases discussed.	15.6
Overall Mark	70