

Institutional Moral Hazard

Nodes

Name	Description
Behavior	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding the behavior of sub-central and federal actors that affects the regulation of unemployment. (This is not a node in itself but only a theme that includes subnodes)
Lessons are not learned	Any explicit mentions of behavior by sub-central or federal actors that reflects that lessons from reforms or IMH are not picked up or behavior that repeats past mistakes.
Practical example of IMH	Mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior in contrast with federal goals and/or sub-central behavior that in some way could be construed as shifting costs from the state level to the federal level. This also explicit denials, when asked, that sub-central governments behave in such a way.
Dumping	Mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior that could be construed as deflecting or moving caseloads from state programs to federal programs. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that sub-central governments behave in such a way.
Ineffective activation	Mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior that can be construed as ineffective activation or shirking activation requirements. This is also includes explicit denials, when asked, that sub-central governments behave in such a way.
Other IMH examples	Mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior that could be construed as IMH that is not dumping or ineffective activation. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that sub-central governments behave in such a way.

Name	Description
Practical examples of IMH remedies	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding federal behavior that could be seen as remedying potential IMH on the part of the sub-central governments. This also explicit denials, when asked, that such remedies are being implemented or that policies have such aims.
Financial remedies	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding policies that alter the financial architecture of programs to alter to financial incentives of sub-central governments in such a way to mitigate IMH. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that such policies were put in place or that policies have such remedial aims.
Minimum requirements	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding federal policies that could be construed as remedying IMH through minimum requirements. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that such policies were put in place or that policies have such remedial aims.
Activation requirements	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding federal policies that could be construed as remedying IMH through minimum requirements specifically focus on activation of beneficiaries. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that such policies were put in place or that policies have such remedial aims.
Administrative requirements	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding federal policies that could be construed as remedying IMH through minimum requirements specifically focus on administrative elements of sub-central policy. This includes imposing eligibility criteria, generosity requirements and (non-activation) work procedures and reporting requirements. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that such policies were put in place or that policies have such remedial aims.
Other IMH remedies	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding federal policies that could be construed as remedying IMH through other means than financial remedies or minimum requirements. This also includes explicit denials, when asked, that such policies were put in place or that policies have such remedial aims.
Sub-central autonomy	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding behavior by sub-central and federal actors that restrict, infringe, expand, defend, exploit or otherwise affect sub-central autonomy.

Name	Description
Expanding sub-central autonomy	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding behavior by sub-central and federal actors that expand, defend, exploit or otherwise positively affect sub-central autonomy.
Restricting sub-central autonomy	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding behavior by sub-central and federal actors that restrict, infringe or otherwise negatively affect sub-central autonomy.
Sub-central policy-making & implementation	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central policy behavior, policy-making and implementation, other than what can be construed as institutional moral hazard. This also includes relevant idiosyncracies of sub-central policy-making.
Further decentralization	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior that results in further decentralization of responsibilities
Leaving money on the table	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central behavior that results in not drawing down federal funds, while being fully aware of this consequence.
Motivations	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the motivations underpinning behavior and attitudes of sub-central or federal actors. (This is not a node in itself but only a theme that includes subnodes)
Influence of third parties	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding the influence by third parties (i.e. non-governmental institutions or organizations) on the attitudes and behavior of sub-central or federal governments.
Motivations for IMH or sub-central behavior	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as IMH or creates tension with federal goals or policies.
Gaming federal requirements	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies.

Name	Description
	Specifically motivations regarding the desire to game federal requirements or otherwise manipulate performance measures.
Limited state capacity	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies, that is caused by a lack of state capacity to fulfill federal goals or regulations. This includes: not having enough staff, not having the right systems in place or not having enough money (though this is different from trying to save money).
Principled stance on sub-central autonomy	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations regarding a principled stance on sub-central behavior.
Reducing expenditures & caseloads	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations regarding the aim to conserve sub-central funds (this is different from a sheer lack of funds).
Remedy individual moral hazard	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations regarding the goal to remedy individual moral hazard and to activate beneficiaries.
Sub-central ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations driven by ideological positions on welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs).

Name	Description
Sub-central anti-welfare state ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations driven by anti-welfare state ideological sentiments, meaning: being ideologically opposed to welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs).
Sub-central pro-welfare state ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for sub-central behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that creates tension with federal goals or policies. Specifically motivations driven by pro-welfare state ideological sentiments, meaning: being ideologically opposed to welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs). This includes the desire for effective macro-economic stabilization.
Motivations for reform or federal action	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH.
Electoral politics	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding electoral considerations and politics.
Federal ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations driven by ideological positions on welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs).
Federal anti-welfare state ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations driven by anti-welfare state ideological sentiments, meaning: being ideologically opposed to welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs).

Name	Description
Federal pro-welfare state ideology	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations driven by pro-welfare state ideological sentiments, meaning: being ideologically opposed to welfare state institutions (e.g. benefit programs). This includes the desire for effective macro-economic stabilization.
Increasing sub-central autonomy	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding the desire to grant sub-central governments more autonomy
Reducing expenditures & caseloads	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding the desire to reduce expenditures and/or caseloads.
Reducing federal expenditures	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations the desire to bring down federal expenditures specifically (i.e. disregarding or neglecting the effects on expenditures by other levels of government).
Reducing overall expenditures & caseloads	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding the desire to bring down expenditures and/or caseloads explicitly for all levels of government (i.e. not just the federal level)
Remedy Moral Hazard	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding concerns for either individual or sub-central behavior that is seen as moral hazard.

Name	Description
Remedy IMH	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding sub-central behavior that can be seen as institutional moral hazard.
Remedy individual moral hazard	Mentions, examples and reflections regarding the underlying motivations for federal behavior and attitudes, most relevantly regarding policy-making that can be seen as remedying IMH. Specifically motivations regarding concerns for individual moral hazard.
Notable quotes	Quotes that capture a specific thought or sentiment in such a way that they could be used as a heading, a code or in the text or to represent a general sentiment.
Other policy domains	Mentions and reflection regarding other policy domains that are closely related, or partially within, the regulation of unemployment. (This is not a node in itself but only a theme that includes subnodes)
EITC	Mentions and reflections regarding the Earned Income Tax Credit.
Healthcare	Mentions and reflections regarding healthcare.
No Child Left Behind	Mentions and reflections regarding the No Child Left Behind program.
SNAP	Mentions and reflections regarding the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.
SSI	Mentions and reflections regarding the Supplemental Security Income program.
Trade Act	Mentions and reflections regarding the Trade Act or Trade Act benefit programs.
Tribal TANF	Mentions and reflections regarding tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families programs.

Name	Description
WIA and WIOA	Mentions and reflections regarding the Workforce Investment Act and Workforce Investment and Opportunity Act or programs that are covered by these Acts.
Perceptions	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding the perceptions (not behavior or motivations) of sub-central or federal actors in relation to the regulation of unemployment. (This is not a node in itself but only a theme that includes subnodes)
Different or conflicting perceptions	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding different or conflicting perceptions between any sub-central and/or federal actors (inter and intra levels of government).
Explicit concern for IMH	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding concerns (by any sub-central or federal actor) about practices that are seen as IMH, or any explicit denials, when asked, that such concerns are present.
Perceiving other actors and relationships	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding how actors perceive other actors and/or how they perceive the relationship between different actors.
Budget maximizer	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central or federal actors perceiving other sub-central or federal actor(s) as a budget maximizer.
Inconsiderate	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central or federal actors perceiving other sub-central or federal actor(s) as not being uninterested, inconsiderate or uncaring with regard to the interests and capabilities of other sub-central or federal actors.
Partner	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central or federal actors perceiving other sub-central or federal actors as a partner and/or perceptions of very good and close cooperation.
Power maximizer	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding sub-central or federal actors perceiving other sub-central or federal actor(s) as a power maximizer.

Name	Description
Race	Any mentions of the role of race in policy-making and implementation within the regulation of unemployment
Redistribution	Any mentions, examples or reflections regarding redistribution (interregional and/or interpersonal), or explicit references to redistributive outcomes of policies.
Reflections on IMH framework	Statements as a response to the description of the IMH framework by Chris or unsolicited statements that have important conceptual bearings on the IMH framework