Feedback

Student's Name	KESTER ANTHONY
Student's SurName	SUMNER
Student's Email	2015409@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB5029
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills — 0

Feedback	Marks
	0

Knowledge and Understanding Skills — 15

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks in this section you need to address, team formation, selection process for the scrum leader and other relevant roles, provide details about the GIT repository, and reflect on individual knowledge and understanding of the project. Well done. Detailed and clear introduction and Team formation. Team Roles given. Could have elaborated the team roles and reflection on your role/task could have added value. GIT Repo link shared and not accessible. However, screenshots could make justification.	11.9

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills — 15

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks in this section, justify your project selection with clear objectives, provide evidence of project planning, cover future enhancement plans, and include individual reflections on troubleshooting skills and active involvement in finding solutions to problems. Clear objectives were set and deliverables detailed. Could have added Gantt Charts in your project plan. However, the planning was done well. Future enhancement discussed well.	13.6

Practical Application Skills — 45

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks in this section, include evidence of design, source code, access to GitHub, implementation details, testing methods, successful execution of the application, and provide individual reflections on version control systems, supported by screenshots as evidence. Source code shown. Outcome given. Testing done. Could have done automated testing.	42.4

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice — 25

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks in this section, demonstrate a creative approach, showcase effective teamwork, provide evidence of collaborative problem evaluation, include individual reflections on lessons learned from working as a group, and ensure that the report is complete, of high quality, well-presented, and organized with a logical flow of ideas. Teamwork documented. However, its seems to have communication issues. Lack of communication. Could have elaborated how the team overcome the issues. Spelling mistakes/ link not working etc: Why did no one in the team picked up on this?	20.6
Overall Mark	89