

Component 1 Done.docx

by Turnitin LLC

Submission date: 23-Dec-2024 10:59PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2557756789

File name: Component_1_Done.docx (52.49K)

Word count: 2205

Character count: 14228



Module: Introduction to Leadership and Management

10

Student Name:

Suiu Denisa- Delia

Student ID:

2325349

Submitted By:

Suiu Denisa

Table of Contents

2	1. Introduction.....	3
2	2. Understanding Leadership	3
	2.1 Definition of Leadership	3
	2.2 Importance of Leadership in Organizations.....	4
	3. Leadership Styles	4
	3.1 Leadership Style at Apple	4
	3.1.1 Leadership Style of Steve Jobs	4
	3.1.2 Leadership Style of Tim Cook	5
	3.2 Leadership Style at Samsung	6
	3.2.1 Hierarchical Leadership	6
	3.2.2 Transformational Elements under Lee Jae-yong	7
	3.3 Comparative Analysis of Leadership Styles	8
	3.4 Influence on Organizational Performance	9
	4. The Need for Changing Leadership Styles	9
	Recommendations for Improvement.....	10
8	5. Conclusion	11
	References	12

1. Introduction

This paper seeks to give an insight on how leadership contributes to the general performance of organizations by managing strategies and designing new products. Northouse (2021) has postulated that leadership is a form of social influence through which a leader directs a people toward the accomplishment of a shared objective. Leadership is required for organisations in the dynamically changing cut throat global business environments as it lays the foundation for coping with various problems, innovation and sustaining competitive advantage (Kotter, 2012).

This essay critically evaluates the leadership styles of Apple and Samsung, two world-leading tech and consumer electronics companies. It highlights the importance of leadership in achieving strategic goals and objectives, analyzing how these styles impact organizational performance in terms of employee engagement and innovation. The essay also discusses the need for a new managerial approach to match the global market's new needs for sustainable growth and success. The discussion concludes with implications and suggestions for the future of leadership, referencing existing literature and emerging insights.

2. Understanding Leadership

2.1 Definition of Leadership

Northouse (2021) defines Leadership is a crucial function within organizations, defined as the process of influencing a group to achieve a common goal. It emphasizes the relational and goal-oriented nature of leadership, focusing on influence and collaboration. Yukl (2013) further expands on this concept, stating that leadership involves motivating and enabling

others to contribute to the organization's success. It is not limited to hierarchical authority but also inspires and aligns individuals to achieve objectives. Leadership is multifaceted, encompassing traits, behaviors, and situational factors, distinct from management, which focuses on operational and administrative tasks. While management ensures stability, leadership drives change and innovation, making it a vital component of organizational strategy.

2.2 Importance of Leadership in Organizations

Leadership's Role in Organizational Success

- Shapes vision, culture, and performance.
- Provides direction and motivates employees (Bass & Riggio, 2006).
- Sets tone for organizational values and behaviors.
- Inspires and empowers employees, enhancing performance (Hogan & Kaiser, 2005).
- Poor leadership can lead to decreased engagement, high turnover, and reduced productivity.
- Crucial in decision-making and strategic execution.
- Contributes to the development of future leaders for long-term success (Goleman, 2017).

3. Leadership Styles

3.1 Leadership Style at Apple

Apple's leadership has evolved significantly over the years, transitioning from a highly centralized, visionary approach under Steve Jobs to a more collaborative and inclusive style under Tim Cook.

3.1.1 Leadership Style of Steve Jobs

Steve Jobs is widely regarded as a transformational leader, known for his visionary and charismatic leadership. Transformational leadership involves inspiring followers to exceed expectations by appealing to their values and sense of purpose (Bass & Riggio, 2006). Jobs demonstrated this by fostering a culture of innovation and demanding excellence. His hands-on approach and ability to articulate a clear vision allowed Apple to pioneer groundbreaking products like the iPhone and iPad.

Advantages

- Fosters creativity and innovation, evident in Apple's market-disruptive products.
- Motivates employees to strive for excellence through a shared vision.

Disadvantages

- Jobs' authoritarian tendencies sometimes alienated employees, creating a high-pressure environment (Isaacson, 2011).

Impact on Performance

While Jobs as the leader, Apple Inc. is associated with innovation resulting to unprecedented growth of the company. By 2011, Apple Inc was the worlds most valuable company and this marks the significant works of the leadership of Steve Jobs (Lazonick et al., 2013).

3.1.2 Leadership Style of Tim Cook

Tim Cook's leadership style is democratic, promoting collaboration, inclusivity, and operational efficiency. Unlike Steve Jobs' authoritative style, Cook encourages employee involvement in decision-making processes. This fosters a sense of ownership and engagement, valuing diverse ideas. Cook's approach cultivates a workplace culture that supports innovation and sustainability. Democratic leadership strengthens morale, enabling Apple to maintain market dominance and foster employee satisfaction and long-term growth, as per Goleman (2017).

Advantages

- Enhances employee morale by promoting collaboration and inclusivity.

- Focuses on sustainability and corporate responsibility, aligning with modern stakeholder expectations.

Disadvantages

- Lacks the aggressive innovation-driven approach that defined Jobs' era, potentially slowing groundbreaking innovation (Lashinsky, 2012).

Impact on Performance

The reign of leadership by Cook has ensured Apple continues enjoying its financial heights with emphasis on operations, and degree of differentiation. Since taking charge of the company Apple has grown to a \$3 trillion market cap in 2022 reflecting the efficiency of his policies in maintaining company health (Reuters, 2022).

3.2 Leadership Style at Samsung

Samsung's management is highly centralized and autocratic, influenced by the culture of Korean business organizations. This power devolution structure, particularly the founding member of the Lee family, has been beneficial for Samsung's success in the international market, enabling the company to efficiently deliver complex projects (Yukl, 2013). However, this style has been criticized for suppressing employee creativity and presenting disadvantages for flexibility in the ever-changing global environment. To address these issues, it is suggested that Samsung adopt more participative and transformational leadership behaviors, fostering a more adaptable and flexible approach to the ever-changing global environment (Zhang, W., 2020)

3.2.1 Hierarchical Leadership

Samsung's leadership style is centralized and autocratic, allowing top executives to make decisions at lower levels. This approach facilitates quick strategy implementation and clarifies corporate goals, but may demoralize employees and hinder innovation. It may also

create a bureaucratic culture that's difficult to adapt to new conditions. Effective leadership is crucial for navigating challenges and maintaining competitiveness(Yukl, 2013).

Advantages

- Ensures quick decision-making and efficient execution of strategies.
- Aligns employees with corporate objectives, reducing ambiguity.

Disadvantages

- Discourages innovation and independent thinking among employees.
- May lead to a rigid corporate culture that struggles to adapt to change (Zhang, W., 2020).

Impact on Performance

Although this leadership style made Samsung to become a world's leader in consumer electronics, it has also led to problems like the Galaxy Note 7 debacle. This caused a problem because the rigid hierarchical structure also slowed the decision-making process and response to the issue (Kim, 2017).

3.2.2 Transformational Elements under Lee Jae-yong

Samsung has adopted a transformational leadership approach, decentralizing decision-making and promoting innovation, to foster creativity and maintain a competitive edge in the global business environment. Effective leadership navigates challenges, fosters creativity, and adapts to new management styles.

Advantages

- Encourages creativity and innovation, addressing previous criticisms of rigidity.
- Improves global competitiveness by aligning with modern management practices.

Disadvantages

- Transitioning from a rigid hierarchy to a collaborative culture can be challenging and slow.

Impact on Performance

These changes have contributed positively to Samsung's brand image internationally especially in two areas of sustainability and innovation. For instance, the latest investment in green technologies is more suitable for emerging consumers' needs, and it strengthens brand image (Samsung Sustainability Report, 2022).

3.3 Comparative Analysis of Leadership Styles

Samsung and Apple have become international giants; however, the management values and behaviors that underpin each company differ owing to cultural and strategic factors.

- **Visionary vs. Hierarchical Leadership:** Apple, led by Steve Jobs, prioritized incremental and disruptive technology, while Lee, J.H., (2002) Samsung displayed hierarchical leadership, focusing on logistics, effectiveness, and market penetration, while Apple's leadership focused on disruptive technology (Kim, M., Jeong, I. and Bae, J., 2024)
- **Employee Engagement:** An operational analysis further shows how Tim Cook's democracy style leverages more engagement with employees than Samsung's usual dictatorial style (Mavlyanov et al. 2020).
- **Adaptability:** Recently, Apple's leadership appears more adaptable to market conditions than Samsung, which has a rigid top-down organisation chart at times.

3.4 Influence on Organizational Performance

It is clear that various leadership behaviours have effects on some critical performance measures such as innovativeness, employees' satisfaction and financial results.

- **Innovation:** Apple's transformational leadership style has led to the creation of innovative products, while Samsung's vertical structure has been criticized for being too narrow-minded, contrasting with Apple's functional approach (Isaacson, 2011).
- **Employee Morale:** Apple's diversity policy boosts employee morale, while Samsung's directive approach leads to work overload. Apple's innovation and user appreciation have a stronger customer base (Podolny & Hansen 2020).

4. The Need for Changing Leadership Styles

Public leadership adaptation is crucial for organizations' survival and efficiency in changing markets, environments, employees, and technological demands. Two giants, Google and Facebook, must adapt their leadership styles to meet new challenges and opportunities.

Apple

Tim Cook, a democratic managing director, has significantly transformed Apple's global operations and participation. However, his focus on teamwork and rapid growth may limit disruptive innovation, as seen during Steve Jobs' period. Given the saturated tech industry, Apple could benefit from re-adopting transformational leadership that promotes innovative thinking while maintaining Cook's messages of employee care and environmentally friendly practices (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Samsung

Samsung's top-down leadership structure, while effective in maintaining order and efficiency, often lacks flexibility and creativity for newcomers. A shift to a participative and transformational leadership style could help employees, promote creativity, and increase international competitiveness. Decentralised decision-making and cross-functional

cooperation could help Samsung emulate organizations like Google, which have a healthy product development culture (Goleman, 2017).

Recommendations for Improvement

Apple

1. **Development decision-making:** Apple should foster a culture of creativity and innovation through transformational leadership and specialized teams, while balancing inclusivity and agility in product development decision-making.
- 2.
3. **Leverage AI and Data-Driven Leadership:** That way, leadership at Apple can incorporate advanced analytics to predict market trends, thus, implementation of leadership at the company will reflect needs of the market in the future (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

Samsung

1. **Decentralize Decision-Making & Encourage Behaviors:** Samsung should decentralize decision-making and encourage innovation among mid-level managers to promote accountability and decision-making at the mid-tier level, similar to Google's approach to creating spaces free from structural and bureaucratic restraints.
2. **Improve Leadership Development Programs:** Samsung should, therefore, allow its leaders to undertake training that would enable them develop participative and transformational leadership skills that may be useful in the future (Avolio et al., 2009).

5. Conclusion

Leadership plays a crucial role in organizational outcomes like innovation, employee satisfaction, and market performance. This essay examines the leadership of Apple and Samsung, highlighting their differences based on their history and culture. Apple's transformational leadership, exemplified by Steve Jobs, promotes operational efficiency, while Samsung's hierarchy and pro-authoritative focus on decision-making hinder flexibility and can hinder first-movers.

Although, both organizations have high performance levels, it is clear that there is need to reinform corporate leadership. Thus, coming back to the strategies of the transformational leadership at Apple, returning to its use can help revive the original innovative drive in disruptions. It was also for Samsung that the change towards participative, transformational practices would have a positive impact since it would create and foster an environment of creativity and adaptability in order to sustain competitive advantage against growing market turbulence. This analysis reveals that leadership requires balance, incorporating visionary transformational leadership and stability from democratic or hierarchical ideas. Future leaders should be more flexible, diverse, and creative to address issues and ensure the organization achieves both present and future objectives. This approach requires a balance between visionary transformational leadership and stability.

References

Avolio, B.J., Walumbwa, F.O. and Weber, T.J., 2009. Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual review of psychology*, 60(1), pp.421-449.

Bass, B.M. and Riggio, R.E., 2006. Transformational leadership. 2nd ed. New York: Psychology Press.

Zhang, W., 2020, *Crisis PR study on Samsung Galaxy Note 7 explosion incident. International Journal of Business and Management*, 15(7), pp.68-76.

Goleman, D., 2017. Leadership that gets results. In *Leadership perspectives* (pp. 85-96). Routledge.

Hogan, R. and Kaiser, R.B., 2005. What we know about leadership. *Review of General Psychology*, 9(2), pp.169–180.

Isaacson, W., 2011. *Walter Isaacson Great Innovators e-book boxed set: Steve Jobs, Benjamin Franklin, Einstein*. Simon and Schuster.

Kotter, J.P., 2012. Leading change. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.

Kim, M., Jeong, I. and Bae, J., 2024, *In the eye of the beholder: the role of self-perceived status in the relationship between high-performance work systems and affective commitment. Personnel Review*, 53(2), pp.621-640.

Lee, J.H., 2002, *Organisational Change, Learning and the Usage of Space: the Case of Samsung Electronics Company. Journal of the Korean association of regional geographers*, 8(3), pp.396-411.

Lazonick, W., Mazzucato, M. and Tulum, O., 2013. Apple's changing business model: What should the world's richest company do with all those profits? *Accounting Forum*, 37(4), pp.249-267.

Lashinsky, A., 2012. *Inside Apple: How America's most admired—and secretive—company really works*. New York: Business Plus.

Mavlyanov, A, Abdurakhimov, F, Maksumov, S & Kadamov, A 2020, *(PDF) Quality Management practices of Samsung Electronics and Apple*, ResearchGate.

Northouse, P.G., 2021. *Leadership: Theory and practice*. 9th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Podolny, J & Hansen, M 2020, *How Apple Is Organized for Innovation It's about experts leading experts, Apple*, December, *Harvard Business Review*, www.apple.com, pp. 1–11.

Samsung, 2022. Sustainability practices at Samsung. [online] Available at: <https://www.samsung.com> [Accessed 23 Dec. 2024].

Yukl, G., 2013. *Leadership in organizations*. 8th ed. Boston: Pearson Education.

Component 1 Done.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

5%

INTERNET SOURCES

1%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	researchspace.ukzn.ac.za Internet Source	1%
2	Submitted to Sino British College Student Paper	1%
3	Submitted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Student Paper	1%
4	www.anvilfire.com Internet Source	1%
5	Submitted to Nexford Learning Solutions Student Paper	1%
6	Submitted to Sheffield Hallam University Student Paper	1%
7	williamzimmerngallery.com Internet Source	1%
8	www.studymode.com Internet Source	<1%
9	www-emerald-com-443.webvpn.sxu.edu.cn Internet Source	<1%

10

www.coursehero.com

Internet Source

<1 %

11

www.ijilpm.com.ng

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On