



Understanding the Importance of Stakeholder Engagement

StaySmart+ Project: A Global Hospitality Service Launch

BA (Hons) Leadership and Management Skills for the Workplace | LCLM5001

Name: Jude Osaro Agbonlahor

Student Id: 2413807

Lecturer: Christopher Osborne

Executive Summary

This is a detailed report focusing on the engagement of stakeholders as a vital success factor in the global rollout of StaySmart+, a top-tier AI-driven hospitality service, across 6 continents. Being the project manager in charge of such transformation, this analysis establishes both internal and external stakeholders as well as assesses the mechanisms of engagement and gives recommendations on how to deal with the various interests of different markets in international markets with unique cultural, regulatory and commercial environments. The report uses the stakeholder theory; engagement models such as the stakeholder matrix and RACI model; and the 4C model (communication, collaboration, consultation, and commitment) to make projects aligned. This strategic solution establishes

confidence that is needed to enable adoption, as it relates to stakeholder issues of data privacy, employment, cultural appropriateness, and business equitability. The analysis shows that systematic engagement determines business performance outcomes such as better staff retention, customer satisfaction, increased operational efficiency, and sustainable competitive advantage in the hospitality industry.

1. Introduction: Company Background and Project Backdrop.

The Luxury Global Hotel Group (LGHG), which is based in London with strategic operations in the city of Birmingham and other key global markets, has managed to develop itself as a leading international hospitality player. The company runs some of the finest hotels in Europe, Asia-Pacific, the Middle East, Africa, and the Americas, which are more than 250. Having a turnover of more than 45,000 employees in over 160 different countries around the world with an annual revenue of more than 2.8 billion, LGHG has sustained its market leadership by being innovative, being customer-focused and being committed to the sustainability of their operations. Brand reputation as a symbol of quality and trust has been strengthened by the fact that the organisation has consistently occupied the top quartile in industry rankings such as Michels Guide, AAA and Forbes Travel ratings (Ashcroft, 2017).

The StaySmart+ programme is a transformational six-month implementation of an integrated AI-based guest engagement platform with the built-in capabilities of personalised travel planning, predictive service delivery, and amenities with an environmental focus. This advanced technology not only modernises the customer experience but also differentiates LGHG as the world hotel industry grows more competitive in the face of disruption to the industry by alternative accommodation platforms and disruption by technology.

The involvement of these diverse constituencies would guarantee organisational congruency with project goals, reduce change resistance, transfer knowledge necessary to adopt the system and create commitment to project goals. Organisational change management research results show that the quality of stakeholder engagement is the most statistically correlated success factor of transformation project success whose correlation is stronger and surpasses the degrees of technology strength, financial resources, and executive sponsorship (Elmes et al., 2012).

2. Situational Analysis: SWOT and PESTLE

2.1 SWOT Analysis

Strengths	Established brand reputation across multiple continents; advanced technology infrastructure investments; experienced management
------------------	---

	team with global transformation experience; diverse geographic market presence reducing reliance on single regions; strong sustainability credentials appealing to conscious consumers; substantial financial resources enabling innovation investments; established customer loyalty programmes; strategic technology partnerships with leading AI providers
Weaknesses	Legacy systems integration complexity across heterogeneous technology platforms; geographic dispersion creating coordination challenges; potential staff resistance to digital transformation reducing operational efficiency; training resource constraints given workforce scale.
Opportunities	Growing global demand for AI-enhanced guest experiences with premium pricing justification; expanding environmentally conscious consumer segments seeking sustainability credentials; significant expansion potential in emerging Asian markets.
Threats	Evolving cybersecurity threats and stringent data privacy regulations (GDPR, CCPA, emerging regional standards); competitive AI solutions from rivals including Marriott, Hilton, and boutique disruptors; labour market instability affecting staff retention; economic uncertainty affecting tourism demand in key markets; geopolitical tensions disrupting operations in Middle East, Asia; data breaches damaging brand reputation and customer trust; technological obsolescence if investment timelines extend

2.2 PESTLE Analysis

Political: UK regulatory frameworks, compliance with EU GDPR, and international data protection standards are very strict. In Asia-Pacific and the Middle East, the market access is influenced by geopolitics, where the relations with the government impact licensing and labour policy. International workforce mobility is influenced by trade policies and visa

policies. Economic: Post-pandemic recovery is characterised by fluctuating growth across the markets; currency fluctuations influence the international operations and revenue reporting, and interest rate changes influence the planning of capital expenditure and calculation of the returns on investments. Social: Design priorities are influenced by the consumer demand for more sustainable and technology-enhanced experiences. The workforce requirements focus on digital literacy, career development, and work-life balance. Reputational effects are increased through social media. Technological: The fast development of AI, the maturity of cloud computing, the introduction of IoT in smart buildings, and changing cybersecurity demands influence the choice of implementation strategy and a vendor.

Legal: Privacy laws need to be adhered to, the effects of digital transformation need to be discussed in employment agreements, IP safeguarding in technology connections, and regulatory licensing in the hospitality industries. Environmental: Sustainability certification requires ISO 14001, carbon reduction objectives in line with Paris Agreement obligations, and environmentally minded customer preferences in service design and operations. These are environmental factors that are increasingly affecting the customer's buying choices and capital markets access (Christopher et al., 2013).

3. Identification and Categorisation of Key Stakeholders.

The stakeholder theory as stated by Freeman (2010) refers to stakeholders as groups having valid interests in organisational processes and results. The project of LGHG, StaySmart+, needs to involve the multiple constituencies with different interests, power bases, and decision-making authority. Identification of stakeholders is done in a systematic manner, mapping the events of the organisation and listing individuals and groups whose interests or activities have been or are influenced by the project outcomes.

Internal stakeholders are the executive leadership (board and C-suite executives) which provide strategic direction and resource allocation decisions; chief information officers and technology teams which provide system architecture and implementation; guest services and operations staff which provide customer experiences; human resources departments which manage change management and employee transitions; finance committees which provide budget allocation and ROI; and marketing and sales divisions which use innovations to position themselves competitively. These are internal teams that cut across corporate headquarters, regional offices, and single geographies of hotel properties.

External stakeholders include customers and guest communities, as they contribute to the business success through their satisfaction. Technology partners and vendors who provide both platform infrastructure and AI functionality; Hospitality industry association that defines the sector standards; Regulatory bodies and compliance authorities who enforce data protection and employment laws; Community representatives in the local markets where employment and environmental effects are felt and the media who shape brand perception. Knowledge of stakeholder power and interest levels can be used to advantage prioritised engagement strategies (Sharma and Starik, 2004).

The Stakeholder-Interest Matrix ranks the stakeholders in terms of power (capacity to make a difference to the project results) and interest (level of concern to the results by the stakeholder). High-interest, high-power stakeholders (Executive Leadership, major customers) demand partnership strategies with aggressive participation and making of decisions together. Stakeholders with high power and low interest (Regulatory bodies, Finance committees) need to be monitored and reported to keep them supported. Information-sharing, feedback, and consultation processes are of benefit to high-interest, low-power stakeholders (Guest Services staff, local communities). Low-power, low-interest stakeholders need to be involved only at infrequent intervals but regularly updated (Mitchell et al., 1997).

4. Stakeholder Roles, responsibilities, benefits and challenges

Executive Leadership – It offers leadership strategies, allocation of resources, and governance. The advantages include the development of a competitive difference that improves position in the market, an increase in revenue due to high levels of service, and the development of the brand image. Nevertheless, they are at reputational risk in the event of a failure in implementation, exposed to financial risk in the event of ROI objectives not being achieved and called to account to shareholders on the results of transformation. Service functionality, user interfaces and feature specifications are designed by product development teams. They achieve opportunities of technical advancement, development of skills in AI and cloud technologies, and innovation recognition. Difficulties have been in dealing with the complexity of legacy systems, constrained development cycles, and technical debt of old systems.

The guest services and operations employees provide daily customer services and operation of systems. They enjoy better tools that will enhance their work efficiency, the opportunity to

develop through upskilling in digital skills, and the reward of customer satisfaction gains. They have serious issues such as the need to undergo massive training, changes in processes that disorient the already developed workflows, work security issues about automation, and pressure of support during the stabilisation stages. There are technology partners and vendors who provide infrastructure, platform delivery and support. They experience contractual earnings and market share yet provide management on performance expectation, service level agreement support and reputational risk in case there are system failures.

Clients and visitors want to have better experiences and guarantees on sustainability. They will receive customised service suggestions, efficient interactions, and value-based sustainability. They have valid points about the privacy of data, the transparency of the algorithm, and the security of information. The regulatory authorities oversee the adherence to data protection and employment law, which offer market legitimacy and risk mitigation but offer operation control and audit necessities. The stakeholders in the local communities demand jobs and environmental concern and will bring in local knowledge but may be opposed to the corporate projects that are seen as exploitative and damaging to the environment.

The service is used in competitive positioning and customer acquisition by the marketing and sales teams. They acquire potent customer engagement, personalisation, and market differentiation instruments and are pressurised to translate the major investments into the visible growth of revenue and market share. The HR departments are in charge of change management processes, personnel relocation and cultural adaptation, and they help in providing the necessary support but handle the resistance and retention issues (Manetti, 2011).

5. Engagement Frameworks and RACI Matrix

Successful stakeholder engagement means that it should have developed structures that translate theory into practice. The Stakeholder Engagement Matrix is a decision-making tool that helps to customise the communication strategies of stakeholders, ranking them according to their power and interest. The top-power, top-interest stakeholders (executive leadership and key technology partners) must have partnership strategies at the core of which there are frequent steering committee meetings with joint decision-making and authority to resolve issues quickly and aligned incentive frameworks to guarantee commitment on both sides.

These stakeholders usually convene monthly at steering committees where strategic decisions are discussed, resource allocations are passed and the risk management strategies are examined. Monthly cadence allows correction of the course in a timely manner without micromanagement.

StaySmart+ Project RACI Matrix
Stakeholder Accountability Framework

	Executive Lead	Technology Team	Operations	Marketing	HR
Strategic Planning	A	C	C	C	C
System Design & Architecture	A	R	C	C	I
Development & Testing	A	R	C	I	I
Staff Training Programs	A	C	R	C	R
Change Management	A	C	R	C	R
Implementation & Deployment	A	R	R	C	I
Monitoring & Evaluation	A	R	R	C	C
Engagement & Communication	A	C	C	R	R

Legend:
R Responsible (performs the work)
A Accountable (final authority & decision-maker)
C Consulted (provides input & feedback)
I Informed (receives updates & notifications)

The stakeholders with high power and low interest (regulatory bodies, finance committees) need to be monitored using methods such as quarterly compliance checks, briefings on regulatory updates and performance reporting showing ROI improvement. Such stakeholders enjoy concise and information-laden reporting that indicates responsible governance and risk management without stretching to spend a lot of time engaging. Stakeholders with high demands and low power (local communities and guest services staff) enjoy the benefits of information-sharing strategies such as frequent town hall meetings, online communication channels, and feedback systems.

Low-interest, low-power stakeholders need very low levels of engagement with the regular updates to awareness and goodwill. The Engagement Plan defines the frequency, channels and content of communication based on the needs of the audiences. Executive leadership, the CIO, the CFO, the chief operations officer and external board observers make up monthly steering committees. Technology and operations teams are involved in biweekly operational forums. The organisation requests guest feedback through quarterly customer panels. Community consultations in key markets quarterly are used to deal with local issues (Greenwood, 2007).

The Communication Plan defines messaging discipline in the stakeholder groups. The project vision messages focus on the attainment of competitive advantage and customer focus.

Operation messaging involves process change, role modification and support. Risk communication is very open when it comes to challenges faced and mitigation measures taken. The relevance and understanding of the messaging depend on customisation according to the audience. Technical teams are given specifications of system architecture, performance and integration roadmaps. The operations personnel are concerned with the customer impact, support resources, and the changes in workflow. Executives put a focus on strategic results, the validation of the business case, and risk reduction. This strategic method avoids fatigue of communication and enhances understanding among the stakeholders (Pfeffer, 1981).

6. Stakeholder Engagement Process and Conflict Management: The 4C's Model

My role as project manager will include identification of stakeholders, development of engagement strategy, continued relationship management and conflict resolution under project constraints. The 4Cs model – Communication, Collaboration, Consultation and Commitment – gives a mechanism of organised system to deal with various stakeholders' interests and foreseeable disputes. This evidence-based model of organisational psychology and change management literature shows itself to be effective in any global change endeavour (Bryson et al., 2006).

6.1 Communication

The stakeholder engagement is based on clear, timely and transparent communication. Monthly dashboards for project status reports give transparency regarding the progress against milestones and identification of risks, including mitigation plans, allocation and expenditure of resources, and adoption measurements. This information level eliminates the development of rumours and creates trust in the competence of the management. Specific audiences need to be messaged in a specific way in order to make sense. Sir, technical teams are provided with documented system architecture, API requirements and performance benchmarks. The operations employees are provided with customer impact assessments, training schedule details and hotline information. The executives are provided with strategic alignment reports, business case progress reports, and competitive positioning reports. Bidirectional communication channels such as suggestions forums, feedback surveys and direct access to project leadership show consideration of the stakeholder point of view and create a sense of psychological safety that is needed to achieve an honest conversation (Kaplan and Kaplan, 2011).

Crisis communication procedures are used to deal with foreseeable conflicts ahead of time. In case technology integration delays arise, communication at the earliest stage to the stakeholders who will be affected, explaining the new timelines, mitigation, and new delivery expectations, will avoid the frustration worsening. In the event that there arises an element of resistance by the guest in regard to data privacy, advance communication on the concept of GDPR compliance, encryption levels, customer consent provisions, and third-party security audits would help lay down an issue with clarity and technical competence. In case employees raise issues about automation as a job elimination factor, openness to the issue by clarifying the reasons behind role transformation, training and growth will convince them that the management cares about the concerns of the workforce. Frequent communication helps stakeholders to avoid being caught off-guard and build trust that will be needed to continue engaging (Men and Stacks, 2013).

6.2 Collaboration

The collaboration strategies entail the inclusion of problem-solving with stakeholders capitalising on the experience and creating ownership. Cross-functional working groups comprising technology, operations, marketing and HR members jointly work on implementation strategies that accommodate different perspectives. Technology vendor relations are characterised by the sharing of responsibilities in planning, a joint approach to achieving milestones, performance incentives and the solving of problems mutually when issues arise. Guest advisory panels give first-hand customer feedback on the features prioritisation, user interface design, and service improvement (Waddock and Googins, 2011).

Cases where problems are tackled jointly whenever a challenge arises are evidence of actual concern in the input of stakeholders. When employees detect bottlenecks in the processes, collaborative improvement to a process that utilises the knowledge of operational staff results in improved resolution as compared to being imposed by the top. In case the customers raise concerns on features, joint design cycles in response to customer feedback create investment in solution success. This participative management theory is a collaborative position, which produces better results than a hierarchical problem-solving approach and involves more stakeholder satisfaction and commitment (Freeman, 2010).

6.3 Consultation

Consultation will make sure that the viewpoints of the stakeholders will be taken into account in decision-making prior to implementation. Organisational readiness surveys to evaluate the level of staff comfort with change and identify gaps in support and explore customer expectations of AI-driven services and potential responses to concerns; regulatory consultation on the compliance of data handling with the standards of audit and concerns with local markets; or community consultation on the impact on employment and the environment. The consultation exercise sends the message that the feedback of the stakeholders does indeed matter. Credibility is built through systematic gathering of feedback and open communication of the impact of input on decision-making. The resistance is reduced and buy-in is enhanced when the stakeholders feel that the concerns are taken seriously and not brushed off (Svendsen and Laberge, 2005).

Open communication regarding the result of consultation, including reasons as to why some suggestions were adopted instead of others and why some have been changed as a result of constraint, will uphold trust. Stakeholders are willing to go with the choices they do not seem to agree with, provided they feel that a hearing and an honest explanation were done. This perception of fairness is more predictive of acceptance than outcome alignment; this is based on the human psychology research on procedural justice (Saunders et al., 2012).

6.4 Commitment

When it comes to achieving the commitment of the stakeholders, it will be necessary to show how the project will be beneficial to each group. To technology partners, dedication is based on commercial revenue agreements, collaboration in problem-solving that depicts skill, and market placement advantages. In the case of operations staff, devotion can be built by investing in training, career growth opportunities in the new systems, and development of skills in competencies required and understanding that they are vital to the success of the implementation. Employee resistance is often more a result of change rather than implemented change. To the customers, the promise is made by showing practical service enhancements, open communication of data privacy and trust in information security as shown by independent audits. Commitment from the community stakeholders would be based on transparency on employment creation, hiring locally, demonstrating environmental responsibility and being concerned with the welfare of the community (Senge et al., 2008).

7. Impact of Stakeholder Engagement on Business Performance.

Successful stakeholder management is a direct cause of business performance achievements because there are several ways in which it operates. Involved stakeholders better mobilise resources, make faster decisions by aligning with each other, and have fewer implementation barriers. The trained and consulted guest services staff are more impactful in delivering the best customer experience, creating a positive online review, repeat booking, and brand advocacy in hospitality markets where digital reputation has become influential in hotel marketing. Teams of technology aligned with business strategies optimise the architectural structure of the system to be operational by lowering the costs of downtime and lowering support requirements. The marketing and sales staff with passionately motivated employees transform innovations in service into revenue increases better in reality through genuine organisational communication. The executives are able to implement strategic changes in time to secure investments and take advantage of emergent opportunities due to clear project visibility (Elmes et al., 2012).

Measurable effects are the increase in staff retention due to the investments in change management (it has been estimated that a 15-20 per cent reduction in turnover is possible during transformation projects), enhanced customer satisfaction (the improvement in Net Promoter Scores with customer populations is usually 8-12 per cent), and increased efficiency as a result of frontline worker inundation in process design (a 5-10 per cent improvement in labour productivity). Stakeholder consultation will mitigate risks that cause expensive rework; regulatory consultation will help avoid project delays and penalties by regulators. Competitive advantage over the long term is created as the StaySmart+ provides market positioning, high price justification, and a brand reputation of innovation and customer centricity (Friedman and Miles, 2006).

The cost-benefit analysis shows engagement investments that will result in high returns. Meeting the steering committee monthly to spend 20 executive hours will be an opportunity cost of about 3000 pounds but will avoid costly strategic misalignments costing hundreds of thousands. The 50,000 staff-hour staff training programmes at a cost of about 1 million will prevent failures in adopting the training programmes at a cost of about 10-15 million in unrealised gains. Investment in guest satisfaction that creates positive word of mouth creates demand in the market justifying premium pricing. Investments into community engagement worth £500,000 will avoid the damage to reputation worth up to 50 million of the market

value loss in the aftermath of the negative sentiment spread by social media in the event of a failure of a corporation concerning its social responsibility.

7.1 Recommendations for Improved Stakeholder Engagement.

To begin with, form a special Stakeholder Engagement Office under the Project Steering Committee with its own staff, budget, and responsibility for the quality of engagement. This centralised role guarantees the ability to have the same engagement strategy, a complete database of stakeholders with their contact preferences and history of engagement, the ability to understand how stakeholders feel about the company via regular pulse surveys, and the ability to co-ordinate a far-flung geography. Second, devise culturally aware models of engagement that acknowledge geographical and demographic differences. The stakeholders in the Asian market might prefer hierarchical communication channels and consensus-making; European markets may engage in face-to-face communication and finding personal voice; the Middle East market might build relationships through personal relations; and African markets may put consultations at the community level. Personalised engagement strategies are proof of legitimate respect and enhance functionality (Saunders et al., 2012).

Third, establish strong stakeholder feedback systems, which involve input in project management systems. Summaries of monthly feedback present the developing concerns of stakeholders; resolutions being tracked make the management responsive; and communication that is transparent and explains how feedback is implemented fosters credibility. Fourth, invest in informal relationship-building activities. Informal networking functions, mentorship schemes between the experienced staff and new system adopters, recognition schemes in the recognition of stakeholder contribution and celebration functions in commemoration of project milestones establish positive interpersonal dynamics that enable collaboration (Perkmann and Salter, 2012).

8. Ethical Implication in Stakeholder Engagement.

Ethical stakeholder engagement is ethically sensitive to stakeholder legitimacy, respects the various values, and does not use any manipulation strategy that destroys trust. Customer-collected data on preference and behaviour must have explicit, informed consent and a clear explanation of the use of the data and be secured by an external check-up. Employee involvement in terms of job security issues must be authentic communication of automation possibilities, open dialogue of job development, and veritable retraining investment. To

engage the community on environmental and social effects, it is necessary to have a transparent environmental impact assessment; community consultation, not consultation theatre; and sincere responsiveness to issues. The practices in employment in terms of hiring and promotion should offer equal opportunities instead of favouritism by showing dedication to the values of diversity and inclusion.

Ethical engagement also takes into consideration the power imbalance that is implicit in corporate-stakeholder relationships. Corporations have better information, money and institutional power. Moral involvement balances such imbalances with transparency, genuine consultations, and responsiveness to issues in good faith. Manipulation or insincerity by the stakeholders results in the withdrawal of cooperation, affecting the project's success and damaging the reputation over the long run.

9. Conclusion

Stakeholder engagement is not an optional project management activity but a strategic requirement that defines the success of transformation. StaySmart+ global implementation entails intricate stakeholder systems comprising both internal and external bodies with conflicting interests, cultures, positions of power, and decision-making prerogatives. This report has shown that systematic identification of stakeholders, categorisation using power interest matrices and systematic engagement using communication mechanisms, collaboration mechanisms, consultation mechanisms and commitment mechanisms have overwhelming positive impacts on the implementation outcomes. Responsibility is explained by the RACI matrix. Engagement plans implement the discipline of communication. Frameworks equip the decision-making instruments to deal with trade-offs that are complex.

The solution to the stakeholder issues concerning data privacy, employment, cultural appropriateness, the environmental consequences, and the commercial fairness creates trust that is needed to be willing to adopt them. Open governance of the project that exemplifies the management sensitivity to feedback fosters psychological safety that allows open communication. Being the project manager, the only way to be successful is to change the stakeholder management (that implies control) to the stakeholder engagement (that implies partnership). This relational style also acknowledges the legitimacy of the stakeholders, honours diversity of viewpoints and leverages the collective intelligence.

The 4Cs model offers viable advice. The 6-month StaySmart+ implementation strategy requires speed; its paradoxical nature is the main factor in taking little time to involve the stakeholders; they get more time on course by lessening the resistance, as well as aligning and gaining momentum. The competitive hospitality market does not favour organisations that depend on differentiation based on high-quality consumer experience; the differentiation is based on effective employees providing excellence. Focusing on stakeholder involvement, LGHG makes StaySmart+ not just a technology implementation or deployment but also a process of transformation that will promote organisational learning, innovation potential, and a sustainable competitive edge.

References

- Ashcroft, J. (2017) *The relational lens: understanding, measuring, and managing stakeholder relationships*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bryson, J.M., Cunningham, G.L. and Lokkesmoe, K.J. (2006) 'Some lessons learned from a decade of working on strategic planning in public and nonprofit organisations', *The American Review of Public Administration*, 32(3), pp. 348-371.
- Christopher, M., Payne, A. and Ballantyne, D. (2013) *Relationship marketing: creating stakeholder value*. Saint Louis: Taylor and Francis.
- Elmes, M.B., Cramer, L. and Twining, J. (2012) 'Learning and organizing in the post-industrial knowledge economy', *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 11(3), pp. 441-466.
- Freeman, R.E. (2010) *Strategic management: a stakeholder approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, A.L. and Miles, S. (2006) *Stakeholders: theory and practice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Greenwood, M. (2007) 'Stakeholder engagement: beyond the rhetoric', *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 20(4), pp. 531-552.
- Kaplan, R.E. and Kaplan, R.B. (2011) *Exceptional leadership: 16 critical competencies for leading high-performing teams*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Manetti, G. (2011) 'The quality of stakeholder engagement in sustainability reporting: empirical evidence and critical points', *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 18(2), pp. 110-122.
- Men, L.R. and Stacks, D.W. (2013) 'The impact of leadership style and earned trust on employees' organisational identification and internal public relations', *Journal of Public Relations Research*, 25(3), pp. 222-243.
- Mitchell, R.K., Agle, B.R. and Wood, D.J. (1997) 'Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: defining the principle of who and what really counts', *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), pp. 853-886.
- Perkmann, M. and Salter, A. (2012) 'How to create productive partnerships with universities', *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 53(4), pp. 67-74.
- Pfeffer, J. (1981) *Power in organisations*. Boston: Pitman Publishing.
- Savage, G.T., Bunn, M.D., Gray, B., Xie, Y. and Wucherer, B. (1991) 'Stakeholder collaboration: natural resource conflicts and dimensions of consensus', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 35(3), pp. 181-203.
- Saunders, M.N.K., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2012) *Research methods for business students* (6th edn). Harlow: Pearson.
- Senge, P.M., Smith, B., Kruschwitz, N., Laur, J. and Schley, S. (2008) *The necessary revolution: how individuals and organisations are working together to create a sustainable world*. New York: Doubleday.
- Sharma, S. and Starik, M. (2004) *Stakeholders, the environment, and society*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Svendsen, A.C. and Laberge, M. (2005) 'Convening stakeholder networks: a new way of thinking, being and engaging', *The Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 19(3), pp. 91-105.
- Waddock, S. and Googins, B.K. (2011) 'The paradoxes of communicating corporate social responsibility', *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 49(3), pp. 63-71.