

Psychopathological Classifications and Provisions

Component 2: Written Assignment (Report)

Student name: Belinda Matembe

Student number: 2313066

Lecturer: Bianca Sanfilippo

BMHP6029

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Classification and Diagnosis	3
Classification of PTSD in ICD-11	3
Diagnostic Criteria and Processes.....	4
Language Used in Classification	4
Treatment and Rehabilitation.....	5
Standard Treatment Approaches	5
Rehabilitation Measures.....	5
Effectiveness and Limitations.....	6
Current Debates and Emerging Issues	6
Debates on Classification and Treatment.....	6
Role of Neurodivergence and Reclassification.....	6
Impact on Practice and Policy.....	7
Recommendations	7
Improving Classification and Diagnosis.....	7
Enhancing Treatment and Rehabilitation.....	8
Implications for Practice, Policy, and Research.....	8
Conclusion	8
References.....	9

Introduction

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is a major mental illness that falls under Chapter 6 of the International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision (ICD-11). It occurs after exposure to traumatic events like violence, calamities or life-threatening circumstances. The condition has become more and more popular because of its complicated symptomatology and prolonged consequences on the psychological and social functioning of individuals. The ICD-11 provided some crucial changes to PTSD by streamlining the diagnostic criteria, as well as introducing a differentiation between PTSD and Complex PTSD (CPTSD), which makes it more clinically clear and applicable in practise (Stefanik et al., 2025).

Critical analysis of PTSD is significant because of its prevalence around the world and the fact that it manifests differently in different populations. Studies have shown that exposure to trauma, especially negative childhood events, is a major contributor to the development of PTSD or CPTSD and, therefore, proper diagnosis and appropriate intervention measures are needed (Li et al., 2025). This report will critically examine the classification, diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of PTSD in the ICD-11 and also discuss current controversy and suggest ways to improve this area.

Classification and Diagnosis

Classification of PTSD in ICD-11

In ICD-11, PTSD is a trauma- and stressor-related disorder, which shows its direct relationship with traumatic events. The classification focuses on a simplified list of major symptoms such as re-experiencing the trauma, avoiding reminders, and the feeling of constant threat. Such a simplified structure is in contrast to the previous diagnostic systems that comprised a wider and sometimes overlapping symptom set resulting in possible overdiagnosis (Stefanik et al., 2025).

Another difference between PTSD and CPTSD is also identified by the ICD-11, whereby the latter has added other symptoms, including emotional dysregulation, negative self-concept, and interpersonal problems. Empirical studies have supported this difference as they show that PTSD and CPTSD are different but related conditions that differ in clinical presentation (Beckord et al., 2025). This kind of differentiation increases the accuracy of diagnosis but it also complicates clinical practice.

Diagnostic Criteria and Processes

The diagnostic criteria of PTSD in the ICD-11 are narrowed on a small number of clearly defined symptoms in order to enhance reliability and clinical utility. These are reliving the traumatic event, avoidance of cues and being in a state of constant threat. The clinicians have to decide whether the symptoms are a long-lasting phenomenon that severely affects the functioning of an individual on a daily basis. The standardized and streamlined system is aimed at minimizing diagnostic ambiguity and assist in the more uniform detection of PTSD in various health facilities. Standardised instruments, including the International Trauma Questionnaire, have also enhanced consistency in diagnostic and also made it possible to make more reliable comparisons across populations and research settings (Kindred et al., 2025).

Although these advances have been made, the process of diagnosis is still complicated and difficult to practise. The symptoms of PTSD may be confused with other mental disorders, especially with depression and anxiety, thus resulting in mis-diagnosis, or delayed diagnosis. Comorbidity tends to be high particularly in children and adolescents and it is challenging to make the difference between PTSD and related disorders which make it hard to plan treatment (Birkeland et al., 2025). Moreover, cultural and social factors can affect the symptom experience and reporting of individuals and can impact clinical interpretation and diagnostic in different populations.

Language Used in Classification

ICD-11 considers PTSD as a disorder, which is a medicalised way of looking at mental health. As much as this terminology aids clinical identification and therapy, it has been condemned as likely to strengthen stigma and pathologize the normal responses to trauma. Other researchers believe that the adaptive character of the trauma reactions can be better described by the use of the alternative language, including condition or response (Shatsky, 2025).

Patient identity and interactions with treatment also depend on the choice of language. People can be less stigmatising in explaining their experiences and be more willing to seek help. Nevertheless, keeping the word disorder is consistent across the medical classification systems and facilitates the availability of healthcare services, creating a conflict between clinical utility and social perception (Li et al., 2025).

Treatment and Rehabilitation

Standard Treatment Approaches

PTSD treatment typically incorporates both psychological and pharmacological treatment methods to manage both primary symptoms and complicating challenges. Trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (TF-CBT) is generally accepted to be a first-line therapy since it allows a person to process the traumatic memories, challenge dysfunctional thought patterns, and learn effective coping mechanisms. Clinical evidence highly favours this method because it is structured and benefits in the long run. Moreover, the eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR) has also become an accepted treatment method especially in alleviating distress associated with traumatic memories and enhancing emotional control (Stubley et al., 2025).

Pharmacological interventions are usually adopted to control anxiety, depression and sleep disturbances which are the symptoms that normally accompany PTSD. Antidepressants are the most commonly used drugs and may aid in stabilising the mood and lessening the severity of the symptoms. Nevertheless, medication is hardly adequate to treat the underlying trauma and is most effective when it is used alongside the psychological therapies. Although these evidence-based treatments are available, there is unequal access to them in various regions. The insufficient supply of trained professionals and mental health services in low-resource environments is one of the most effective ways to lower the effectiveness of the treatment process and prolong the recovery process (Stefanik et al., 2025).

Rehabilitation Measures

PTSD rehabilitation is not only limited to symptom minimization but also to social reintegration and functional recovery. ICD-11 focuses on the significance of holistic care, such as provision of employment, relationships, and involvement in community. Psychoeducation, peer support, and vocational training are often part of the rehabilitation programmes to deal with the indirect effects of the disorder (Vuorio et al., 2025).

Practically, rehabilitation is not always present and developed as compared to acute treatment interventions. Research indicates that patients with CPTSD require long-lasting assistance because they have more serious and chronic problems (Hualparuca-Olivera et al., 2026). This underscores the necessity of integrated care models which take care of both psychological and social recovery.

Effectiveness and Limitations

Existing interventions to treat PTSD are not always effective, though they are generally effective. The response rate of a large percentage of people to the conventional therapies is low, and this implies that alternative therapies or customised treatments are necessary. Treatment outcomes depend on such factors as the severity of the trauma, comorbidity, and personal variations (Thoma et al., 2025).

The difference between clinical guidelines and the actual practise also presents limitations. As an illustration, not all healthcare systems have specialised therapies and practitioners do not have the required training. Moreover, stigma and obstacles to seeking help may deny people access to treatment, which may further decrease efficacy (Levin et al., 2025).

Current Debates and Emerging Issues

Debates on Classification and Treatment

The difference between PTSD and CPTSD in ICD-11 is one of the key disputes. Although there is some research that provides the support of this differentiation, some researchers claim that it can make the diagnosis more complicated, and cause needless fragmentation in the trauma-related disorders. It is still debated whether a dimensional spectrum approach can be more effective in describing the spectrum of trauma responses (Shatsky, 2025).

The other debate is connected with streamlining of the criteria of diagnosis in ICD-11. Although this method enhances usability, critics say that it can also fail to pick significant symptoms and lower the sensitivity in some groups. The question of simplicity versus comprehensiveness is still one of the main challenges in psychiatric classification systems (Li et al., 2025).

Role of Neurodivergence and Reclassification

Neurodivergence has also become a more potent concept in modern discourse in mental health, which leaves critical questions about the understanding and classification of conditions that is classified like PTSD. Instead of perceiving PTSD as a disorder, certain researchers state that the trauma reactions can be a part of natural and adaptive response to the extreme experiences (Stefanik et al., 2025). This view is in opposition to the traditional medical models which pay a lot of attention to pathology but instead encourages a more holistic approach to the issue of psychological diversity. In this perspective, even such symptoms as hypervigilance or emotional sensitivity can be viewed as survival responses and not a dysfunction, which is

also significant regarding the relevance of the existing diagnostic nomenclature (Vuorio et al., 2025).

Simultaneously, studies have also discovered that there exist considerable overlaps among PTSD and other mental disorders such as personality disorders and symptoms of psychosis. These intersections imply that the current classification systems might fail to capture the complexity of the traumatic related experiences, and can be improved with an integrated or dimensional approach. This diagnostic ambiguity may cause misclassification which in its turn has an impact on treatment planning and clinical outcomes (Levin et al., 2025). That is why the re-conceptualisation of PTSD in the wider context of neurodivergence might contribute to the better diagnosis and more individualised care.

Impact on Practice and Policy

Controversies in PTSD classification and management have a large implication in clinical practice and health policy. Alterations in the diagnostic criteria may affect the prevalence rates, resources distribution, and service availability (Li et al., 2025). As an illustration, more stringent requirements can decrease the rate of diagnoses and leave people in need of help out.

Trauma-informed care is another policy factor that must be taken into account in healthcare systems. This strategy acknowledges the prevalence of traumatisation and encourages trauma-free practises. In the specialised environment, e.g. in the aviation industry, the PTSD assessment policies reveal that classification systems can affect the professional standards and safety aspects (Vuorio et al., 2025).

Recommendations

Improving Classification and Diagnosis

The classification of PTSD needs to be refined further with the use of categorical and dimensional methods. A hybrid model might be able to reflect the complexity of trauma responses without making it too complex to use clinically (Stefanik et al., 2025). There is also a necessity to increase the cultural sensitivity of diagnostic criteria in order to make sure that an accurate assessment of various populations is performed.

Another recommendation is to improve the training of clinicians. Improved diagnostic accuracy and consistency can be provided by paying more attention to trauma-informed assessment, as well as applying validated measurements. This is especially significant in the context of the elevated levels of comorbidity and overlap of symptoms (Levin et al., 2025).

Enhancing Treatment and Rehabilitation

The scope of treatment methods should be broadened to incorporate more personalised and flexible interventions. Psychological, pharmacological, and social support services are interventions that can be integrated to enhance positive outcomes and meet the various needs of people with PTSD. More access to evidence-based treatments, especially to underserved communities, is also important (Levin et al., 2025).

Rehabilitation is an essential part of care and not a supplementary measure. Sustained recovery and better quality of life may be achieved through long term support such as community based programmes and peer networks. Effective mental health care should therefore rely on investment in rehabilitation services.

Implications for Practice, Policy, and Research

To practise, a holistic and patient-centred method can improve the interaction and results. Clinicians need to take personal experiences and preferences into consideration when formulating treatment plans. In terms of policy, it is necessary to spend resources on trauma-informed services and provide equal access to it (Li et al., 2025).

The new research directions should be directed at the mechanism of PTSD and the creation of new interventions. Longitudinal studies are especially valuable when one needs to investigate the long-term consequences of trauma and the efficacy of various treatment methods.

Conclusion

PTSD is a complicated and dynamic mental disorder in the ICD-11. The new classification has enhanced the usefulness and clarity of diagnosis, yet has difficulty in managing the diversity of trauma responses. Although existing treatment options are effective in a large portion of people, access and personalisation as well as long-term support remains limited, which indicates the necessity to keep developing it.

The dynamism of the field is evident through the repetitive discussions on classification, neurodivergence, and methods of treatment. To solve these problems, it is necessary to balance clinical evidence, patient views, and social issues. With the help of specific recommendations, the classification, diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation of PTSD can be improved to eventually increase the outcomes of trauma victims.

- References Beckord, J., Birke, J., Krakowczyk, J.B., Hesse, S., Hinney, A., Dörrie, N., Schneider, J.S., Komlenac, N., Bäuerle, A. and Teufel, M., 2025. PTSD and CPTSD in the new ICD-11—a latent profile analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, 344, p.116350.
- Birkeland, M.S., Bones, L.O., Guren, G.O. and Jensen, T.K., 2025. Comparison of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in DSM-5 and ICD-11 in children and adolescents: rates of probable PTSD, diagnostic agreement, and comorbidity. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 16(1), p.2568339.
- Gilbar, O., 2025. Personality Disorder Severity–ICD-11 Scale and CPTSD ICD-11 Scale: examining possible psychometric properties and diagnostic concept overlap. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 16(1), p.2541472.
- Hualparuca-Olivera, L., Vigo-Ayasta, E., Torales, J., Ramos-Vera, C., Caycho-Rodríguez, T., Calle-Arancibia, M., Calle, D. and Ventriglio, A., 2026. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Global Prevalence of ICD-11 Complex Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in the Community. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, p.00207640251368064.
- Kindred, R., Jak, S., Hamer, R., Nedeljkovic, M. and Bates, G.W., 2025. Evaluating the ICD-11 PTSD and complex PTSD constructs: a meta-analytic confirmatory factor analysis of the international trauma questionnaire. *Assessment*, p.10731911251340837.
- Levin, Y., Mazza, A., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., Shevlin, M., McGinty, G., Hoffman, Y., Lis, E., Ben-Ezra, M. and Rahel, B., 2025. Positive Symptoms of Psychosis and International Classification of Diseases 11th Revision (ICD-11) Complex Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: A Network Analysis in a Canadian Sample from Montreal: Symptômes positifs de psychose et trouble de stress post-traumatique complexe (CIM-11): Une analyse de réseau dans un échantillon canadien de Montréal. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 70(7), pp.583-592.
- Levin, Y., Rahamim, T., Bachem, R., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., Ben-Ezra, M. and Shevlin, M., 2026. Measuring ICD-11 Bodily Distress Disorder Symptoms: The Development and Initial Validation of the Bodily Distress Inventory (BoDI). *Journal of Psychiatric Research*.
- Li, Y., He, Z., Liu, Z., Peng, N., Xiao, Y., Ye, Y. and Zhou, X., 2025. Adverse Childhood Experiences and the Diagnosis of ICD-11 Post-traumatic Stress Disorder or Complex Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: A Systematic Review and Three-level Meta-analysis. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, p.15248380251336188.

- Shatsky, S.M., 2025. Revising and Consolidating the DSM-5 and ICD-11 Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders Into a Dimensional Spectrum-Based Disorder: A Literature Review.
- Stamer, U.M., Lavand'homme, P., Hofer, D.M., Barke, A. and Korwisi, B., 2025. Definition of chronic postsurgical pain in the ICD-11: implications for anaesthesiology and pain medicine. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*.
- Stefanik, A., Batko, K., Król, T., Pietras, T. and Sipowicz, K., 2025. Comparison of PTSD diagnostic criteria according to ICD-10 and ICD-11: Implications for practical medicine. *EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE*, 12(3), pp.188-192.
- Stubley, J., Chipp, B. and Buszewicz, M., 2025. Diagnosis and management of complex post-traumatic stress disorder (C-PTSD). *bmj*, 388.
- Thoma, M.V., Redican, E., Adank, N., Schneemann, V.B., Shevlin, M., Maercker, A. and Rohner, S.L., 2025. ICD-11 posttraumatic stress disorder and complex PTSD: Prevalence, predictors, and construct validity in Swiss older adults. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 16(1), p.2445368.
- Vuorio, A., Bor, R., Budowle, B., Gray, A. and Suhonen-Malm, A.S., 2025. Assessment policy of post-traumatic stress disorder in aviation and its practical application using turbulence-triggered trauma as an example. *Frontiers in public health*, 13, p.1505004.