

MARKING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

MODULE: Group Project

ASSIGNMENT: Report

STUDENT: Callum Hill

DATE: May 2019

This section details the assessment criteria. The extent to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Research-informed Literature (Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.) Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.		
50-59%: Inclusion of a range of research-informed literature, including sources retrieved independently. Referencing conventions mostly consistently applied. <i>Some references in the report on the joint area</i>	10	5.2
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject (Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the project) Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline.		
50-59%: Knowledge is accurate with a good understanding of the field of study. <i>Desmontrates good understanding of the requirements of the task in hand. Simple and accurate approach. Good work on wiring for the ECU</i>	25	14.5
3. Analysis (For example - use of analytical calculations, Matlab, Excel FEA CFD, Wave, WinGeo3 etc.) Analysis, evaluation and synthesis; logic, argument and judgement; analytical reflection; organisation of ideas and evidence		
50-59%: Evidence of some logical, analytical thinking and synthesis. Can analyse new and/or abstract data and situations without guidance. An emerging awareness of different stances and the ability to use evidence to support the argument. Valid conclusions and recommendations where relevant. <i>Good evalaution of the challenges presented and good ability to deliver a simple yet effective solution</i>	25	14.3
4. Practical Application and Deployment (Design ideas, proposed design parameters and methods for evaluation of these ideas such as experimental work and simulation) Deployment of methods, materials, tools and techniques; application of concepts; formulation of innovative and creative solutions to solve problems.		
70-79%: An advanced application of a range of methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is well considered, with extensive use of relevant examples. Application and deployment extend beyond established conventions. Innovation and creativity evident throughout. <i>Great achievement in getting the engine to fit to the trolley and this to the engine. You succeeded where many before have failed</i>	25	17.5
5. Skills for Professional Practice (How well you worked as a group. Report presentation quality, project planning and organisation.) Attributes in professional practice: individual and collaborative working; deployment of appropriate media; presentation and organisation.		
60-69%: Can communicate well, confidently and consistently in a suitable format. Can work very well as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Work is coherent and fluent and is well structured and organised. <i>Very good demosntration of team work and keeping everyone motivated and not loosing track of your work even when it became clear engine might not run</i>	15	10.2
Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate) Up to one-week late Over one week late	62 %