

Feedback	
Student's Name	CHARLES MICHAEL
Student's SurName	WILKINS
Student's Email	2104032@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB5029
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	40
-----------------------------------	----

Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible, relevant and diverse academic and industry sources for Network Automation Frameworks, Tools, API Standards and Architecture, its security and compliance policies and various resources available. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise and summarise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. Key concepts discussed. In-text citation done. More academic credible literatures from peer reviewed journals need to be referred to the work. Most of the references are from online sources. Lack of critical appraisals and summaries on the literatures. Warning for Plagiarism. Most of the discussion is just a copy and paste from different sources. You are expected to refer the literatures/ resources and reflect your understanding in own words and not copy paste.	15.6

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30
------------------------------------	----

Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding of network automation frameworks, tools, standards, architecture and its importance. The strength of statements need to reflect clearly the underlying principles, and critical understanding of concepts with appropriate examples and adequate figures/ diagrams. The report addresses APIs, Network automation and Frameworks very well with appropriate figures. However, rather than copy pasting from other sources, discussion on your own words could have added more value to the work.	21

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
-----------------------------------	----

Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating Network Automation frameworks, tools, standards and architectures. There was also a need to address similar resources (where available, what is the cost, etc), with adequate arguments and judgements. Critical review on security, compliance policies and API security and privacy required. More adequate critical analysis and review required. Devnet resources discussed very well. However, more detailed discussion on similar resources could have added value to your work.	12.8

Practical Application Skills	0
------------------------------	---

Feedback	Mark
Not Applicable	0

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
--	----

Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. The flow of the report is good. Could have numbered the sub-chapters.	7.8
Overall Mark	57