

Feedback	
Student's Name	DEREK WAYNE
Student's SurName	CARVELL
Student's Email	2012800@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NOSS - WS4
Marked By	Munyaradzi Murira

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
In this section for a student to do well, they needed to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources. Where possible one will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. Lastly one is expected to apply appropriate referencing conventions. Good work , more marks could have been gained citing some of narratives	12

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
To score high marks one needed to demonstrate systematic understanding of various technologies that were installed or deployed during practical work. The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered under observation task contributed to the score on this section. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. well done, you could have added table of contents and an abstract	18.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section one needed to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating different technologies and methods used in practical work. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. good work with room of improvement on critical analysis	17.5

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
For a student to excel they needed to provide evidence of the practical work done by using time stamped screenshots and a narrative text to accompany the screenshots. It needed the skill to know which screenshots to include and how much to write as a narrative and when to reference the narrative. Good work, you did most of the major tasks	35.8

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
To score high a student needed to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Report was professionally made	4.4
Overall Mark	88

