



PRIFYSGOL CYMRU
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
UNIVERSITY OF WALES
Trinity Saint David

Applied Leadership and Management in the Workplace
Module Assignment Submission

Student Name:	Alexandra Hassan
Student Number:	2329422
Module No:	BMSK5009
Module Title:	Developing a High-Performance Culture

Statement of Confirmation of Authenticity

By the act of making this submission, the student declares that this is the work of the student named above. The work has not, in whole or in part, been knowingly presented elsewhere for assessment, or where assessment has been built on a previous assessment, this has been identified. Where materials have been used from other sources it has been properly acknowledged. If this statement is untrue, the student acknowledges that an assessment offence has been committed.

Attention is drawn to the plagiarism and unfair practice policies of both the University and of ILM.

Contents Page

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Scope

1.2 Overview of High-Performance Culture

1.3 Structure of the Report

2. Organisational Background

2.1 Overview of the Chosen Organisation

2.2 Strategic Purpose and Key Context

Part 1: Critical Assessment of Culture and Context

3. Organisational Culture

3.1 Definitions and Theoretical Frameworks (Schein, Handy, Hofstede)

3.2 Analysis of Current Culture

3.3 Impact on Behaviour and Collaboration

4. Organisational Context

4.1 Internal and External Influences

4.2 Relationship Between Culture and Context

4.3 Critical Summary

Part 2: Evaluation of Broader Environment

5. Environmental Analysis

5.1 PESTEL Findings

5.2 SWOT Summary

5.3 McKinsey 7S Insights

6. Evaluation and Implications

6.1 Key Environmental Pressures

6.2 Strategic and Operational Implications

Part 3: Culture and Ethical Behaviour

7. Ethical Behaviour in the Organisation

7.1 Definitions and Theoretical Models (Toulmin, RED, Chatfield)

7.2 Cultural Influences on Ethics

7.3 Behavioural Trends and Analysis

8. Synergies and Implications

8.1 Culture–Ethics Relationship

8.2 Impact on Performance and Collaboration

9. Conclusion

10. References

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to critically evaluate the culture and context of a steel manufacturing company, with a particular focus on the management and control of organisational documentation. Document management is a core operational function in manufacturing, directly affecting safety, regulatory compliance, quality assurance, and overall efficiency. Within the steel industry, the accurate creation, storage, and dissemination of technical, operational, and compliance documents is essential to ensure traceability, minimise risk, and facilitate effective collaboration between departments.

This report seeks to explore how organisational culture, internal and external environmental factors, and ethical standards collectively shape the behaviours, processes, and practices surrounding document management. It considers the interdependence between culture and context, highlighting how cultural norms and assumptions influence compliance with formal document procedures, adoption of digital systems, and adherence to regulatory requirements. Moreover, the report critically examines the ethical dimensions of document management, including employee behaviours, personal accountability, and corporate responsibility.

The central argument advanced in this report is that the alignment of organisational culture, operational context, and ethical standards is essential to establishing a high-performance work environment. Without this alignment, even robust systems and procedures for document control are unlikely to achieve consistent adherence, leading to operational inefficiencies, increased risk, and potential non-compliance with statutory obligations. Therefore, the scope of this report extends beyond simply describing existing processes; it involves a critical appraisal of cultural and contextual factors, ethical considerations, and practical implications for high-performance outcomes.

1.2 Overview of High-Performance Culture

A high-performance culture is characterised by accountability, collaboration, transparency, and consistency in behaviours and decision-making across all organisational levels. It encourages employees to take ownership of their roles, fosters trust between departments, and promotes proactive problem-solving while maintaining compliance with established standards. In the context of document management, a high-performance culture requires the integration of formal procedures, such as standardised templates, version control, approval workflows, and secure storage systems, with informal practices, such as open communication and knowledge sharing, that enhance operational efficiency.

High-performance culture also depends on effective communication channels, both formal and informal, enabling teams to coordinate work, address challenges, and ensure that critical information reaches all relevant stakeholders. Additionally, shared values and organisational ethos, including a commitment to safety, quality, and sustainability, underpin employee behaviours and support alignment between individual actions and strategic objectives.

Embedding sustainability principles and promoting corporate social responsibility further reinforce a high-performance culture by ensuring that ethical and environmental considerations are incorporated into everyday operational practices.

1.3 Structure of the Report

The report is structured to provide a systematic analysis of the factors that influence document management and overall organisational performance:

- Part 1: Presents a critical assessment of organisational culture and context, examining how cultural assumptions, formal and informal practices, and operational pressures shape document management behaviours.
- Part 2: Evaluates the organisation within its broader environment using PESTLE, SWOT, and McKinsey 7S frameworks, highlighting external influences, internal capabilities, and areas of misalignment that affect performance.
- Part 3: Provides a critical appraisal of culture and ethical behaviour, exploring the interplay between employee values, corporate social responsibility, sustainability initiatives, and communication practices, as well as the barriers to effective functioning and strategies to overcome them.

This structured approach enables an understanding of the organisation's current performance landscape and identifies actionable insights for enhancing a high-performance culture that integrates ethical, sustainable, and efficient document management practices.

2. Organisational Background

2.1 Overview of the Organisation

The steel manufacturing company operates across multiple functional areas, including production, engineering, fabrication, quality assurance, and regulatory compliance. Each department is interdependent, with the delivery of high-quality products reliant on seamless coordination and accurate information sharing. Document management underpins all these processes, acting as the central mechanism for ensuring safety, traceability, and adherence to both internal standards and external regulatory requirements. Effective documentation supports operational transparency, mitigates risk, and provides the necessary evidence for audits, certifications, and client assurance.

As the Document Manager, my role encompasses the oversight of all document control processes. This includes ensuring that records are accurately created, maintained, and securely stored; monitoring compliance with standard operating procedures; and facilitating effective communication between departments to prevent information silos. My responsibilities also involve training staff on document management systems, reviewing documentation for accuracy, and coordinating with production, engineering, and quality teams to ensure compliance with industry standards such as ISO 9001. The role requires both

technical understanding of document workflows and a strategic perspective on how documentation practices impact broader organisational performance.

2.2 Strategic Purpose and Key Context

The organisation's strategic objectives are focused on delivering high-quality steel products efficiently while maintaining compliance with stringent safety and environmental regulations. Achieving these objectives requires balancing operational efficiency with the rigour of formal processes. Document management is central to this balance, providing traceability of production activities, evidence for regulatory compliance, and a foundation for continuous improvement initiatives.

Operational pressures such as tight production schedules, high-volume output, and the expectation for rapid decision-making create a challenging context in which formal procedures may be deprioritised in favour of speed. Employees often assume multiple roles simultaneously, managing production tasks alongside quality checks and administrative responsibilities, which can lead to informal document-sharing practices and occasional process deviations. Industry audits, both internal and external, underscore the importance of accurate documentation, highlighting areas where informal practices conflict with compliance requirements.

Furthermore, the organisation operates within a network of formal and informal relationships. Formal hierarchies define accountability, reporting lines, and responsibilities for document creation, review, and approval. However, informal networks often dominate daily communication and workflow coordination, allowing for rapid problem-solving but occasionally bypassing structured document control procedures. These informal practices affect collaboration, workflow efficiency, and the consistent adoption of document management systems.

The interaction between strategic objectives and contextual pressures demonstrates that achieving operational excellence is not solely dependent on established processes. Success also relies on cultivating a culture that values compliance, ethical behaviour, and crossdepartmental communication, ensuring that document management supports both regulatory adherence and the organisation's high-performance goals.

Part 1: Critical Assessment of Culture and Context

3. Organisational Culture

3.1 Definitions and Theoretical Frameworks

Organisational culture refers to the shared beliefs, values, norms, and practices that shape behaviour within an organisation. Schein's model provides a structured framework for analysing culture through three interrelated levels: artefacts, espoused values, and underlying assumptions. Artefacts are visible manifestations such as shared drives, document templates, workflows, and standard operating procedures. Values reflect the organisation's stated priorities, such as compliance, quality, and safety, while underlying assumptions represent

deeply held beliefs, often implicit, that guide decision-making and behaviour, including the tendency to prioritise speed over procedural rigour in document management.

Handy's role culture offers additional insight, highlighting how formal responsibilities and hierarchies exist to define accountability, yet enforcement is often inconsistent. This framework helps explain why employees may bypass established procedures when operational pressures are high, even when roles and responsibilities are formally assigned. Hofstede's cultural dimensions further elucidate organisational behaviour: low uncertainty avoidance fosters tolerance for informal practices and flexible workflows, whereas moderate power distance can result in uneven adherence to procedures, with staff relying on informal networks rather than strictly following hierarchical reporting or document approval processes. Collectively, these frameworks enable a critical understanding of how culture shapes document management behaviours and organisational performance.

3.2 Analysis of Current Culture

The current culture within the steel manufacturing company is a complex interplay of formal procedures and informal practices. Artefacts such as shared drives, templates, and documented workflows provide a foundation for standardisation and regulatory compliance. Espoused values emphasise adherence to safety, quality, and operational excellence. However, underlying assumptions often prioritise production speed and flexibility over strict compliance with document management procedures. For example, employees may bypass formal approval processes or share documents informally via email to meet tight deadlines, creating inconsistencies in version control and audit trails.

An emerging culture of sustainability is observable. Initiatives focus on reducing paper usage, digitising workflows, and embedding environmentally conscious practices in daily operations. While these efforts are positive, embedding sustainability fully into cultural norms remains challenging, as operational pressures and long-established practices continue to influence employee behaviour.

3.3 Impact on Behaviour and Collaboration

Culture has a profound impact on interdepartmental collaboration. Informal documentsharing practices can improve efficiency in the short term but reduce accountability, create silos, and increase the likelihood of errors and duplicated work. Conversely, consistent adherence to structured procedures enhances transparency, traceability, and team collaboration. Effective communication channels including team meetings, standardised email protocols, and digital platforms are essential to bridge gaps, reinforce compliance, and maintain relationships across departments.

Several barriers impede effective functioning, including resistance to formalised procedures, limited training on document management systems, and resource constraints. Overcoming these challenges requires active leadership reinforcement, employee engagement initiatives, and clearly defined accountability measures. By cultivating a culture that values compliance, sustainability, and collaboration, the organisation can strengthen document management

practices, improve operational performance, and support a high performance culture that aligns with strategic objectives.

4. Organisational Context

4.1 Internal and External Influences

The operational context of the steel manufacturing company is shaped by a combination of internal and external factors that significantly influence document management and overall performance. Internally, multi-role responsibilities place substantial demands on employees, who must balance production tasks with quality checks, administrative duties, and compliance-related activities. This workload often creates pressures to prioritise speed over adherence to formal document control procedures, contributing to informal practices such as ad-hoc file sharing via email or local storage rather than approved document management systems. Existing document management systems, while comprehensive, are sometimes underutilised due to limited training, user resistance, or perceived complexity, further exacerbating inconsistencies in document control.

Externally, the organisation operates within a highly regulated industry where compliance with safety standards, ISO certifications, environmental legislation, and industry audits is non-negotiable. Regulatory bodies require accurate and complete documentation to verify quality, safety, and environmental performance, making document management a critical component of organisational accountability. Additionally, competitive market pressures, including fluctuating steel demand and client expectations, exert influence on operational priorities, occasionally resulting in shortcuts or informal practices that compromise standardisation. These external forces interact with internal pressures, creating a complex environment in which formal procedures and cultural behaviours must be carefully aligned to maintain high performance.

4.2 Relationship Between Culture and Context

Culture and context are deeply interdependent within the organisation. Operational pressures, such as production deadlines and resource constraints, encourage informal practices, which are culturally tolerated and often seen as necessary for efficiency. At the same time, external audits, regulatory inspections, and industry requirements expose gaps in compliance, demonstrating where informal cultural norms conflict with formal standards. This dynamic illustrates that culture cannot be considered in isolation; it must be understood in relation to the broader operational and regulatory context. For example, while employees may informally share documents to expedite workflow, such behaviour increases the risk of errors, duplicates, or missing information, potentially compromising traceability and accountability. Aligning cultural behaviours with contextual demands through reinforced training, leadership support, and clearly defined accountability, is therefore critical for achieving a high-performance document management system.

4.3 Critical Summary

The organisation demonstrates strong operational agility and responsiveness, yet informal document practices compromise accuracy, collaboration, and compliance. While production efficiency is high, the cultural acceptance of shortcuts and informal sharing creates risks for audits, traceability, and interdepartmental collaboration. Addressing these challenges requires embedding a culture that values sustainability, compliance, and ethical behaviour, alongside targeted training and leadership reinforcement. By aligning organisational culture with both internal workflows and external regulatory requirements, the company can enhance its document management practices, improve cross-functional collaboration, and strengthen its overall high-performance culture.

Part 2: Evaluation of the Organisation Within Its Broader Environment

5. Environmental Analysis

5.1 PESTLE Findings

The external environment of the steel manufacturing company significantly shapes document management practices. Political factors, such as health, safety, and labour regulations, mandate robust documentation to demonstrate compliance and safeguard employees. Economic pressures, including fluctuations in steel demand and raw material costs, intensify production targets, which may encourage operational shortcuts that compromise formal documentation. Social factors affect compliance through employee attitudes toward standard procedures, often prioritising efficiency over structured document workflows. Technological factors reveal uneven adoption of digital document management systems, reducing consistency and traceability across departments. Environmental considerations, including sustainability initiatives and regulatory reporting, create additional pressures for accurate documentation to monitor resource usage and mitigate environmental impact. Legal factors, including ISO certifications and regulatory inspections, impose strict compliance requirements, highlighting the necessity for controlled, auditable documentation systems.

5.2 SWOT Analysis

A strength of the organisation lies in established templates, structured workflows, and leadership support for quality, providing a framework for consistent document management. Weaknesses include informal practices, inconsistent adherence, and underutilisation of digital tools, creating gaps in compliance and traceability. Opportunities exist in further digitalisation, embedding performance metrics for document management, and promoting sustainability initiatives to strengthen corporate responsibility. Threats include tight production deadlines, audit risks, and environmental penalties, which may incentivise staff to bypass formal procedures.

5.3 McKinsey 7S Framework Insights

The organisation's strategy prioritises operational efficiency, but this focus can conflict with compliance objectives. Structure defines roles and reporting lines; however, informal networks dominate workflow coordination. Systems exist for document control, yet inconsistent adoption limits their effectiveness. Shared values emphasise safety, quality, and emerging sustainability culture, while skills reflect technical competence but limited formal training in document procedures. Leadership style supports compliance but tolerates informal shortcuts, and staff often manage multiple roles, reducing consistency and accountability.

This analysis is that external pressures, combined with internal operational priorities, create a persistent tension between efficiency and compliance. While formal systems, structured templates, and leadership support exist to enforce high-quality documentation, the operational reality, tight deadlines, multi-role responsibilities, and informal networks often undermines adherence to these procedures. This tension demonstrates that highperformance outcomes cannot rely solely on formal structures or systems. Instead, aligning organisational culture, behaviour, and incentives with both internal demands and external regulatory expectations is essential. Failure to address this misalignment risks operational inefficiencies, non-compliance, and audit failures, highlighting the importance of integrating cultural, technological, and procedural strategies to achieve sustainable, high-performance document management.

6. Evaluation and Implications

A critical argument emerging from this analysis is that the organisation faces a persistent tension between speed and compliance. External forces identified through the PESTLE analysis—such as regulatory requirements, ISO audits, and sustainability reporting demand structured, accurate, and auditable documentation. Internally, operational pressures, multirole responsibilities, and informal practices create incentives to prioritise efficiency, often at the expense of compliance. This misalignment undermines both document quality and organisational accountability.

Formal and informal relationships within the company significantly influence collaboration and communication. While formal hierarchies define roles and responsibilities for document creation and review, informal networks often dominate day-to-day information sharing, bypassing standard procedures. This duality highlights the importance of aligning cultural values with operational practices to reinforce a high-performance environment.

To strengthen the organisation's high-performance culture, it is essential to integrate cultural values, workflows, communication channels, and sustainability initiatives. Embedding formal document management procedures alongside leadership reinforcement, staff training, and consistent digital tools will reduce reliance on informal shortcuts. Promoting a shared understanding of ethical practices, sustainability goals, and compliance expectations ensures that all employees are aligned with organisational objectives, improving collaboration, accountability, and resilience against external pressures.

In summary, aligning cultural norms, operational systems, and external compliance requirements is not optional; it is critical for achieving operational excellence, highperformance document management, and long-term organisational sustainability.

7. Ethical Behaviour in the Organisation

7.1 Definitions and Frameworks

Ethical behaviour within an organisation refers to the consistent application of integrity, accountability, and responsibility in decision-making and daily operations. In the context of document management, this includes accurate record-keeping, adherence to established protocols, and transparency in communication and reporting. Frameworks such as Toulmin's model of argumentation, Pearson's RED framework, and Chatfield's critical thinking approaches provide valuable tools for evaluating ethical decisions. These frameworks allow managers to systematically assess whether actions align with organisational values, compliance requirements, and legal or regulatory standards. Applying these models ensures that ethical reasoning is not only reactive but embedded in daily operational decisions, particularly in environments where production pressures may challenge formal document management procedures.

7.2 Cultural Influences on Ethics

Organisational culture has a profound influence on ethical behaviour. Within the steel manufacturing company, underlying assumptions prioritise speed and operational efficiency, leading to informal practices such as ad-hoc document sharing or bypassing approval workflows. Handy's role culture and Hofstede's low uncertainty avoidance encourage tolerance for such shortcuts, reinforcing informal norms over formal procedures. While these practices may enhance short-term efficiency, they increase ethical risk by creating inconsistencies in compliance, traceability, and accountability. Employees often make ethical decisions within the context of these cultural norms, highlighting the need for deliberate interventions to align culture with organisational values.

7.3 Personal Ethical Standards, Values, and Beliefs

As Document Manager, personal ethical standards play a critical role in shaping behaviour across the organisation. Commitment to accuracy, accountability, and compliance guides the enforcement of document control procedures, training initiatives, and communication channels. By modelling ethical behaviour, promoting transparency, and reinforcing accountability, I aim to foster a culture of integrity that mitigates risks associated with informal practices. Personal values also guide responses to non-compliance, ensuring that interventions are fair, consistent, and aligned with broader organisational goals.

7.4 Corporate Social and Environmental Responsibility

Embedding sustainability within document management demonstrates corporate social and environmental responsibility. Initiatives such as reducing paper use, digitising workflows,

and adhering to environmental standards not only reduce operational costs but also enhance organisational reputation. Ethical practice in this context is central to both compliance and long-term organisational success, creating a culture in which employees understand that ethical and environmentally responsible behaviour is valued and rewarded.

Ethical behaviour, influenced by both culture and personal standards, is essential to sustaining compliance, enhancing collaboration, and embedding high-performance practices within document management.

8. Synergies and Implications

8.1 Culture–Ethics Relationship

The relationship between organisational culture and ethical behaviour is deeply interdependent. Cultural norms within the steel manufacturing company, particularly the emphasis on speed and operational efficiency, directly influence ethical decision-making. Informal practices, such as ad-hoc document sharing or bypassing approval processes, are culturally tolerated, yet they increase risks related to compliance, traceability, and accountability. By contrast, a culture that values integrity, accuracy, and procedural adherence reinforces ethical behaviour and ensures that document management practices consistently meet regulatory and organisational standards. Embedding these values requires deliberate alignment between culture, formal processes, and leadership messaging. This synergy ensures that employees understand not only the “how” of document management procedures but also the “why,” connecting their daily actions to broader organisational goals. **8.2 Communication Channels**

Effective communication is a critical enabler for integrating culture and ethics within document management. Formal channels, including team meetings, standardised reporting systems, email protocols, and document management platforms ensure consistent dissemination of procedures, expectations, and updates. Informal channels, such as peer-to-peer knowledge sharing and cross-departmental collaboration, can support efficiency but must be balanced with accountability measures to avoid inconsistencies. By leveraging both formal and informal communication networks, the organisation can foster transparency, build trust, and encourage collaborative problem-solving, while simultaneously reinforcing compliance with document management standards.

8.3 Barriers and Solutions

Several barriers impede the effective functioning of ethical, high-performance document management. Resistance to formalised procedures, limited training, multi-role responsibilities, and resource constraints can all undermine adherence to standards. Informal shortcuts, while expedient, risk errors, duplication, and audit failures. Overcoming these

barriers requires multi-faceted interventions: leadership reinforcement to model ethical behaviour, targeted staff training to enhance system literacy and process understanding, clear accountability structures, and the integration of sustainability initiatives to demonstrate organisational commitment to responsible practices. By addressing both behavioural and structural barriers, the organisation can reduce inconsistencies and improve both compliance and operational efficiency.

8.4 Impact on Performance and Collaboration

When culture, ethics, and communication channels are effectively aligned, interdepartmental collaboration improves, errors and duplication decrease, and compliance with regulatory and organisational standards is strengthened. Embedding ethical behaviour and a culture of accountability enhances staff engagement, fosters trust across departments, and contributes to the development of a high-performance work environment. Sustainability initiatives and adherence to formal processes further reinforce the organisation's reputation, operational resilience, and long-term success.

In conclusion, the integration of cultural values, ethical standards, communication strategies, and formal document management procedures creates a synergistic framework. This framework not only supports compliance and operational efficiency but also establishes a high-performance culture in which employees are empowered to collaborate effectively, make responsible decisions, and contribute to the organisation's strategic objectives.

9. Conclusion

This report has critically evaluated the culture and context of a steel manufacturing company, with a focus on document management, high-performance culture, and ethical behaviour. Part 1 demonstrated that organisational culture, characterised by both formal procedures and informal practices, directly influences employee behaviour, compliance, and interdepartmental collaboration. While artefacts, espoused values, and underlying assumptions provide a framework for understanding cultural dynamics, informal shortcuts and production pressures often undermine formal document management protocols.

Part 2 highlighted how the broader environment—including political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and legal factors—interacts with internal structures to create tension between efficiency and compliance. SWOT and McKinsey 7S analyses further demonstrated that while the organisation possesses strong templates, leadership support, and emerging sustainability values, weaknesses such as inconsistent adherence, informal practices, and multi-role responsibilities compromise performance. The critical argument presented in Part 2 emphasised that aligning culture, workflows, communication channels, and sustainability initiatives is essential for fostering a high-performance environment while meeting regulatory and operational demands.

Part 3 explored ethical behaviour and its interplay with culture, highlighting how personal ethical standards, corporate social responsibility, and sustainability initiatives support accountability, integrity, and compliance. Ethical practice is central to maintaining

organisational reputation, embedding sustainability in daily operations, and ensuring that document management systems function effectively. Communication channels, formal and informal networks, and leadership reinforcement were identified as key mechanisms for embedding ethics and high-performance practices across the organisation.

The integration of culture, context, ethical standards, and operational systems creates a synergistic framework that strengthens collaboration, enhances accuracy, and ensures compliance. Embedding sustainability and accountability into document management practices supports both regulatory requirements and long-term organisational resilience.

In conclusion, a high-performance culture within this steel manufacturing company is achievable when cultural norms, ethical behaviour, formal workflows, and communication channels are aligned. By addressing informal practices, reinforcing accountability, and promoting sustainability, the organisation can optimise document management, improve interdepartmental collaboration, and achieve strategic objectives. The findings underscore that culture and ethics are not abstract concepts but critical drivers of operational excellence, compliance, and long-term organisational success.

10. References

- Chatfield, T. (2016). *Critical Thinking in Practice*.
- Devi, N., Balaji, M. S., & Kolandaswami, C. (2022). *The Implication of Digital Organisational Culture on Firm Performance*. *Frontiers in Psychology*
- Handy, C. (1993). *Understanding Organisations*.
- Hba, R., Bakkas, A., El Manouar, A., & Idrissi, M. A. J. (2016). *Eco-Strategy: Towards a New Generation Managerial Model Based on Green IT and CSR*
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences*.
- ISO 9001:2015 – Quality Management Systems.
- Kotková, E., & Stříteská, M. (2021). "The Impact of Strong Cultures on Organisational Performance in Public Organisations." *Societies*
- Kotter, J. P., & Heskett, J. L. (1992). *Corporate Culture and Performance*.
- Luna, A. J. H. de O., Kruchten, P., do E. Pedrosa, M. L. G., Almeida Neto, H. R. de, & de Moura, H. P. (2014). *State of the Art of Agile Governance: A Systematic Review*
- Naveed, A., Pedraza-Rodríguez, I., & Prabhu, J. (2025). *Organisational Culture and Knowledge Management Systems Adoption*. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*
- Pearson, J., & Smith, R. (2018). *RED Critical Thinking Framework*.

- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). "Creating Shared Value." *Harvard Business Review*
- Raptis, T. P., Passarella, A., & Conti, M. (2019). *Data Management in Industry 4.0: State of the Art and Open Challenges*.
- Schein, E.H. (2010). *Organisational Culture and Leadership*. 4th Edition.
- Toulmin, S. (2003). *The Uses of Argument*.
- Visser, W. (2010). *The Age of Responsibility*
- Visser, W. (2013). "CSR 2.0: Transforming Corporate Sustainability and Responsibility." *Journal of Business Ethics*