

Feedback	
Student's Name	ARTHUR FERREIRA
Student's SurName	DIAS
Student's Email	2325533@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCD5000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	10
Feedback	Marks
To do well in this task, throughout the work, you must be informed and supported by academic/industry credible literatures relevant to computer/digital forensics. Your Task 1 documentation must reflect on your research focusing computer/digital forensics definitions, history and comparisons. It also must cover the scope and applications in real-world scenarios. You must have covered the features and capabilities of tools in Task 2 and 3 presentation based on your research. You did well in this section. In-text citations are required. Real-world scenario discussed well. Detailed research on the features of the Tools presented. More diverse and academic credible literatures/ sources could have been referred to the work. Summaries and critical analysis could have added value to the work.	5.2

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	8
Feedback	Mark
In this section, you must have demonstrated sound knowledge and understanding by identifying appropriate tools based on its features, categories and by exploring recent advancements/ innovations in it. Categorisation of identified tools done well and in detail. Could have explored more on the recent advancements in digital forensics.	5.2

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	32
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section, you should be able to critically analyse the difference between computer and digital forensics, evaluate the capabilities of tools used, distinguish each tool. Your work must contain reflection on real-world use cases, describing, justifying the methodology used with adequate contingency plans and valid, sound conclusions derived from the task. More critical analysis on digital/computer forensics could have added value to the work. Adapting to the research while implementing is the key. Why didn't you use more tools you researched in implementation and limited your analysis with Autopsy alone? Real-world use cases discussed in detail. Report submitted. Better understanding on the Methodology before planning and implementing the analysis and contingency plans could have made your work better.	19.8

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this task, you are expected to use/deploy a range of established techniques within Computer Forensics to secure the evidence, investigate applying the relevant concepts and principles and demonstrate the outcome. More description of the findings could have added value to the work. Could have used more tools for your analysis. Chain of custody requires more clarity. Your report was just an evidence of your work and not a Professional report to support your outcomes listing the evidence. Demo done and Screenshots presented with Timestamps.	23.4

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	20
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section, you must showcase evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for completing this task exercising personal responsibility and decision making. Using appropriate definitions, acronyms and abbreviations, a complete professional report and presentation with supporting documents is also considered. Logical flow of ideas are clear. Could have been a walkthrough of your understanding rather than reading information. Supporting documents, Usecases discussed.	16
Overall Mark	70

