

Unit name: International Business Communication

Module code: BMBM6021

**Understanding Cultural Differences to Strengthen International Business
Communication: Insights for UK Retailer Expanded to Asia**



Prepared for: Jaliya de Silva

Written by: Junwei Wang

Student ID: 2500387

Date submitted: 14/11/2025

AI Acknowledgement

I confirm that I have not presented any AI generated materials as my own work.

I confirm that I have copies of my drafts, notes, and other resources I used, which I may be asked to provide in evidence.

Executive Summary

This report provides strategic guidance for International Business Communication (IBC) for a British clothing retailer seeking to enter the Asian market. The report first defines IBC and its importance in global business operations, and builds key theoretical frameworks, including Hall's high and low context theory and Trompenaars' 7 dimensions communication theory. The analysis points out the main cultural characteristics of the Asian market, including the preference for high-context communication, collectivism, hierarchical sensitivity and multi-tense time concept. At the same time, the report also highlights the differences in trust-building mechanisms: British business partners generally attach more importance to formal contracts and information transparency, while Asian partners tend to prioritise lasting relationships, mutual respect and continuous interpersonal interaction. In order to improve the effectiveness of cross-cultural communication, the report puts forward a series of recommendations to organization, including the implementation of customised cross-cultural training programs, strengthening interpersonal relationships within multicultural teams, integrating local insights into strategic planning, and establishing a clear and structured communication mechanism.

The Contents

Executive Summary	3
INTRODUCTION	5
UNDERSTANDING IBC	7
2.1 The Significance of the IBC	7
2.2 Core Skills for Effective Cross-Cultural Communication	7
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR EXPLAINING CULTURAL DIFFERENCES	8
3.1 Hall's High and Low Context Communication	8
3.2 Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions	10
3.3 Trompenaars' Seven Cultural Dimensions of Culture	12
CROSS-CULTURAL CHALLENGES IN BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS	14
4.1 The Significance of Relationship Building in Asian Business	14
4.2 Causes of Communication Failure and Their Consequences.....	15
4.3 Building and Maintaining Trust Across Cultures.....	17
CONCLUSION.....	18
RECOMMENDATIONS	19
Reference List	21

INTRODUCTION

As economic globalization intensifies, multinational enterprises must prioritize cross-cultural communication to successfully enter and expand within emerging markets(Piekkari *et al.*, 2010). International business communication(IBC) facilitates enterprises achieve appropriate and efficient communication in a cross-cultural context, aim to respond accurately and promptly to market changes(Czinkota, Ronkainen and Gupta, 2021). The client of this report is a British-based clothing retailer. As part of its international expansion strategy, the company is actively entering the markets of major Asian economies. The cultural norms, communication patterns and ways of building interpersonal relationships in Asia are significantly different from those in the UK, and there are also obvious differences in cultural customs among Asian countries(Luthans and Doh, 2018). Although the company enjoys competitive advantages in its products, it still confronted with the practical challenge of adjusting its communication cantered management paradigm to adapt to a multicultural environment. Therefore, this report aims to assist retailer manager master the key cross-cultural communication skills and formulate effective strategies through a comprehensive analysis of the theory of cross-cultural communication and its practical significance. This approach will enables the retailer company to establish trust-based sustainable business relationships with partners in Asia region(Meyer, 2017).

This report will first clarify the concept and significance of IBC and clearly state the

core interpersonal skills and management capabilities required in cross-cultural communication. Some key theoretical frameworks will be introduced: including Hall's high/low context model, Hofstede's cultural dimension theory, and Trompenaars' seven cultural dimension theory. These theories will be used to analyse the impact of cultural differences on communication, trust and the decision-making process. Based on the above theoretical framework, this report will deeply analyse the main challenges faced by British companies in engagement with Asian partners. This study will propose a series of evidence-based strategies to reduce communication barriers and enhance relationship management effectiveness. Finally, it will also provide actionable suggestions to offer practical guidance for clients to implement effective communication.

UNDERSTANDING IBC

2.1 The Significance of the IBC

IBC refers to the result-oriented exchange process carried out between individuals and organizations across national borders and cultural differences to achieve common business goals, which include promoting mutual benefit, win-win situations and enhancing brand awareness (Czinkota, Ronkainen and Gupta, 2021). It has long gone beyond the literal transmission of language and is understood as is regarded as the ability to interpret the values, beliefs and behavioural patterns underlying interpersonal communication (Babazade, 2024). As Luthans and Doh (2018) note, effective communication within global enterprises is not only a strategic coordination mechanism, but also a bridge to transform cultural diversity into competitive advantages. In the context of cross-border expansion, this ability is crucial for achieving coordinated operation of the supply chain, conducting effective negotiations with partners, and building long-term cooperative relationships based on trust (Ahammad *et al.*, 2016).

2.2 Core Skills for Effective Cross-Cultural Communication

Luthans and Doh (2018) pointed out that cultural awareness, adaptability, moral leadership and strategic flexibility are the four core dimensions that managers and employees can learn rely to develop key skills. Cultural awareness requires leaders to understand that strategies, practices, and communication styles that are effective in one cultural setting may not translate successfully into another. This awareness must

be accompanied by adaptability, which enables managers and employees to adjust their behaviours, work habits, and communication approaches in response to differing cultural expectations. In addition, ethical leadership plays a crucial role in multicultural environments, as global expansion often exposes organisations to moral dilemmas shaped by conflicting cultural norms and regulatory frameworks. Strategic flexibility further strengthens international operations by encouraging organisations to move beyond established routines, remain agile, and respond effectively to fast-changing external conditions.

According to Topal (2022), the evidence suggests that observed that even among English-speaking countries, subtle differences in vocabulary or idioms can lead to misunderstandings, highlighting the necessity of precise expression and cultural sensitivity in cross-cultural communication.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR EXPLAINING CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

3.1 Hall's High and Low Context Communication

Hall (1976) first proposed the communication models of high-context culture and low-context culture to explain the differences in the degree of reliance on contextual cues and explicit language expressions among different cultural groups during the process of information transmission. In high-context culture, meaning is mainly

embedded in the social environment, non-verbal signals and common understanding; while in low-context culture, information is mainly conveyed through direct and clear language(Czinkota, Ronkainen and Gupta, 2021).

In the business environment of the UK, clear, well-structured and explicit communication is of vital importance. Business activities typically emphasize preciseness and the binding force of contracts, with written documents regarded as the primary basis for defining responsibilities(Jackson, 2011). In contrast, many Asian societies, including China, Japan and South Korea, are characterised by high-context culture(Broeder, 2021). In these cultures, the transmission of meaning mainly relies on context, tone, timing and the maintenance of harmonious interpersonal relationships, rather than being confined to language expression itself(Gudykunst, 2003). Within these contexts, indirect and strategic ambiguity is not due to unclear information or uncertainty, but a deliberate strategy to maintain social harmony and prevent public conflict. This mode of communication is closely related to the cultural values of collectivism, under which interpersonal harmony and respect for the hierarchical system are usually regarded as more important than the direct expression required by the pursuit of efficiency. However, when these different communication logics intersect, there will be huge misunderstandings. For example, British managers may interpret the polite delay of Chinese partners (such as "we will consider further") as indecision or lack of commitment, while China may regard direct criticism or immediate clarification as impolite or too aggressive(Bennett, 2013).

3.2 Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions

Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory not only helps reveal the deep-rooted causes of differences in organizational behavior within a cross-cultural context, but also holds significant practical value in enhancing cross-cultural understanding and collaboration efficiency in multinational enterprises(Beugelsdijk, Kostova and Roth, 2017).

A notable cultural difference is reflected in the value orientation of individualism and collectivism. The business communication culture in the UK highly values individual independence, personal achievement and self-expression. Employees usually emphasize clear division of responsibilities and expect to have a high degree of autonomy in the decision-making process. Therefore, British managers generally advocate an open and direct communication style, encouraging subordinates to actively express their opinions and take the initiative to act. In contrast, Asian countries such as China and Japan tend to have a collectivist culture, emphasizing social harmony, team consensus and loyalty to the organization. In such cultural contexts, employees pay more attention to adhering to group norms and maintaining the integrity and consistency of the team(Minkov, 2011). If such behavioural differences lack cross-cultural understanding, they may be misunderstood as a lack of initiative or participation willingness, thereby affecting the collaborative efficiency of cross-cultural teams and having an adverse impact on the overall team morale(Huang, 2023).

Power distance reflects a society's perception and approach to hierarchical differences. The lower power distance cultural trait in the UK helps to promote open communication between managers and subordinates, encourages employees to provide feedback and actively participate in the decision-making process. In contrast, in countries like China and Vietnam, the high power distance leads to a greater tendency among social members to respect authority and a general avoidance of openly challenging superiors(Shenkar, Luo and Chi, 2021).

With IBC scenario, British managers may consider their Asian partners to be overly cautious or hesitant, while Asian professionals might interpret the more direct and casual communication style of the British as a lack of respect. If such cognitive differences are not promptly identified and adjusted, it may lead to a decline in decision-making efficiency and tension in working relationships(Taras, Kirkman and Steel, 2010). Against the background of the rapid expansion of the retail industry, Asian partners tend to adopt a gradual change strategy and carry out systematic planning before launching new products. This orientation reflects significant cultural differences(Fang, Wen and Xu, 2024). For example, when a British clothing retailer launched its seasonal series in China, it have to be postponed because local managers needed a longer product testing and consumer feedback cycle to approve. Although the British team believed that this approach might lead to a decrease in efficiency, it actually reflects the deeply rooted risk-averse mindset and collective responsibility-

oriented values in Chinese business culture. Therefore, Hofstede's cultural framework provides valuable insights for predicting such differences, enabling enterprises to develop adaptive communication strategies that emphasise flexibility and long-term relationship building, so as to enhance trust and operational efficiency in cross-cultural cooperation.

3.3 Trompenaars' Seven Cultural Dimensions of Culture

Unlike Hofstede's structural analysis of national values, Trompenaars' framework focusses on behavioural patterns and decision-making processes in interpersonal and organisational environments, so it is particularly relevant in business negotiations and the development of strategic partnerships(Fang, Wen and Xu, 2024).

The key dimension is universalism versus(vs) particularism, which concerns whether rules should be applied consistently in all situations or adjusted according to specific relationships or particular circumstances. In the UK, business activities strictly adhere to generally accepted principles, including contractual agreements, time requirements and relevant laws and regulations, demonstrating a high degree of consistency and standardization(Czinkota, Ronkainen and Gupta, 2021). However, in many Asian countries, such as China or South Korea, interpersonal relationships are usually more connected(Choi and Han, 2011). Chinese suppliers may hope for some flexibility in delivery times to maintain long-term cooperation; British retailers, however, usually demand strict adherence to the agreed-upon times. If both sides fail to understand and

reconcile this difference, it may lead to misunderstandings, dissatisfaction, and ultimately damage trust(Meyer, 2017).

The difference between achievement orientation and affiliation orientation reflects the distinct logics through which authority is conferred within a society. In the UK, an individual's social status is primarily determined by competence, measurable performance outcomes, and personal achievements. By contrast, in many Asian business contexts, hierarchical respect is more closely tied to seniority, age, and formal position, reflecting deeply rooted collectivist and relational values. This divergence has a direct influence on communication expectations, decision-making dynamics, and perceptions of professional credibility(Jackson, 2011). It means even for professional purposes, a young British representative directly questioning a Chinese executive may be considered disrespectful(Meyer, 2017). Besides, the sequential and synchronous views of time reflect cultural differences. British managers value linear planning, punctuality and systematic execution, relying on structured processes. Asian managers tend to be flexible, coordinating resources among multiple tasks, adjusting schedules based on interpersonal relationships or cultural norms, and achieving goals efficiently(Luthans and Doh, 2018). For example, when a British clothing retailer carries out promotional activities in Japan, it may find that local partners will reschedule activities according to Japanese cultural festivals, which reflects the appropriateness of the context rather than negligence.

Understanding these cultural dimensions enables the company to predict behavioural

expectations and formulate communication and management strategies that are suitable for the culture.

CROSS-CULTURAL CHALLENGES IN BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS

4.1 The Significance of Relationship Building in Asian Business

Business culture in Asia is largely relationship-oriented, which contrasts significantly with the task-oriented model commonly adopted in Western and European economies (Shim, 2006). In a relationship-oriented culture, trust and personal harmony must be established before business negotiations begin; while a task-oriented culture emphasises efficiency, clarity and direct results (Meyer, 2017). This difference will affect the negotiation style, communication tone and the time node of the establishment of the cooperative relationship. In the Asian market, transactions are often based on good relationships. Recent research shows that the norms of interpersonal relationships in Asia are based on the local cultural mechanism (Choi and Han, 2011). In China, the concept of "relationship" (guanxi), which is a network of mutual trust and obligations, is still the core of business access and decision-making (Clarke and Saikat, 2023). In South Korea, it reflects hierarchical harmony and loyalty, ensuring social cohesion within professional relations (Kim and Hamilton-Hart, 2022). Likewise, Japan's "amae" emphasises emotional interdependence and mutual care, strengthening long-term partnerships (Araki, 2022). All of these examples

emphasise continuity, respect and interpersonal relationships, rather than short-term contractual benefits.

Choosing stores in major Asian cities usually requires cooperation with local owners and municipal authorities, in which relationship networks play a decisive role.

Similarly, dealers and franchise partners prioritise companies that show cultural understanding and interpersonal commitment, rather than those that only provide financial advantages (Koo and Lee, 2024). These relationships can influence brand acceptance, partnership stability, and even regulatory approvals.

4.2 Causes of Communication Failure and Their Consequences

In global business, communication barriers are usually not caused by a lack of goodwill, but by differences in understanding caused by language and cultural differences. With the transnational expansion of organisations, differences in language, level, time concept and social norms will erode trust if ignored (Gudykunst and Ting-Toomey, 2021).

Language ambiguity is a common problem. Phrases that are considered polite in one culture may appear vague or ambiguous in another. For example, British managers often say that "we may consider this later" is diplomatic, but in many Asian markets, this indicates uncertainty or unwillingness to participate (Zhang and Guttormsen, 2022).

Non-verbal signals can also lead to misunderstandings. Behaviour that is considered polite in one culture may seem alienated or rude in another. In the Asian business environment, gestures, silence and tone are as important as words. Ignoring these signals will weaken respect and hinder the establishment of trust (Yuan, 2022).

The power distance adds to further misunderstandings.

In cultures with a low power distance, such as the UK, employees expressing different opinions are regarded as an important way to promote organizational communication and innovation and thus are encouraged. In contrast, in cultural contexts with a high power distance, such as China or South Korea, maintaining hierarchical order is highly valued (Lee and Choi, 2021). British managers may understand silence as separation, because silence reflects respect and obedience (Luo and Shenkar, 2022).

Time-oriented increases complexity. Western culture believes that time is linear, measurable, and a resource that needs to be managed. British culture looks at time more flexibly, prioritising patience and relationship development over speed (Trompenaars and Hampden-Turner, 2020). The delay that frustrated Chinese partners may reflect the belief of Chinese managers that trust must precede decision-making.

These misunderstandings will have tangible consequences: the failure of the transaction, the damage of the reputation, and the breakdown of the cooperative

relationship.

4.3 Building and Maintaining Trust Across Cultures

Based on the discussion of the failure of business communication and its consequences, it is particularly important to establish and maintain a trusting relationship with business partners. Trust is the key foundation for effective cross-cultural business cooperation. Mayer et al. (1995) define trust as the willingness to accept one's own vulnerability based on the perception of the ability, goodwill and integrity of others. In low-context cultures such as the United Kingdom, trust is mainly established by showing ability, transparency and fulfilling contracts (Zucker, 1986). In contrast, high-context cultures such as China, Japan or South Korea emphasise interpersonal relationships and emotional connections as the basis of reliability (Fukuyama, 1995).

CONCLUSION

The report emphasises that effective international business communication plays a crucial role in the expansion of British retailers to the Asian market. Research shows that cross-cultural differences in communication style, hierarchy, relationship orientation and time perspective have a profound impact on the efficiency of information transmission, the evaluation process of proposals, and the establishment of long-term partnerships between business partners. Most Asian economies usually adopt a relationship-oriented communication model, emphasising indirect expression and attaching great importance to hierarchical structure, which is in stark contrast to direct communication, personal autonomy and contract management preferred by British enterprises. Failure to properly address these cultural differences may lead to communication misunderstandings, loss of trust and business process delays. Further research shows that in the Asian business environment, building trust mainly depends on the accumulation of good interpersonal relationships and continuous interaction, not just performance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to improve the effectiveness of cross-cultural communication, employees who participate in Asian business operations should receive systematic training, focussing on mastering high-containment communication mode, non-verbal signal recognition and interpersonal etiquette, to effectively reduce the risk of misunderstanding and avoid unintentional cultural offence.

Advocate flexible and adaptive communication strategies

Managers should dynamically adjust the proportion of direct and indirect communication methods according to local cultural characteristics to ensure that the communication style meets local expectations. In the process of interacting with Asian partners, showing a positive listening attitude and a keen insight into situational information is often more constructive than emphasising the efficiency of expression or decisiveness in decision-making.

Emphasize relationship building and trust cultivation before negotiations

Informal forms of communication such as courtesy visits and meals together should be included in the framework of strategic cooperation, and they should be regarded as an important way to build mutual trust. Establishing stable interpersonal relationships at an early stage helps to prevent potential misunderstandings and lay a solid foundation for long-term cooperation.

Integrate localized professional insights into the decision-making process

Engaging regional managers and cultural advisors in decision-making helps organisations systematically identify and interpret the social norms, communication preferences and behavioural expectations within the target market. Their input compensates for the inherent limitations of cross-cultural understanding in multinational teams and ensures that strategic choices reflect local realities rather than assumptions.

Establish clear digital communication norms

To improve the efficiency and accuracy of virtual collaboration, organisations should set consistent expectations for response times, tone, and online communication etiquette. Clear standards reduce ambiguity and minimise misunderstandings arising from cultural differences in digital interaction styles, thereby facilitating smoother cross-border cooperation.

Reference List

Ahammad, M. F., Tarba, S. Y., Liu, Y., Glaister, K. W. and Cooper, C. L. (2016) 'Exploring the factors influencing the negotiation process in cross-border M&A', *International Business Review*, 25(2), pp. 445-457.

Araki, A. (2022) *Japanese Acculturating to the US: Suggestions for Culturally Informed Therapeutic Interventions*. Alliant International University.

Babazade, Y. (2024) 'Proverbs in pedagogy: Their role in language teaching and cultural transmission', *Global Spectrum of Research and Humanities*, 1(1), pp. 69-82.

Bennett, M. (2013) *Basic concepts of intercultural communication: Paradigms, principles, and practices*. Hachette UK.

Beugelsdijk, S., Kostova, T. and Roth, K. (2017) 'An overview of Hofstede-inspired country-level culture research in international business since 2006', *Journal of International business studies*, 48(1), pp. 30-47.

Broeder, P. (2021) 'Informed communication in high context and low context cultures', *Journal of Education, Innovation and Communication*, 3(1), pp. 13-24.

Choi, S.-C. and Han, G. (2011) 'Trust working in interpersonal relationships: A comparative cultural perspective with a focus on East Asian culture', *Comparative Sociology*, 10(3), pp. 380-412.

Clarke, S. and Saiket, S. A. (2023) 'China and Guanxi', *Contemporary Strategic Chinese American Business Negotiations and Market Entry*. Springer, pp. 301-310.

Czinkota, M. R., Ronkainen, I. A. and Gupta, S. (2021) *International business*.

Cambridge University Press.

Fang, Q., Wen, C. and Xu, H. (2024) 'Long-term oriented culture, performance pressure and corporate innovation: Evidence from China', *Plos one*, 19(5), pp. e0302148.

Gudykunst, W. B. (2003) *Cross-cultural and intercultural communication*. Sage.

Hall, E. (1976) 'Beyond Culture, New York (Anchor Press) 1976'.

Huang, L. (2023) 'Leadership enhancement in cross-cultural management-from the cultural dimension', *Communications in Humanities Research*, 23(1), pp. 70-74.

Jackson, T. (2011) *International management ethics: A critical, cross-cultural perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Kim, H. and Hamilton-Hart, N. (2022) 'Negotiating and contesting Confucian workplace culture in South Korea', *Asian Studies Review*, 46(1), pp. 110-129.

Koo, D.-W. and Lee, S.-M. (2024) 'Sustaining success: the power of relational bonding in building franchisee trust in South Korea's food service industry', *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 36(11), pp. 3007-3024.

Luthans, F. and Doh, J. P. (2018) *International management: Culture, strategy, and behavior*. McGraw-Hill.

Meyer, E. (2017) 'Being the boss in Brussels, Boston, and Beijing', *Harvard Business Review*, 95(4), pp. 70-77.

Minkov, M. (2011) *Cultural differences in a globalizing world*. Emerald Group Publishing.

- Piekkari, R., Reiche, S., Pudelko, M. and Carr, C. (2010) 'A meeting of minds? Insights from intersections between International Strategy and Cross-Cultural Management research', *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 26(3), pp. 233-235.
- Shenkar, O., Luo, Y. and Chi, T. (2021) *International business*. Routledge.
- Shim, D. (2006) 'Hybridity and the rise of Korean popular culture in Asia', *Media, culture & society*, 28(1), pp. 25-44.
- Taras, V., Kirkman, B. L. and Steel, P. (2010) 'Examining the impact of Culture's consequences: a three-decade, multilevel, meta-analytic review of Hofstede's cultural value dimensions', *Journal of applied psychology*, 95(3), pp. 405.
- Topal, I. H. (2022) 'A Small-Scale Corpus-Based Study for The Varietal Differences in American and British English: Implications for Language Education', *Language Related Research*, 13(3), pp. 199-226.