



A Critical Review of Lightbown & Spada (2000): Factors Affecting Second Language Learning

English Language Teacher Education (HPEN7005)

Assignment1

Lecturer: Dr Jessica Clapham

Student name: Hongyuzhi REN

Student ID number:1811691

UWTSD Lampeter, United Kingdom

March 2025

List of Content

<i>1</i>	<i>Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>Overview of Factors Affecting Second Language Learning</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>3</i>	<i>Motivation in Second Language Learning</i>	<i>3</i>
3.1	Gardner and Lambert's Distinction: Integrative vs. Instrumental Motivation	4
3.2	The Role of Motivation in Classroom vs. Naturalistic Learning	4
3.3	Critical Discussion: Strengths and Limitations of Motivation Theories	4
<i>4</i>	<i>The Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis in Second Language Learning</i>	<i>5</i>
4.1	Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis	5
4.2	Critical Discussion	6
<i>5</i>	<i>Critical Reflection on Lightbown & Spada (2000)</i>	<i>6</i>
5.1	Critical Reflection	7
5.2	Implications for TESOL and Second Language Teaching Practices	7
<i>6</i>	<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>8</i>
6.1	Final Evaluation of Lightbown & Spada's Contributions	8
6.2	Suggestions for Future Research	9
	<i>References</i>	<i>9</i>

1 Introduction

Second language acquisition (SLA) is a complex process shaped by a range of cognitive, social, and environmental factors (Ellis, 2015). Learners differ in their characteristics, and the success of language learning depends on both individual differences and external conditions (Dörnyei & Ryan, 2015). In their work *Factors Affecting Second Language Learning*, Lightbown and Spada (2000) explore these influences, emphasizing variables that impact students, teachers, researchers, and the nature of language learning itself.

This essay offers a critical review of Lightbown and Spada's (2000) work, focusing on two key areas: motivation in language learning and Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis. Motivation is central to SLA, shaping learners' engagement and perseverance (Ushioda & Dörnyei, 2017). The essay will examine types of motivation—such as integrative and instrumental—and their effects on learning outcomes. It will also analyze Krashen's hypothesis, particularly the distinction between implicit acquisition and explicit learning, and evaluate its relevance to current language teaching practices (Krashen, 1982).

By exploring these areas, the essay assesses the strengths and limitations of Lightbown and Spada's arguments and connects them to broader SLA theories. It will also consider implications for TESOL, contributing to a clearer understanding of effective second language teaching and learning (Gass & Selinker, 2008).

2 Overview of Factors Affecting Second Language Learning

Second language acquisition (SLA) is shaped by a range of factors, broadly categorized into individual learner traits, environmental and instructional conditions, and linguistic and cognitive aspects. Understanding these influences (Table 1) is essential for educators and researchers seeking to enhance language learning outcomes.

Firstly, individual characteristics play a major role in SLA success. Age is a significant factor, as younger learners—particularly children—tend to achieve higher proficiency, especially in pronunciation, due to greater neural plasticity (Johnson & Newport, 1989). Motivation also strongly

affects effort and persistence. Integrative motivation, which reflects a desire to connect with the target language community, and instrumental motivation, tied to practical benefits, are both central to learning outcomes (Gardner, 2001). In addition, personality traits like extroversion and openness can influence learners' willingness to engage in communication, thus improving speaking skills (Dewaele & Furnham, 1999). Language aptitude, including abilities like phonetic coding and memory, further contributes to individual differences in learning potential (Carroll, 1990).

Secondly, environmental and instructional factors are equally critical. The quantity and quality of language exposure help shape comprehension and production abilities (Krashen, 1985). Authentic interaction provides meaningful practice and feedback, enhancing fluency and accuracy (Long, 1996). Effective teaching methods that adapt to different learning styles and emphasize interaction typically lead to better results (Lightbown & Spada, 2013). Timely, constructive feedback also supports learners in identifying and correcting errors, reinforcing language development (Lyster & Ranta, 1997).

Finally, linguistic and cognitive factors also affect SLA. The learner's first language can either support or interfere with acquisition, depending on how similar it is to the target language (Odlin, 1989). Cognitive maturity enables learners to grasp abstract concepts and apply advanced strategies (Piaget, 1970). Strong working memory further supports complex language tasks and long-term retention (Baddeley, 2003). Together, these factors highlight the intricate nature of language learning and the need for tailored, context-sensitive approaches.

Table 1: Overview of Factors Affecting Second Language Learning

Category	Key Factors	Description	References
Individual Learner Characteristics	Age	Younger learners have greater neural plasticity, aiding pronunciation and overall proficiency.	Johnson & Newport (1989)
	Motivation	Learners with integrative or instrumental motivation show greater persistence and success.	Gardner (2001)
	Personality Traits	Extroverted learners engage more in communication, enhancing speaking skills.	Dewaele & Furnham (1999)
	Language Aptitude	Phonetic coding ability, grammatical sensitivity, and memory influence language learning.	Carroll (1990)
Environmental and Instructional Factors	Exposure and Input	Meaningful and comprehensible input is essential for language acquisition.	Krashen (1985)
	Interaction	Communicative engagement promotes fluency and accuracy.	Long (1996)
	Instructional Methods	Learner-centered and interactive approaches enhance SLA effectiveness.	Lightbown & Spada (2013)
	Feedback and Correction	Timely and constructive feedback facilitates language development.	Lyster & Ranta (1997)
Linguistic and Cognitive Factors	First Language Influence	Similarities and differences between L1 and L2 affect learning ease or difficulty.	Odlin (1989)
	Cognitive Development	Cognitive maturity influences the ability to understand abstract language concepts.	Piaget (1970)
	Working Memory	Strong working memory helps learners retain and process linguistic information.	Baddeley (2003)

3 Motivation in Second Language Learning

Motivation plays a crucial role in second language acquisition (SLA), shaping learners' engagement, persistence, and overall achievement. It acts as the driving force behind language learning behaviors and remains a central focus in SLA research (Dörnyei, 2009). In this context, motivation refers to a learner's desire and effort to acquire a second language, including both the reasons for learning and the intensity of effort (Gardner, 1985). Learners with high motivation are more likely to dedicate time and energy to language study, resulting in stronger proficiency and better long-term retention (Ushioda & Dörnyei, 2017).

3.1 Gardner and Lambert's Distinction: Integrative vs. Instrumental Motivation

Gardner and Lambert (1972) introduced a seminal distinction between two types of motivation in language learning, which has significantly shaped the field of second language acquisition. On the one hand, integrative motivation reflects a learner's desire to integrate into the target language community, embracing its culture and people. Learners with this type of motivation aim to build personal connections with native speakers, and this emotional and cultural engagement often leads to higher levels of language proficiency (Gardner & Lambert, 1972). On the other hand, instrumental motivation pertains to the practical benefits associated with language learning, such as career advancement, fulfilling educational requirements, or achieving other utilitarian goals. Although instrumental motivation can effectively drive language learning, it is important to note that it may not result in the same depth of cultural assimilation as integrative motivation (Gardner & Lambert, 1972).

3.2 The Role of Motivation in Classroom vs. Naturalistic Learning

Motivation operates differently in classroom settings versus naturalistic learning environments, as its sources and dynamics vary with context. In structured classrooms, motivation is often influenced by teaching methods, teacher support, and peer interaction. For instance, engaging materials, a positive atmosphere, and constructive feedback can boost motivation by fostering progress and achievement (Dörnyei, 2001). In contrast, naturalistic environments like immersion settings generate motivation from the immediate need to communicate and adapt socially. Real-life interactions provide authentic opportunities to use the language, offering instant reinforcement and sustaining motivation (Clément et al., 1994). While classrooms depend on planned instruction, naturalistic contexts rely more on real-world communication and integration.

3.3 Critical Discussion: Strengths and Limitations of Motivation Theories

While influential, Gardner and Lambert's motivational dichotomy has been critiqued for its oversimplification, as it may not fully reflect the complexity and evolving nature of learners' motivations. Often, motivations overlap and shift with learners' changing goals and contexts, rather than fitting neatly into integrative or instrumental categories (Dörnyei, 2009). To address these limitations, Dörnyei's L2 Motivational Self System offers a more flexible framework that highlights

the dynamic interaction between self-concept and motivation. However, this model has also been criticized for focusing too heavily on self-perception, potentially neglecting broader sociocultural influences (Csizér & Kormos, 2009). Overall, motivation in SLA is a multifaceted construct, and drawing from multiple theoretical models helps educators develop more effective strategies to support and sustain learner motivation.

4 The Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis in Second Language Learning

Stephen Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis is a foundational concept in second language acquisition (SLA) theory, proposing a distinction between two processes: "acquisition" and "learning" (Krashen, 1982).

4.1 Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis

Krashen (1982) posits that language proficiency develops through two distinct systems: acquisition and learning. Firstly, acquisition is an unconscious process, similar to how children naturally acquire their first language. It occurs through meaningful communication without explicit focus on form, allowing learners to intuitively absorb the language in real-life interactions. In contrast, learning is a conscious process involving formal instruction, such as grammar and vocabulary study, usually within classroom settings. According to Krashen, "acquired" language supports spontaneous communication, while "learned" language functions as a monitor to edit or adjust output (Krashen, 1982).

Moreover, this distinction aligns closely with the concepts of implicit and explicit learning. On one hand, acquisition, or implicit learning, happens subconsciously and without deliberate effort, often resulting from immersive exposure (Ellis, 2009). On the other hand, explicit learning involves conscious understanding of language rules, producing knowledge that learners can intentionally apply, typically gained through structured lessons (DeKeyser, 2003).

However, Krashen's strict division between acquisition and learning has faced criticism. Lightbown and Spada (2013), for instance, advocate a more integrative view. While they acknowledge the value of implicit learning, they emphasize that explicit instruction is also crucial, especially for

mastering complex features. This suggests that both processes are essential and work together, rather than being mutually exclusive.

In addition, motivation significantly influences both acquisition and learning. In the case of acquisition, motivated learners are more likely to pursue immersive, authentic experiences, enhancing implicit learning (Dörnyei, 2009). Meanwhile, in learning contexts, motivation drives deeper engagement with explicit content. Learners who are highly motivated tend to persist through difficulties and better retain structured knowledge, supporting the development of explicit competence (Gardner, 2001). Taken together, these perspectives underscore how cognitive, contextual, and emotional factors interact in the language learning process.

4.2 Critical Discussion

Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis has significantly influenced second language acquisition theory, especially through its emphasis on natural communication. One key strength is its focus on meaningful interaction, which aligns with communicative teaching methods that prioritize authentic language use (Krashen, 1982). Additionally, Krashen's distinction between acquisition and learning has shaped immersive, context-rich instructional practices (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

However, the hypothesis has faced several criticisms. Firstly, researchers argue that the strict division between acquisition and learning lacks empirical support, as the two processes often overlap in real learning contexts (Ellis, 2009). Secondly, critics suggest Krashen undervalues the role of explicit instruction, which is particularly important for adult learners (DeKeyser, 2003). Moreover, the model emphasizes input while overlooking output, despite evidence showing that producing language is essential for reinforcing learning through practice and feedback (Swain, 2005).

In summary, although Krashen's hypothesis has shaped SLA theory and classroom practice, recent research advocates for a more balanced perspective—one that integrates both implicit and explicit learning, and recognizes the importance of both input and output in language development.

5 Critical Reflection on Lightbown & Spada (2000)

Lightbown and Spada's (2000) exploration of factors influencing second language acquisition (SLA) provides a comprehensive analysis of the roles that motivation and the distinction between acquisition and learning play in language development. This section critically reflects on their perspectives, comparing them with other SLA theories and research, and discusses the implications for Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) and second language teaching practices.

5.1 Critical Reflection

Lightbown and Spada (2000) emphasize the complex nature of motivation in SLA, noting that learners' communicative needs and attitudes toward the target language community strongly influence motivation. They argue that identity and social dynamics, including power relations, play essential roles in shaping motivation. Both children and adults are affected by these social factors, which can influence their engagement in language learning. Regarding the acquisition/learning distinction, Lightbown and Spada recognize the importance of both implicit (acquisition) and explicit (learning) processes. They suggest that while naturalistic exposure promotes implicit learning, explicit instruction can enhance the learning process, particularly for complex structures. This balanced view supports the idea that combining both approaches benefits learners.

Krashen's (1982) Acquisition-Learning Hypothesis maintains a strict divide between unconscious acquisition and conscious learning, claiming that only acquisition results in fluency. In contrast, Lightbown and Spada (2000) advocate a more integrative approach, valuing both implicit and explicit learning. Research supports this by showing that explicit knowledge, when practiced, can become automatized and contribute to fluency. Dörnyei's (2005) L2 Motivational Self System builds on earlier theories by focusing on learners' self-concept, including the ideal and ought-to L2 selves and learning experience. This framework complements Lightbown and Spada's view by highlighting identity and social dynamics in shaping motivation. Both perspectives stress the influence of personal and contextual factors on motivation and language learning success.

5.2 Implications for TESOL and Second Language Teaching Practices

The insights from Lightbown and Spada (2000) offer important implications for TESOL and second language teaching. First, recognizing the complementary roles of implicit acquisition and explicit learning suggests that instruction should combine communicative activities with focused form-based teaching. This balance supports diverse learning styles and fosters comprehensive language development. Second, understanding that motivation is shaped by learners' identities and social dynamics means teachers should create inclusive, supportive classrooms. Using culturally relevant materials and promoting positive views of the target language community can enhance integrative motivation. Third, acknowledging individual differences calls for personalized instruction. Assessing learners' motivations, attitudes, and preferences allows for teaching approaches that better meet their needs. Fourth, teachers should engage in reflective practice to adjust their methods in response to the changing nature of learners' motivation and the interaction between acquisition and learning.

In conclusion, Lightbown and Spada's (2000) perspectives on motivation and the acquisition/learning distinction provide valuable guidance for SLA theory and practice. Their integrative view aligns with current research emphasizing the balance of implicit and explicit learning and the key role of motivation. These insights support effective TESOL strategies that address the complex realities of language acquisition.

6 Conclusion

This essay critically explored key factors in second language acquisition (SLA), with a focus on motivation and Krashen's Acquisition/Learning Hypothesis. Motivation, including integrative and instrumental orientations, plays a central role in shaping learner engagement and success (Gardner, 2001). Dörnyei's L2 Motivational Self System expands this view by introducing the Ideal L2 Self, Ought-to L2 Self, and L2 Learning Experience, emphasizing the fluid and evolving nature of motivation (Dörnyei, 2009). Krashen's hypothesis draws a clear distinction between implicit acquisition and explicit learning, underscoring the value of meaningful communication in promoting effective language development (Krashen, 1982).

6.1 Final Evaluation of Lightbown & Spada's Contributions

Lightbown and Spada have significantly contributed to our understanding of SLA by integrating theoretical insights with practical classroom applications. Their work underscores the complexity of language learning, acknowledging the interplay between individual learner differences and instructional contexts (Lightbown & Spada, 2013). They advocate for a balanced approach that recognizes the value of both implicit acquisition and explicit instruction, aligning with contemporary views that effective language teaching should cater to diverse learner needs and contexts.

6.2 Suggestions for Future Research

Future research in second language acquisition (SLA) should explore several key areas to deepen our understanding and improve teaching practices. Firstly, individual differences deserve further investigation, particularly how personality traits, cognitive styles, and emotional states interact with motivation and influence language learning outcomes (Dörnyei & Ryan, 2015). Secondly, the integration of technology offers promising potential; researchers should examine how digital tools and online environments can enhance motivation and provide immersive, engaging learning experiences (Larsen-Freeman, 2018). Thirdly, there is a need for more longitudinal studies to track how learners' motivation and strategies evolve over time, offering insights into the long-term sustainability of language learning (Ushioda & Dörnyei, 2017). Finally, contextual factors such as sociocultural and educational environments should be studied to understand how different settings shape the processes and outcomes of language acquisition (Lightbown & Spada, 2013). By addressing these areas, future research can build on foundational work and contribute to more nuanced, responsive, and effective approaches to SLA.

References

- Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory: Looking back and looking forward. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 4(10), 829-839.
- Carroll, J. B. (1990). Cognitive abilities in foreign language aptitude: Then and now. In T. S. Parry & C. W. Stansfield (Eds.), *Language aptitude reconsidered* (pp. 11-29). Prentice Hall Regents.

Clément, R., Dörnyei, Z., & Noels, K. A. (1994). Motivation, self-confidence, and group cohesion in the foreign language classroom. *Language Learning*, 44(3), 417-448.

Csizér, K., & Kormos, J. (2009). Learning experiences, selves and motivated learning behaviour: A comparative analysis of structural models for Hungarian secondary and university learners of English. *Motivation, Language Identity and the L2 Self*, 98-119.

DeKeyser, R. M. (2003). Implicit and explicit learning. In C. J. Doughty & M. H. Long (Eds.), *The Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 313-348). Blackwell Publishing.

Dewaele, J.-M., & Furnham, A. (1999). Extraversion: The unloved variable in applied linguistic research. *Language Learning*, 49(3), 509-544.

Dörnyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational Strategies in the Language Classroom*. Cambridge University Press.

Dörnyei, Z. (2005). *The Psychology of the Language Learner: Individual Differences in Second Language Acquisition*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Dörnyei, Z. (2009). *The Psychology of Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford University Press.

Dörnyei, Z., & Ryan, S. (2015). *The Psychology of the Language Learner Revisited*. Routledge.

Ellis, R. (2009). Implicit and explicit learning, knowledge and instruction. In R. Ellis, S. Loewen, C. Elder, R. Erlam, J. Philp, & H. Reinders (Eds.), *Implicit and Explicit Knowledge in Second Language Learning, Testing and Teaching* (pp. 3-25). Multilingual Matters.

Ellis, R. (2015). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Gardner, R. C. (1985). *Social Psychology and Second Language Learning: The Role of Attitudes and Motivation*. Edward Arnold.

Gardner, R. C. (2001). Integrative motivation and second language acquisition. In Z. Dörnyei & R. Schmidt (Eds.), *Motivation and Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 1-19). University of Hawaii Press.

Gardner, R. C., & Lambert, W. E. (1972). *Attitudes and Motivation in Second Language Learning*. Newbury House.

Gass, S. M., & Selinker, L. (2008). *Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Johnson, J. S., & Newport, E. L. (1989). Critical period effects in second language learning: The influence of maturational state on the acquisition of English as a second language. *Cognitive Psychology*, 21(1), 60-99.

Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition*. Pergamon Press.

Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. Longman.

Larsen-Freeman, D. (2018). Looking ahead: Future directions in, and future research into, second language acquisition. *Foreign Language Annals*, 51(1), 55-72.

Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2000). Factors affecting second language learning. In C. N. Candlin & N. Mercer (Eds.), *English Language Teaching in Its Social Context* (pp. 28-43). Routledge.

Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How Languages Are Learned* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Long, M. H. (1996). The role of the linguistic environment in second language acquisition. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), *Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 413-468). Academic Press.

Lyster, R., & Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective feedback and learner uptake: Negotiation of form in communicative classrooms. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 19(1), 37-66.

Odlin, T. (1989). *Language Transfer: Cross-Linguistic Influence in Language Learning*. Cambridge University Press.

Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of Education and the Psychology of the Child*. Orion Press.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Swain, M. (2005). The output hypothesis: Theory and research. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Handbook of Research in Second Language Teaching and Learning* (pp. 471-484). Routledge.

Ushioda, E., & Dörnyei, Z. (2017). *Teaching and Researching Motivation* (3rd ed.). Routledge.