

AI Statement

I confirm that I have not presented any AI generated text as my own work. I confirm I have copies of my drafts, notes, and other resources I used before, and after the use of AI tools which I may be asked to provide in evidence.

Contents

1. A Literature Review
2. Methodological Considerations
3. Reference List

Are Children Engaged More Indoors or Outdoors?

A Literature Review

According to Birth To 5 Matters (2021), children are distinctive and holistic learners flourishing in surroundings that help their personal and different inspirations, activities and requirements. Enabling surroundings present children's safety, ease, selection, engagement and opportunity. Children's education is best strengthened whilst they have prospects that permit movement and activeness, innovation and creativity and individuality and teamwork. Spending time outside can assist children through proposing exclusive opportunities. Unrestricted resources allow children to approach and merge procedures of growth and education. Wide-ranging places are cultivating and reassuring of all children. Inside an enabling setting, well-informed practitioners enhance the growth and education of each child. Goldenberg (2024) who started a PHD examining the effect of outdoor time accumulated information from seventy-six children in the duration of two years. She examined the findings with a decibel meter and discovered that outside sessions were constantly calmer than inside ones, even whilst the children were undertaking the same activity tasks. Goldenberg (2024) also discovered that children's resting heart rates were brought down outside, signifying minimal quantities of strain. Fascinatingly, once the children were seated and listened in to a story book inside, the sound intensities inside the room related to their heart rates for example, while the sound rose, their heart rates grew also, insinuating that the sound was tense for them. However, while they were outside, this was not the situation. Even whilst it started to become louder outdoors, their heart rates were not impacted. Goldenberg (2024) also discovered that children remained extra probable to engage in creative play whilst they were outside, plus they also consumed additional periods talking amongst their peers. Granted the contemporary disputes alongside several children's speech and language growth, Goldenberg (2024) claimed that this remained very imperative. She also observed children's concentration and engagement. Whilst she considered group averages, whether children stayed inside or outside, it did not appear to create very much change to how time-consuming the children remained engaged by an activity, However, while Goldenberg (2024) observed particularly at the statistics from

children who strived to pay attentiveness inside, she saw that the children's attention and focus truly developed outdoors. In their study of the types of play young children engaged in throughout free play sessions within inside and outside settings, Storli and Sandseter (2019) found that children play inversely within inside and outside surroundings in early childhood centres. Eighty children aged two to six from eight separate early childhood organisations in Norway contributed to this research. Surveillances of the children occurred throughout a four-week period in a particular month. Two child partakers remained chosen for every observational day. The researcher and corresponding researcher (schoolroom teacher) concentrated on these two children all through two-hour free play sessions within both inside and outside locations. The outside settings were not essentially established play spaces. There stood five days of organised surveillances within each of the eight contributing establishments. Every surveillance was video logged. A quantitative examination of the videotape surveillances concentrated on (1) Time consumed within several types of play (2) the children's stage of happiness and participation whilst engaged in play. Calculations presented that whilst there stood slight variations within the overall quantity of time expended in play activities amongst inside and outside surroundings, there stood discrepancies within categories of play. Children occupied themselves in more practical play outside, and more constructive and symbolic play inside. The abstract highlights that the purposes of this study were to measure and investigate variations in preschoolers' inside and outside sedentary time and physical activity strength at childcare using GPS mechanisms and accelerometers. Experimental research of forty-six children was performed (mean age four and a half years, thirty boys, sixteen girls from five childcare centres who held accelerometers and GPS mechanisms over their waists for five days throughout regular childcare hours. GPS signal-to-noise proportions were expended to conclude indoor in opposition to outdoor locale (Tandon *et al.* 2018). Accelerometer information stood catalogued through movement strength. Children expended averagely twenty-four percent of childcare time outside (range twelve to thirty-seven percent by site) be an average of seventy-four minutes every day outside (range thirty to one hundred and nineteen minutes) with fifty-four percent of children using >sixty minutes/day outside. Mean accelerometer activity calculations stayed more than twice as high outdoors contrasted to indoors (345 (95) vs. 159 (38), ($p < 0.001$)), for girls and boys. Children remained considerably less sedentary (51% of time vs. 75%) and engaging in more

light (18% vs. 13%) and moderate-to-vigorous (MVPA) (31% vs. 12%) activity while outdoors contrasted to indoors ($p < 0.001$). To accomplish a minute of MVPA, a preschooler was required to spend 9.1 minutes indoors vs. 3.8 minutes outdoors. Each extra 10 minutes outdoors every day was related with a 2.9-minute upsurge in MVPA (2.7 minutes for girls, 3.0 minutes for boys). Preschool-age children are twice as involved and less inactive while outdoors contrasted to indoors in childcare locations. To help preschoolers, accomplish MVPA, recommendations and likely achieve extra advantages, one approach is to intensify the quantity of time they consume outdoors and further study how to best construct it. Comprehending and recording variations within preschoolers' indoor and movement levels indoors contrasted with outdoors can shape policy and urge practices that endorse physical activity in childcare locations. This research provides objectively calculated data that preschool children are more active and less sedentary whilst indoors, they are twice as active outdoors versus indoors. Wei-Ta (2017, p. 797) believe that children are proven to have more extra positive encounters in the natural outdoor environments than adults because they have not been familiar to the unnatural atmospheres. Conclusions additionally uncovered that children's growth towards the standards of nature requirements to remain reinforced throughout regular individual participation plus communication amongst a distinct natural setting, and this can undergo important consequences upon their knowledge and actions for environmentally friendly proposals. Additionally, results disclosed that subjective norms performed a significant part influencing children's environmentally friendly behaviour whilst they engaged in physical activities next to the outdoor parks. This can be clarified through a shortage of administration (for instance by the park organisation) on the children's environmentally friendly behaviours and such an accessible environment took no harsh tracing device of such behaviours while contrasted with the indoor after-school centres. Moreover, while children were at the outdoor parks, they tend to be more considerate to the natural surroundings plus construct an emotional connection with nature, which can play a part to their environmentally friendly behaviours.

Methodological Considerations

This section will describe the process of how the research project of 'Are Children Engaged More Indoors or Outdoors?' will be conducted and the methods used will also be evaluated. The chosen sample target for this research project is fifteen children who will participate in a structured observation research method and their levels of involvement and wellbeing will be measured by using the Leuven Scale. According to MacRae and Jones (2020), the Leuven scale has a history that stretches back to the 1980's. However, it has acquired growing acquisition in the UK as an 'instrument' for practitioners to utilise whilst assessing quality in their settings. The Leuven scale is expanded as an observational scale from 1-5, which allows early years practitioners to count the level to which a child is involved in a self-chosen activity. The scale provides descriptors for every stage; stage 5 signifying the greatest participation, and level 1 the slightest. This scale is constructed on the premise that higher stages of participation can be utilised as a measure of advanced quality provision. Also, five parents and five practitioners will participate for a structured interview research method regarding perceived engagement. The reason for choosing twenty-five participants altogether is because according to Andrade (2020), too large a sample is needless and unethical. Also, the rise in accuracy will be little and therefore not worth the effort and expenditure immersed in employing the additional participants. Moreover, an excessively big sample will trouble more participants than might be needed for the study objectives; this is unethical. Due to the topic of the research, the setting of where the research activity will take place will be both indoors and outdoors. The indoors location will consist of classrooms with structured learning and play stations. The outdoor locations will include school playgrounds, parks and forests. The type of sampling is going to be probability (simple random sampling). According to Elfil and Negida (2017), simple random sampling is a process expended while the entire population remains accessible, and the researchers have a list of all subjects in this target population. The reason behind choosing simple random sampling for the research is because according to Health Knowledge (2025), simple random sampling lets the sampling error to be calculated and decreases selection bias. Another particular benefit of simple random sampling is that it is the most straightforward technique of probability sampling. The chosen research methods for doing the research are both qualitative and quantitative, mixed

methods. According to Cohen (2018), Creswell and Plano Clark (2011, p.4) propose an exploratory meaning in implying that mixed methods research characterises studies taken on through one or more researchers that joins countless parts of both quantitative and qualitative methods (for instance with concern to perceptions, data collection and data evaluation) to research, simultaneously with the nature of the implications built from the research (p. 4), the reasons of which are to provide a richer and extra dependable perception (wider and deeper) of a phenomenon than a solitary method would generate. Leech and Onwuegbuzie (2009, p. 265) put forward that accomplishing mixed methods research includes data collection (both quantitative and qualitative), evaluation and clarification of research that, individually or simultaneously, direct a specific phenomenon. The reason behind choosing mixed methods research is because according to Cohen (2018), it is declared that mixed methods research allows an extra broad and comprehensive intellect of phenomena to stand obtained than individual processes methods and responds to complicated research queries more eloquently, merging particularity with generalisation, 'patterned regularity' with 'contextual complexity' insider and outsider perceptions (emic and etic research) concentrating on the entirety and its component fragments, and the reasons of outcomes. According to Cohen, Manion and Morrison (2018), interviews are an extensively used means for data collection. Additionally, Lambert (2019) believes that interviews allow partakers to talk about their experiences and understandings of specific circumstances. Cohen *et al.* (2018) moreover highlight that they are similarly an effectual technique to authenticate and discover in larger complexity matters that are previously mentioned by other methods, totalling extra intuitions and elevating the research all through the cohort of qualitative information. According to Lambert (2019) says that a 'structured' interview includes a person posing questions to another person and then logging the answers, possibly on paper or electronically altogether in an extremely pre-planned and controlled manner. It is an official exchange that sticks to a particular agenda – extremely precise questions are requested in a pre-determined sequence plus in a similar method to all participants. Responses are typically short however do not have to be short (Yes or No, a particular detail, or a choice on a scale), with little or no guidance or comment provided by the interviewer. This signifies that information can be collected moderately fast from an enormous number of partakers, and that calculations can be evaluated simply too. In a structured interview, the questioner is, as far as

imaginable, a unbiased partaker, whose responsibility it is to manage the questions however not to shift the interviewee's answers in any way. If performed satisfactorily, the researcher could then be poised that any differences in answers, amongst several partakers will simply expose variances in their understandings, viewpoints and occurrences, with slight or no effect on those answers from the interviewer or the interview procedure. observation takes many forms. Additionally, Hammond and Wellington (2021) state that as with interview procedures, observations can be structured or unstructured. The ethnographer may, for instance, start by following open-ended and unstructured notetaking. At the other extreme, observation can be extremely firmly structured along pre-determined lines, sometimes called systematic observation, so that the observer distinguishes exactly what is to be logged beforehand. The reasons for choosing structured observations as a research method are because there are benefits. For example, different observers can undoubtedly compare outcomes, and existing procedures can be utilised, ones which have already experienced usability testing – though researchers must take time to comprehend the reason of their design and fitness for purpose. According to Cohen, Manion and Morrison (2018), a structured methodical observation allows the researcher to produce numerical information from the observations. Numerical information, in turn, expediate the production of comparisons amongst settings and situations, and allow frequencies, patterns and tendencies to be written or computed. The viewer adopts an unreceptive and non-intrusive responsibility, purely writing down the incidence of the issues being learned. Observations are inserted onto an observational timetable. This research project uses triangulation as there are many benefits towards using it. According to Holmes (2018, p. 84) triangulation is the study practice of contrasting and merging several sources of data with the purpose of accomplishing a better comprehension of the research subject. Triangulation provides research authenticity and makes the conclusions more compelling. It includes the researcher compiling a variety of data through using a mixture a research technique plus gives researchers the chance to investigate their data from a variety of resources. It is essential to attempt to achieve dissimilar viewpoints. For instance, if a person was fascinated in teacher's practice, it would be inadequate to purely question them regarding their practice within an interview. It would also be essential to observe what they accomplished and perhaps request for the children's outlooks on their teacher too. Within this means, the person would be depending on

three sources of evidence: what the teacher said to the person in the interview, the person's observations of what the teacher in fact does and lastly, the children's viewpoints on their teacher's practice. The reason for choosing to use triangulation in the research project is because according to Holmes (2018, p. 84), a specific strong point of mixed methods research is the triangulation of different kinds of qualitative and quantitative information. For instance, if both qualitative and quantitative information sets match, nevertheless there is a good agreement amongst two distinctive but corresponding supplies of information. This provides the researcher with self-assurance in the truthfulness of their discoveries and intensifies the authenticity of the study conclusions. This agreement amongst the different research techniques could be said to have triangulated the research.

Reference List

Birth To Five Matters (2021) *Learning Environments*. Available at:
<https://birthto5matters.org.uk/learning-environments/> (Accessed: 1 August 2025).

Goldenberg, G. (2024) *Helping All Children to Bloom and Grow: The Evidence Behind the Importance of Outdoor Time*. Available at:
<https://www.childcareeducationexpo.co.uk/news-article/helping-all-children-to-bloom-and-grow-the-evidence-behind-the-importance-of-outdoor-time> (Accessed: 1 August 2025).

Storli, R. and Hansen Sandseter, E. B. (2019) 'Children's Play, Well-Being and involvement: How Children Play Indoors and Outdoors in Norwegian Early Childhood Education and Care Institutions', *International Journal of Play*, 8(1), pp. 65–78.
Available at: <https://doi-org.ezproxy.uwtsd.ac.uk/10.1080/21594937.2019.1580338>

Tandon, P. S., Saelens, B. E., Zhou, C. and Christakis, D. A. (2018) 'A Comparison of Preschoolers' Physical Activity Indoors versus Outdoors at Child Care', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15 (11), article 2463. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112463>

Wei-Ta, F., Ng, E., and Chang, M. (2017) 'Physical Outdoor Activity versus Indoor Activity: Their Influence on Environmental Behaviours', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14 (7), pp. 797. Available at:
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14070797>

Elfil, M. and Negida, A. (2017) 'Sampling Methods in Clinical Research; An Educational Review', *Emerg (Tehran)*, 5(1), article 28286859. Available at: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5325924/> (Accessed: 1 August 2025).

Health Knowledge (2025) *Methods of Sampling from a Population*. Available at: <https://www.healthknowledge.org.uk/public-health-textbook/research-methods/1a-epidemiology/methods-of-sampling-population> (Accessed: 1 August 2025).

Andrade, C. (2020) 'Sample Size and it's Importance in Research', *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 42(1), pp. 102-103. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM_504_19

Cohen, L., Manion, L. and Morrison, K. (2018) *Research Methods in Education*. 8th edn. London: Routledge.

Lambert, M. (ed.) (2019) *Practical Research Methods in Education: An Early Researcher's Critical Guide*. London: Routledge.

MacRae, C. and Jones, L. (2020) 'Philosophical Reflection on the "Leuven Scale" and Young Children's Expressions of Involvement', *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 36 (2) pp. 234-246. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09518398.2020.1828650>

Hammond. M. and Wellington, J. (2021) *Research Methods: The Key Concepts*. 2nd edn. London: Routledge.

