

Reflective Paper

When referring to quality in terms of early year provision, the Education Policy Institute (2018) suggests that quality provision is made up of multiple different factors, which need to be continuously monitored in order to maintain the value. Furthermore, Sollars (2020) states that quality in an early years setting is an environment of which a child is able to fulfil their full potential with collaboration from suitable professionals. Supporting these definitions, Pacey (2021) recognise that there are numerous ways to identify a quality setting, such as by looking at their parent-practitioner relationships. However, it has been established that practitioners have different definitions of quality, as Cottle and Alexander (2012) state, further strengthening this viewpoint Moss (2016) acknowledges the complexity of the term. This implies that it may be difficult to achieve quality provision, due to different understandings of the term.

Quality provision within the early years is fundamental for the effective and continuous development of children, as Sylva, *et al* (2004) highlights. Cottle and Alexander (2012) strengthen this viewpoint, as they discuss how the quality of a childcare provision influences children's development, progress and also attainment, as Welsh Government (2013) further support. They acknowledge the importance of quality provision, placing emphasis on the impact it has on the children within the setting. According to the Foundation Years (2017) children who experience high quality provision often achieve higher outcomes at school, as well as demonstrating higher social, emotional and also cognitive abilities. Concluding these ideologies research suggests that quality provision underpins children's development, outcomes and experiences throughout their educational journey, as Hobbs and Mutebi (2021) emphasise. The Effective Provision of Pre-school Project which is discussed by Taggart, *et al.* (2015) highlights that good quality settings add value to children, whereas poor quality provision adds no value, accentuating the importance of promoting quality provision.

However, research suggests that it is not a quick process and it is a continuous journey, highlighting the requirement for quality enhancement and assurance, Williams (2016) recognises that quality assurance is a process involving shared knowledge and also practice between practitioners within a setting. Butler (2016) acknowledges that gaps and disadvantages within early education can be bridged through quality early year provision. Therefore, reviewing this evidence it is apparent that there is a need for quality enhancement within provision, to ensure the children are receiving the best possible outcome, as Reed and Canning (2011) state. They emphasise that quality enhancement and improvement is a continuous process and is fundamental for benefiting the children, parents and also practitioners, this is further supported by the Department for Education (2021).

Moreover, when referring to quality assurance Mathers, Singler and Karemaker (2012) recognise the importance, they state that the quality of early education affects the experiences the children have. Emalee, *et al.* (2013) highlights the significance children's early childhood experiences have on their future and development, UNICEF (no date) support this. They discuss that early childhood is a crucial time and will shape the child's development and future. The evidence discussed therefore highlights the need for quality assurance within the early years, as it aids provisions in becoming the best for the

Word Count: 2,116

children they care for. Consequently creating positive early childhood experiences, as Fler (2018) states.

Within the early years there are clear statutory requirements, such as the National Minimum Standards, as published by Welsh Government (2018). These are designed to ensure that settings are fit for purpose and meet the requirements of provision, such as staff ratios, as stated by the Department for Education (2013). Furthermore, Welsh Government (2019b) reviewed the National Minimum Standards for childcare. It was reported that generally the statutory standards, the NMS are effective and useful for practitioners as it provides consistency through settings and helps providers understand how to meet these regulations. Moreover, the Department for Education (2017) strengthen this viewpoint, agreeing that statutory requirements help provide consistency between good quality provisions. Hotz and Xiao (2011) conducted a study and discovered that although statutory regulations help provide a minimum quality and consistency throughout provision, this can occasionally become negative. They suggest that provision may aim to work to the regulations rather than aiming to achieve above this, as Oxfordshire Government (2018) agree.

Quality assurance aims to work towards creating best practice and also implement change where necessary, however there are different types and methods, as identified by Care Inspectorate (2022). It is fundamental that these methods are effective, in order to ensure the setting is striving for quality above the minimum standards. This will consequently have a positive impact on the children, as recognised by Siraj-Blatchford and Wong (2006). Further strengthening this, Welsh Government (2019a) acknowledge the significance of settings aiming to deliver high quality provision in order to promote the children's development and provide the best possible outcome.

One method of quality assurance is the Quality of Care Review, Care Inspectorate Wales (2018) state that it is a self-evaluation which will help the service and also CIW maintain and improve the service and provision. The services Quality of Care Review fulfils the services duty under regulation 16 of the *Child Minding and Day Care (Wales) Regulations 2010*. The review should contain the views of the service users and also a self-evaluation of the provision, as acknowledged by the Care Inspectorate Wales (2016). This review is fundamental when striving for high quality provision, as it will enable settings to gain a deeper understanding of what works well within their setting and what may require improvement, as Education Scotland (2016) agree.

Moreover, as referred to above, in order to successfully complete a Quality of Care Review, service-user feedback is required, such as parent questionnaires and also the children's views, as Walsall Council (2016) state. Suggesting that the Quality of Care Review can be effective when promoting quality provision within the early years, Nokali, Bachman and Drzal (2010) discovered that when parents are involved it has a positive impact on the children's development and progress within the setting. Wheeler and Connor (2009) develop this viewpoint, as they agree that parent feedback is significant and can help create a richer learning environment, thus will consequently help promote quality within settings as Taguma, Litjens and Makowiecki (2012) highlight the significant impact the learning environment has on the children's development. Therefore, this emphasises the importance of feedback

Word Count: 2,116

from the service users, which is required in the Quality of Care Review, when striving for quality in provision.

Moreover, Ofsted (2013) draw attention to the importance of self-evaluation, which is required in the first part of the Quality of Care Review. This is a fundamental tool in helping provisions create, maintain and also improve their setting to ensure it is meeting the highest standards of quality, as Siraj-Blatchford and Sum (2013) agree. They suggest that self-assessment, such as the Quality of Care Review can help encourage better leadership which will consequently demonstrate a stronger, more unified team, as Stamopoulos (2012) and Ang (2012) convey. The evidence reviews suggests that the Quality of Care Review is an appropriate and effective approach to quality assurance, as it enables services to acknowledge their strengths and aspects which may require improvement.

Further, the Quality of Care Review is a self-assessment tool, evidence suggests that this is an effective way to promote quality within early years provision, as Scobie and Scott (2017) express. They imply that this can be an effective tool as it allows the service management to highlight the quality of the provision. However they also propose the argument that this form of quality measurement and assurance, focusses very specifically on self-evaluation, which therefore limits results and will consequently hinder the development of quality within the provision, as Lancaster and Garvey (2010) propose. It is suggested that a more child-centred approach is the way forward when striving to improve quality in the setting, as the child should be at the centre of all decisions, as Melhuish (2016) agrees. Therefore, this suggests that the Quality of Care Review may not be effective when promoting quality within provisions.

Although it is recognised that the Quality of Care Review is a form of self-assessment which allows leaders to review their setting and care provision, as stated by the Care Inspectorate Wales (2019), it can be argued that this may not help achieve quality and promote change within settings. Kasanen and Raty (2002) highlight that self-assessment tools rely on honesty and the ability to promote change through improvements. This is a complex skill and therefore some individuals may find difficult, as Kjellstrom, Stalne, Tornblom (2020) acknowledge. Consequently, this suggests that some Quality of Care Reviews may be biased and therefore inaccurate, implying this may not be an effective form of quality assurance for every provision.

Another method which is used for quality assurance is the Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Well-being scale, also referred to as the SSTEWS scale, as identified by Hoare (2017). Foreman and Hall (2015) recognise that a knowledgeable, engaging and understanding practitioner is required to observe children, implying this is therefore necessary in regards to the SSTEWS scale. Mackenzie (2016) refers to the term sustained shared thinking, stating that it is when two or more individuals work with one another to resolve an issue or clarify an idea, for example, as stated by Siraj-Blatchford, *et al.* (2002). The scale was devised to assess the quality of adult support within provisions whilst promoting sustained shared thinking and emotional wellbeing, as Howard, *et al.* (2018) and Melhuish, Siraj and Kingston (2015) acknowledges. This method is seen as an effective form of quality assurance, as

Word Count: 2,116

evidence by the Welsh Government (2008) suggests that observing and listening to children helps promote quality in provision as they should be at the centre of a quality settings decisions.

Siraj-Blatchford (2009) discusses the importance of sustained shared thinking and agrees that it enhances children's development and experiences, whilst also aiding practitioners continuous professional development. Further supporting this, Early Education (2022) highlight that sustained shared thinking can also aid the development of bonds between the children and practitioner, which is fundamental for the children's development and experience. Hayes, O'Toole and Marie (2017) agree, they state that the interactions between children and practitioners help shape the child's future, development and also will create positive experiences, which is also expressed by the Government (2019). However, Purdon (2013) discovered that although the importance of sustained shared thinking is recognised, in practice it is not appearing often enough, highlighting the necessity for the SSTEW scale.

The SSTEW scale focusses on observation and requires an adult to recognise cues from the child, suggesting that the practitioner will need to be knowledgeable and also act encouraging towards the child, as Howard, *et al.* (2020) states. According to Siraj, Kingston and Melhuish (2015) the SSTEW scale is assessed using a 7 point scale, ranging from 1 which is inadequate and 7, which is excellent, this is in comparison to a more qualitative approach like the Quality of Care Review, which has been discussed above. Within the SSTEW scale there are five sub-scales, which are trust, confidence and independence, social and emotional well-being, supporting language and communication, supporting learning and critical thinking, and assessing learning and language, as stated by Siraj, Kingston and Melhuish (2015). These sub-scales can be linked to areas of the Foundation Phase, as outlined by Welsh Government (2015), making it relevant whilst encouraging consistency. This suggests that the scale may be an effective method of quality assurance as it is able to work in correspondence with the curricular targets and will therefore ensure quality provision relating to academic outcomes.

Moreover, it may be argued that the SSTEW scale obtains more accurate results than the Quality of Care Review in terms of quality assurance, for example, as the scores reflects observed practice, as Lee (2019) conveys. She states that the SSTEW scale is a strong quality assurance method which should be used to evaluate early years settings, implying that they are effective when put into practice. Also, the SSTEW scale recognises the importance of play in promoting curiosity, Samuelson and Carlsson (2008) emphasise the importance of play on children's learning and development, agreeing that it is a fundamental aspect of the early years, as Fleer (2017) also agrees. However, as this approach is quite new, Howard, *et al.* (2018) agrees that further research surrounding the scale is necessary.

To conclude, quality is fundamental for early years settings, as it is recognised that high quality provision promotes children's development and skills. To ensure quality within settings, there are numerous quality assurance methods which can be applied to provision, such as the SSTEW scale. Although challenges have been identified of particular approaches, evidence highlights that these methods play a significant role in enhancing the quality of provision.

Reference list:

Ang, L. (2012) 'Leading and Managing in the Early Years: A Study of the Impact of a NCSL Programme on Children's Centre Leaders' Perceptions of Leadership and Practice.' *Educational Management Administration and Leadership*, 40(3), pp. 289-304. DOI: [10.1177/1741143212436960](https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143212436960)

Butler, A. (2016) *Putting quality at the heart of the early years*. Available at: [file:///C:/Users/Student/Downloads/FCT_Putting%20quality%20at%20the%20heart%20of%20the%20early%20years%20\(2\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/Student/Downloads/FCT_Putting%20quality%20at%20the%20heart%20of%20the%20early%20years%20(2).pdf) (Accessed: 12 March 2023).

Care Inspectorate (2022) *A quality framework for day-care of children, childminding and school-aged childcare*. Dundee: Care Inspectorate.

Care Inspectorate Wales (2018) *How we inspect childcare and play services*. Available at: <https://www.careinspectorate.wales/how-we-inspect-childcare-and-play-services> (Accessed: 13 March).

Care Inspectorate Wales (2019) *Regulation and Inspection of Social Care (Wales) Act 2016: Guidance on completing the quality of care review*. Available at: <https://www.careinspectorate.wales/sites/default/files/2019-06/190627-guidance-on-completing-the-quality-of-care-review-en.pdf> (Accessed: 13 March 2023).

Cottle, M. and Alexander, E. (2012) 'Quality in early years settings: Government, research and practitioners' perspectives.' *British Educational Research Journal*, 38(2), pp. 635-654. DOI: [10.1080/01411926.2011.571661](https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2011.571661)

Department for Education (2013) *National minimum standards for the welfare of children*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/national-minimum-standards> (Accessed: 12 March 2023).

Department for Education (2017) *Study of Early Education and Development: Good Practice in Early Education*. London: DFE.

Department for Education (2021) *Statutory framework for the early years foundation stage: Setting the standards for learning, development and care for children from birth to five*. London: DFE.

Early Education (2022) *Sustained shared thinking (SST)*. Available at: <https://early-education.org.uk/sustained-shared-thinking-sst/> (Accessed: 14 March 2023).

Word Count: 2,116

Education Policy Institute (2018) *Early years education: what does high-quality provision look like?*

Available at: <https://epi.org.uk/publications-and-research/early-years-high-quality-provision/>
(Accessed: 10 March 2023).

Education Scotland (2016) *How good is our early learning and childcare?* Livingston: Education Scotland.

Emalee, G. *et al.* (2013) 'Adverse Childhood Experiences and Child Health in Early Adolescence.' *JAMA Paediatrics*, 167(7), pp. 622-629. DOI: [10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.22](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.22)

Fleer, M. (2017) *Play in the Early Years*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Fleer, M. (2018) *Child Development in Educational Settings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Foreman, G. and Hall, E. (2005) 'Wondering With Children: The Importance of Observation in Early Education.' *Early Childhood Research and Practice*, 7(2), pp. 1-9. DOI: [10.36510/learnland.v7i1.637](https://doi.org/10.36510/learnland.v7i1.637)

Foundation Years (2017) *Quality Improvement*. Available at: <https://foundationyears.org.uk/pedagogy-early-learning/quality-improvement/#:~:text=Children%20who%20experience%20high%20quality,development%20in%20the%20long%20term>. (Accessed: 10 March 2023).

Government (2019) *Interactions*. Available at: <https://help-for-early-years-providers.education.gov.uk/communication-and-language/interactions#:~:text=Developing%20relationships%20through%20communication%20and,successful%20learning%20in%20all%20areas>. (Accessed: 14 March 2023).

Haye, N., O'Toole, L. and Halpenny, A. (2017) *Introducing Bronfenbrenner: A Guide for Practitioners and Students in Early Years Education*. London: Routledge.

Hoare, P. (2017) *Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Well-being scales*. Available at: <https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/Documents/Children-and-families-services/Early-Years/Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Well being scales Presentation.pdf> (Accessed: 14 March 2023).

Word Count: 2,116

Hobbs, A. and Mutebi, N. (2021) *The impact of early childhood education and care on children's outcomes, and the sustainability of the sector*. Available at: <https://post.parliament.uk/the-impact-of-early-childhood-education-and-care-on-childrens-outcomes/> (Accessed: 10 March 2023).

Hotz, J. and Xiao, M. (2011) 'The Impact of Regulations on the Supply and Quality of Care in Child Care Markets.' *National Library of Medicine*, 101(5), pp. 1775-1805. DOI: [10.1257/aer.101.5.1775](https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.5.1775)

Howard, et al. (2018) 'Measuring interactional quality in pre-school settings: introduction and validation of the Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Wellbeing (SSTEWS) scale.' *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(3), pp.1-14. DOI: [10.1080/03004430.2018.1511549](https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2018.1511549)

Howard, S. et al. (2018) 'Measuring interactional quality in pre-school settings: introduction and validation of the Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Wellbeing (SSTEWS) scale.' *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(7), pp.1017-1030. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2018.1511549>

Kasanen, K. and Raty, H. (2002) "'You be Sure Now to be Honest in Your Assessment": Teaching and Learning Self-Assessment.' *Social Psychology of Education*, 11(2), pp. 313-328. DOI: [10.1023/A:1020993427849](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020993427849)

Kjellstrom, S., Stalne, K. and Tornblom, O. (2020) 'Six ways of understanding leadership development: An exploration of increasing complexity.' *Leadership*, 16(4), pp. 434-460. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715020926731>

Lancaster, A. and Garvey, D. (2010) *Leadership for Quality in Early Years and Play work: Supporting Your Team to Achieve Better Outcomes for Children and Families*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

Lee, M. (2019) 'Leading practice as an early years teacher.' *Early Years Educator*, 20(11), pp.7-16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12968/eyed.2019.20.11.14>

Mackenzie, M. (2016) *Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Well-being – SSTEWS*. Available at: <https://www.instituteforchildsuccess.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/MACKENZIE-SSTEWS-workshop-2016.pdf> (Accessed: 14 March 2023).

Mathers, S., Singler, R. and Karemaker, A. (2012) *Improving Quality in the Early Years: A Comparison of Perspectives and Measures*. Oxford: Day care Trust.

Word Count: 2,116

Melhuish, E. (2016) 'Longitudinal research and early years policy development in the UK.' *International Journal of Childcare and Education Policy*, 10(3), pp. 1-18. DOI: [10.1186/s40723-016-0019-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40723-016-0019-1)

Melhuish, E., Siraj, I. and Kingston, D. (2015) *Assessing Quality in early Childhood Education and Care: Sustained shared thinking and emotional well-being (SSTEWS) for 2-5 year-olds provision*. London: Trentham Books.

Moss, P. (2016) 'Why can't we get beyond quality.' *Early Childhood*, 17(1), pp.8-15. DOI: [10.1177/1463949115627895](https://doi.org/10.1177/1463949115627895)

Nokali, N., Bachman, H. and Drzal, E. (2010) 'Parent Involvement and Children's Academic and Social Development in Elementary School.' *Child Development*, 81(3), pp. 998-1005. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01447.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01447.x)

Ofsted (2013) *Early years self-evaluation form guidance*. Manchester: Ofsted.

Oxfordshire Government (2018) *My space: Creating enabling environments for young children*. Available at: https://www2.oxfordshire.gov.uk/cms/sites/default/files/folders/documents/childreducationandfamilie/s/informationforchildcareproviders/Toolkit/My_Space_Creating_enabling_environments_for_young_children.pdf (Accessed: 12 March 2022).

Pacey (2021) *What quality looks like*. Available at: <https://www.pacey.org.uk/parents/what-quality-looks-like/> (Accessed: 10 March 2023).

Purdon, A. (2013) 'Sustained shared thinking in an early childhood setting: an exploration of practitioners' perspectives.' *International Journal of Primary, Elementary and Early Years Educations*, 44(3), pp. 269-282. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2014.907819>

Reed, M. and Canning, N. (2011) *Implementing Quality Improvement & Change in the Early Years*. SAGE: London.

Samuelson, I. and Carlsson, M. (2008) 'The Playing Learning Child: Towards a pedagogy of early childhood.' *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 52(6), pp.623-641. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313830802497265>

Word Count: 2,116

Scobie, G. and Scott, E. (2016) *Rapid evidence review: Childcare quality and children's outcomes*. Edinburgh: NHS Health Scotland.

Siraj, I., Kingston, D. and Melhuish, E. (2015) *Assessing Quality in Early Childhood Education and Care: Sustained Shared Thinking and Emotional Well-being (SSTEWS) Scale for 2–5-year-old*. London: Trentham Books.

Siraj-Blatchford (2009) 'Conceptualising progression in the pedagogy of play and sustained shared thinking in early childhood education: a Vygotskian perspective.' *Education and Child Psychology*, 26(2), pp.77-89. Available at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2223&context=sspapers> (Accessed: 14 March 2023).

Siraj-Blatchford I. et al. (2002) *Researching Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years*. Oxford: University of Oxford.

Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Sum, C. (2013) *Understanding and advancing systems leadership in the early years*. Nottingham: National College for Teaching and Leadership.

Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Wong, Y. (2006) 'Defining and Evaluating 'Quality' Early Childhood Education in an International Context: Dilemmas and Possibilities.' *Early Years*, 20(1), pp.7-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/0957514990200102>

Sollars, V. (2020) 'Defining quality in early childhood education: parents' perspectives.' *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 28(3), pp. 319-331. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2020.1755488>

Stamopoulos, E. (2012) 'Reframing early childhood leadership.' *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, 37(2), pp. 1-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/183693911203700207>

Sylva, K. et al. (2004) *The Effective Provision of Pre-School Education (EPPE) Project*. Available at: <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/10005309/1/sylva2004EPPEfinal> (Accessed: 10 March 2023).

Taggart, B. et al. (2015) *Effective pre-school, primary and secondary education project (EPPSE 3-16+)*. London: Department for Education.

Taguma, M., Litjens, I. and Makowiecki, K. (2012) *Quality Matters in Early Childhood Education and Care: United Kingdom (England)*. England: OECD Publishing.

Word Count: 2,116

The Child Minding and Day Care (Wales) Regulations 2010, c.2574. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2010/2574/contents/made>

UNICEF (no date) *Early childhood development*. Available at: <https://www.unicef.org/early-childhood-development> (Accessed: 12 March 2022).

Walsall Council (2016) *Regulation 45 - Review of Quality of Care*. Available at: <https://proceduresonline.com/trixcms1/media/9767/regulation-45-review-of-quality-of-care.pdf>

(Accessed: 13 March 2023).

Welsh Government (2008) *Observing Children*. Cardiff: WAG.

Welsh Government (2012) *Building a Brighter Future*. Cardiff: WAG.

Welsh Government (2015) *Foundation Phase Framework*. Cardiff: WAG.

Welsh Government (2018) *National Minimum Standards for Regulated Childcare for children up to the age of 12 years*. Cardiff: WAG.

Welsh Government (2019a) *Early Years Outcomes Framework*. Cardiff: WAG.

Welsh Government (2019b) *Review of the National Minimum Standards for Regulated Childcare*. Cardiff: WAG.

Wheeler, H. and Connor, J. (2009) *Parents, Early Years and Learning: Parents as Partners in the Early Years Foundation Stage - Principles Into Practice*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

Williams, J. (2016) 'Quality Assurance and Quality Enhancement: is there a relationship?', *Quality in Higher Education*, 22(2), pp. 97-102. DOI: [10.1080/13538322.2016.1227207](https://doi.org/10.1080/13538322.2016.1227207)