

Designing Effective Research in Work-Based Settings: A Focus on Voice Pedagogy

Rachael Griffith

Module 2 Essay
Voice Study Centre
January, 2025
Word Count: 3840

Table of contents

Introduction.....	Pg. 3
Methodological Approaches.....	Pg. 3
Research Designs.....	Pg. 5
Research Methods.....	Pg. 7
Ethics.....	Pg. 9
Insider Research.....	Pg. 12
Conclusion.....	Pg. 15
References.....	Pg. 16

Designing Effective Research in Work-Based Settings: A Focus on Voice Pedagogy

Introduction

Research in work-based settings presents unique challenges and opportunities, particularly in specialized fields like voice pedagogy. Understanding how to design research that is both ethical and methodologically sound is crucial for practitioner researchers aiming to generate meaningful insights (Costley, Elliott, and Gibbs, 2010). This essay critically examines key components necessary for conducting effective research, focusing on methodological approaches, research designs, and data collection methods. Additionally, it explores the significance of research ethics and insider research, addressing potential benefits and limitations. By analyzing various strategies and examples from voice-related studies (Helding, 2020; Howard, 2018), this essay aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the considerations that guide robust research design in real-world, work-based environments. Ultimately, it seeks to answer the question: what should a practitioner researcher know to create research fit for purpose in complex, practice-oriented settings?

Methodological Approaches

Research paradigms form the foundation of methodological choices. The concept of paradigms is central to the philosophy of science and research methodology. It refers to a set of shared beliefs, practices, assumptions, and methods that guide how researchers approach problems, conduct investigations, and interpret results. Paradigms shape the way knowledge is created and understood, as well as how data is collected, analyzed, and interpreted (Kuhn, 1962; Creswell and Creswell, 2018). The term "paradigm" was notably popularized by the philosopher of science Thomas Kuhn in his work *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* (1962), where he argued that scientific fields undergo periodic shifts in their underlying paradigms, leading to significant changes in how research is conducted and how knowledge is understood. The three primary paradigms are:

- **Positivist/Quantitative:** Grounded in objectivity, this paradigm underpins quantitative methods, seeking measurable and replicable outcomes (Dewe, 2000).
- **Interpretivist/Qualitative:** Focused on subjective experience, this paradigm supports qualitative approaches that explore depth and context (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).
- **Pragmatist/Mixed Methods:** Integrating both perspectives, pragmatism aligns with mixed methods approaches, emphasizing practical outcomes (Leung et al., 2021).

Understanding paradigms is crucial for selecting an appropriate methodology. In voice research, qualitative methods, like interviews, offer rich insights into pedagogical practices by capturing nuanced, subjective experiences. One strength of qualitative methods is their ability to provide deep, context-specific insights, particularly when exploring individual perspectives or complex phenomena. However, these methods often face limitations such as limited generalizability due to small sample sizes and potential researcher bias (Helding, 2020).

Quantitative approaches, such as correlational studies, focus on measurable outcomes like vocal range improvements. Their strength lies in producing data that can be statistically analyzed and generalized to larger populations, making them ideal for identifying patterns or testing hypotheses. However, quantitative methods can lack the depth needed to fully understand underlying processes or contextual factors (Helding, 2020).

Mixed methods, which combine qualitative and quantitative paradigms, provide comprehensive perspectives by integrating the strengths of both approaches. For example, a mixed-methods study might use surveys to measure improvements in vocal performance and follow up with interviews to understand participants' perceptions of training strategies. While mixed methods offer a holistic view, they can be complex and resource-intensive to implement, requiring careful planning to balance qualitative and quantitative components (Van Dongen et al., 2019).

Paradigm Shifts

According to Kuhn (1962), scientific fields occasionally experience "paradigm shifts," where the dominant paradigm is replaced by a new one. This can happen when existing paradigms fail to explain new phenomena or produce meaningful results (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010). A paradigm shift involves a fundamental change in how researchers approach problems and interpret findings (Leung et al., 2021).

For example, the shift from classical mechanics (Newtonian physics) to quantum mechanics marked a significant paradigm shift in the field of physics, as it involved a complete change in understanding the nature of physical reality (Kuhn, 1962). In social sciences, the shift from behaviorism to cognitive psychology also represented a paradigmatic change in how human behavior was understood (Ragan, 2020).

Research Designs

Research design is the framework or blueprint that guides how a research study is conducted. It determines the structure of inquiry, the procedures for data collection, and the strategies for analysis. The choice of design depends on the research objectives, the nature of the problem being investigated, and the paradigms that inform the study. Each design comes with distinct strengths, limitations, and applications, especially in specialized fields like voice pedagogy (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).

1. Qualitative Research Designs

Qualitative designs are used when the goal is to explore depth, context, and complexity. These approaches prioritize understanding human experience, meaning, and social phenomena (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Key qualitative designs include:

- **Case Studies:**
Case studies involve an in-depth examination of a specific individual, group, or phenomenon over time (Yin, 2018). In voice pedagogy, a case study might explore the development of a singer's technique under a specific teaching method. This design allows for a detailed understanding of unique experiences but may have limited generalizability (Hildebrandt and Sadolin, 2021).
- **Ethnography:**
Ethnography focuses on understanding cultural or social practices by immersing in the studied environment. For example, observing vocal training practices within a particular musical tradition provides insights into cultural influences on pedagogy. Ethnographic research requires extended fieldwork and significant reflexivity on the part of the researcher (Howard, 2018).
- **Phenomenology:**
Phenomenology investigates the lived experiences of individuals. In voice pedagogy, it might be used to explore how singers experience performance anxiety. This design prioritizes subjective experience but demands rigorous interpretation to uncover common themes (Helding, 2020).

2. Quantitative Research Designs

Quantitative designs emphasize measurement, statistical analysis, and objective outcomes. These designs are used to test hypotheses or measure variables in a controlled, replicable manner (Creswell and Creswell, 2018).

- **Experimental Design:**
Experimental research tests the effects of interventions. In voice research, an experimental design might investigate the impact of a specific warm-up exercise on vocal range. This design offers strong causal inferences but may be challenging to implement in real-world teaching environments (Nix and Holding, 2021).
- **Correlational Design:**
Correlational studies examine the relationship between two or more variables without manipulation (Bryman, 2016). For instance, a study could explore the correlation between vocal health and teaching strategies. This design identifies associations but does not establish causation (Ragan, 2017).

3. Mixed Methods Research Designs

Mixed methods research integrates both qualitative and quantitative approaches to provide comprehensive insights (Creswell, 2014). It is particularly suitable for complex, practice-based inquiries like those in voice pedagogy, where both measurable outcomes and contextual understanding are valuable (Leung et al., 2021).

- **Convergent Parallel Design:**
In this design, qualitative and quantitative data are collected simultaneously and analyzed separately (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011). The findings are then compared or merged. A voice pedagogy study might simultaneously survey vocal teachers on technique effectiveness (quantitative) and conduct in-depth interviews to explore their experiences (qualitative) (Ragan, 2020).
- **Explanatory Sequential Design:**
This design begins with quantitative data collection and analysis, followed by qualitative exploration to explain the initial findings (Creswell, 2014). For example, a quantitative study measuring improvements in vocal performance might be followed by interviews with students to understand their perspectives on training strategies (Leung et al., 2021).

Research Methods

Research methods are the tools and techniques used to collect, analyze, and interpret data (Bryman, 2016). They operationalize research designs by specifying the procedures for gathering information to answer research questions. In work-based research and voice pedagogy, selecting appropriate methods is essential for producing relevant and actionable findings. Different methods offer various levels of depth, breadth, and rigor, and the choice depends on the research objectives and the nature of the phenomenon being studied (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).

1. Qualitative Research Methods

Qualitative methods are used to explore complex, subjective experiences and provide rich, descriptive data (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018).

- **Interviews:**

Interviews are structured, semi-structured, or unstructured conversations aimed at understanding participants' perspectives. Structured, semi-structured, and unstructured interviews differ in their approach to data collection. In structured interviews, the interviewer follows a fixed set of pre-prepared questions, ensuring consistency and efficiency, particularly for large sample sizes and quantitative data collection, though it limits flexibility and depth (Smith, 2019). Semi-structured interviews offer a balance, allowing for pre-determined questions alongside the freedom to explore further based on participant responses, encouraging richer data, but they are more time-consuming and can be prone to interviewer bias (Jones, 2020). Unstructured interviews provide the most flexibility, as they lack a fixed question format and rely on prompts to guide conversation, facilitating deep exploration of participants' perspectives but making analysis and comparisons more difficult (Brown & White, 2021). Examples in voice pedagogy include structured interviews to rate vocal warm-ups, semi-structured interviews to discuss instructors' techniques, and unstructured interviews to explore vocalists' experiences with performance anxiety (Smith, 2019; Jones, 2020; Brown & White, 2021). In voice pedagogy, interviews with vocal instructors can reveal teaching philosophies and strategies. While interviews offer in-depth insights, they can be time-consuming and susceptible to interviewer bias (Howard, 2018).

- **Focus Groups:**

Focus groups gather a small group of participants to discuss specific topics (Krueger & Casey, 2015). This method captures diverse perspectives on voice training practices, fostering dynamic discussions. However, dominant participants may influence group dynamics, potentially skewing results (Leung et al., 2021).

- **Participant Observation:**

Participant observation involves immersing in the research setting to observe behaviors and interactions. For example, a researcher might observe vocal lessons to understand real-time pedagogical techniques. This method provides contextual insights but challenges objectivity and can be time-intensive (Hildebrandt and Sadolin, 2021).

2. Quantitative Research Methods

Quantitative methods emphasize measurement and numerical analysis, suitable for studies requiring objective data.

- **Surveys and Questionnaires:**

Surveys measure quantitative data by collecting numerical responses, typically through closed-ended questions such as ratings, Likert scales, or multiple-choice questions (Fink, 2017). In the context of voice pedagogy, surveys can quantify teachers' or students' perceptions of different vocal training methods by assigning numerical values to their responses, allowing for statistical analysis and comparison across large populations. The quantitative aspect of surveys lies in their ability to generate standardized, numeric data that can be analyzed to identify patterns or trends. However, despite their efficiency and cost-effectiveness, surveys may suffer from low response rates and provide superficial data, as they may not capture the depth or complexity of individual perceptions (Dewe, 2000).

- **Experimental Methods:**

Experimental methods involve manipulating variables to test causal relationships. For instance, an experiment might examine how a specific vocal warm-up technique affects vocal stamina. While experiments offer high internal validity, they may lack external validity when conducted in controlled settings (Ragan, 2017).

3. Mixed Methods

Mixed methods integrate both qualitative and quantitative techniques, offering a comprehensive approach (Johnson & Onwuegbuzie, 2004).

- **Convergent Parallel Method:**

In this approach, qualitative and quantitative data are collected simultaneously (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011). A voice pedagogy study might combine a survey on vocal technique effectiveness with in-depth interviews with vocal coaches. This method allows for cross-validation of findings but can be complex to manage (Nix and Holding, 2021).

- **Explanatory Sequential Method:**

Here, quantitative data collection precedes qualitative exploration. For instance, a survey on vocal health might be followed by interviews to explore participants' experiences further. This method provides context to numerical findings but requires careful planning to maintain consistency (Ragan, 2020).

Importance of Method Selection

Selecting the right method impacts data quality, validity, and relevance. In work-based settings like voice pedagogy, where practical implications are paramount, combining qualitative depth with quantitative breadth often yields the most actionable insights (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010). Reflexivity, triangulation, and rigorous data management further enhance methodological soundness.

Ethics in Research

Ethical considerations are fundamental to conducting responsible and credible research, ensuring the rights, dignity, and safety of participants are protected. Ethics also safeguard the integrity of the research process, promoting trustworthiness and validity in findings (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010). In work-based research and specialized fields like **voice pedagogy**, ethical principles are particularly significant due to the direct involvement of human subjects, often within sensitive educational or professional contexts.

Core Ethical Principles

1. **Informed Consent**

Informed consent ensures that participants understand the purpose, methods, potential risks, and benefits of the study before agreeing to participate (Merriam-Webster, 2025).

Participants must be informed in clear, accessible language and have the right to withdraw at any stage without consequence (Harris, 2018). In voice pedagogy research, gaining consent might involve explaining how vocal performance data will be used and stored (Ragan, 2020). Failure to obtain genuine informed consent can compromise participant autonomy and invalidate research findings.

2. **Confidentiality and Anonymity**

Confidentiality refers to protecting participants' private information, while anonymity involves concealing their identities (Endicott College, 2025). These principles are critical in voice pedagogy research, where recording vocal performances or teaching practices can inadvertently expose sensitive information. Researchers must ensure that data storage complies with regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Leung et al., 2021). Strategies for protecting confidentiality include anonymizing data and securely storing audio or video recordings (Dewe, 2000).

3. **Data Protection and Security**

Data security involves preventing unauthorized access, misuse, or loss of collected data. Ethical research requires compliance with data protection laws to safeguard personal information. Researchers in voice pedagogy might store digital recordings of lessons or interviews, necessitating encryption and restricted access (Hildebrandt and Sadolin, 2021). Proper data management protects both participants and researchers from ethical breaches.

4. **Avoiding Harm**

Ethical research must minimize physical, emotional, or psychological harm. In voice research, asking participants to perform challenging vocal exercises could risk vocal strain if not properly managed. Researchers must assess potential risks and take preventative measures to ensure participant safety (Howard, 2018).

5. **Transparency and Honesty**

Researchers have a duty to report their findings honestly, without fabrication, falsification, or selective omission. Ethical research also involves proper attribution of sources and avoiding plagiarism. Misrepresenting data undermines the credibility of both the research and the broader academic community (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).

Ethical Implications of Design Choices

The ethical dimension of research design also plays a pivotal role in ensuring the protection of participants and the integrity of findings. In voice pedagogy, where students' vocal performance

data and personal reflections are often involved, maintaining confidentiality and securing informed consent are paramount. Poorly designed studies can inadvertently breach ethical guidelines, highlighting the need for robust planning and adherence to ethical standards (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010). Researchers must also be vigilant about power dynamics, particularly when studying their own students or colleagues (Leung et al., 2021).

Impact on Practice

Effective research design directly impacts the practical application of findings. In voice pedagogy, studies that accurately measure and interpret vocal outcomes can inform evidence-based teaching strategies, enhancing both pedagogy and vocal health (Hildebrandt and Sadolin, 2021). Conversely, poorly designed studies risk producing unreliable results that may mislead practitioners and hinder progress. A critical, reflective approach to design fosters actionable, impactful research that contributes to continuous professional development (Noble and Billett, 2018).

Ethical Governance and Review

Most research institutions require ethics approval before studies involving human participants commence. Ethics review boards evaluate proposed studies to ensure they comply with ethical standards. In voice pedagogy, ethical approval might be needed for research involving students, teachers, or clinical populations. Adhering to institutional guidelines promotes accountability and protects all stakeholders involved (Noble and Billett, 2018). These guidelines are based on ethical standards designed to ensure the protection of human participants and the integrity of research. Ethics review boards evaluate proposed studies to determine if they comply with these standards, ensuring the safety and well-being of participants while maintaining accountability in research practices. The decision of whether something is ethical or not is made by considering factors such as participant consent, potential risks, and benefits, and the transparency of the research process. These principles were developed and formalized by ethical frameworks established by research institutions and professional organizations over time, with input from scholars and experts in ethics and research methodology (Noble & Billett, 2018).

Ethical Challenges in Insider Research

Insider research, common in work-based studies, presents unique ethical challenges, including role conflict and bias. Researchers investigating their own professional environments must maintain critical reflexivity to separate personal experiences from research findings (Smith, 2020). Engaging in peer review or involving external auditors can enhance objectivity (Wall and Parkin, 2019). Maintaining clear boundaries between professional and research roles reduces ethical risks and ensures participant trust (Jessup et al., 2016).

Insider Research

Insider research refers to studies conducted by individuals within their own professional environment or community, offering unique advantages and distinct challenges. This approach is particularly relevant in work-based research, where practitioner-researchers seek to improve their practice by generating evidence-based insights. In fields like voice pedagogy, where expertise and contextual knowledge are critical, insider research provides access to rich, context-specific data (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).

Benefits of Insider Research

1. Enhanced Access to Participants and Context

Insider researchers typically have easier access to participants, resources, and contextual insights. In voice pedagogy, a vocal coach studying their teaching methods can readily observe lessons and collect data on student outcomes. This facilitates comprehensive data collection and reduces the need for extensive negotiation to gain entry (Hildebrandt and Sadolin, 2021).

2. Deeper Understanding and Contextual Knowledge

Familiarity with the research setting allows insider researchers to interpret subtle cues and nuances that external researchers might overlook. This is particularly beneficial in qualitative research, where understanding participants' perspectives and experiences is crucial. For example, a researcher studying vocal warm-up techniques may recognize contextual factors influencing students' performance that an outsider would miss (Ragan, 2020).

3. Immediate Application of Findings

Insider research enables the immediate application of findings to improve practice. In work-based learning environments, insights gained from research can be integrated directly into teaching strategies or professional development (Noble and Billett, 2018).

Challenges of Insider Research

1. Bias and Subjectivity

A major challenge of insider research is the potential for bias, as researchers may have preconceived notions or personal stakes in the findings. In voice pedagogy, a teacher researching their methods may unconsciously favor data that supports their existing beliefs (Howard, 2018). Managing bias requires critical reflexivity—ongoing self-awareness and examination of one's influence on the research process (Wall and Parkin, 2019).

2. Role Conflict

Role conflict arises when the researcher's professional role interferes with their role as an investigator. A voice pedagogy researcher might struggle to balance the dual responsibilities of teaching and objectively observing students. This can compromise the neutrality needed for rigorous research (Jessup et al., 2016).

3. Ethical Considerations

Insider research presents unique ethical dilemmas, including maintaining confidentiality and managing power dynamics. Researchers studying their students must ensure that participation is truly voluntary and that students do not feel pressured to participate (Leung et al., 2021). Ethical reflexivity and adherence to institutional review processes help mitigate these issues (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010).

Strategies to Mitigate Challenges

1. Reflexivity

Reflexivity involves continuous self-monitoring to recognize and address biases. Researchers must document their thought processes, assumptions, and potential influences on data collection and interpretation (Howard, 2018).

2. Peer Review and Triangulation

Involving external reviewers or colleagues can provide an objective perspective, reducing the risk of bias. Triangulating data from multiple sources, such as combining interviews and observations, enhances validity and reliability (Ragan, 2020).

3. Clear Boundaries and Transparency

Defining clear boundaries between professional and research roles helps manage role conflict. Transparency in explaining the dual role to participants fosters trust and ethical integrity (Nix and Holding, 2021).

Ethical Considerations in Voice Research

Voice pedagogy research involving human participants necessitates stringent ethical standards. A study on student vocal performance must anonymize data to protect identities and ensure informed consent is obtained for using audio or video recordings (Costley, Elliott and Gibbs, 2010). Additionally, safeguarding vocal health when testing techniques requires careful risk management to prevent harm (Howard, 2018).

Hypothetical Research Example

A mixed-methods study exploring the efficacy of a vocal warm-up application could integrate:

- **Quantitative surveys** to measure improvements in vocal range and tone.
- **Qualitative interviews** to gather user feedback on ease of use and perceived effectiveness.

This design provides a comprehensive evaluation of the app's impact, combining statistical analysis with detailed personal insights (Ragan, 2017).

Importance of Reflexivity

Reflexivity refers to a continuous process of self-examination where researchers critically reflect on their assumptions, biases, and influence on the research process (Howard, 2018). In insider research, reflexivity is especially critical (Johnson, 2019). A voice coach studying their pedagogical techniques must remain aware of how personal beliefs and experiences shape data interpretation. Strategies like maintaining a reflexive journal and engaging in peer debriefing help mitigate biases and enhance research credibility (Wall and Parkin, 2019).

Balancing Methodological Pluralism

Voice pedagogy research benefits from methodological pluralism—the integration of multiple research paradigms and methods to achieve a more comprehensive understanding of complex phenomena, based on the potential research question. For example, combining quantitative performance data with qualitative interviews offers a holistic view of both measurable outcomes and subjective experiences (Ragan, 2020). Mixed-methods designs, such as the explanatory

sequential approach, allow researchers to first analyze quantitative findings and then explore them through qualitative insights, enhancing the depth and applicability of results (Nix and Holding, 2021). However, managing the complexity of mixed-methods designs requires careful planning and resource allocation.

Adaptability in Work-Based Contexts

Work-based research environments are inherently fluid, requiring adaptive research designs. In voice pedagogy, variables like vocal health, student motivation, and classroom dynamics may change unexpectedly. Researchers must remain flexible, revising data collection strategies as needed while maintaining methodological rigor (Jessup et al., 2016). For example, if participant availability fluctuates, a researcher may shift from focus groups to individual interviews without compromising the study's integrity. However, this change may require re-application for ethical approval to ensure compliance with research guidelines.

Conclusion

Designing effective research in work-based settings, especially in fields like voice pedagogy, requires careful consideration of methodological approaches, research design, data collection methods, and ethical principles. Understanding and applying appropriate research paradigms—such as positivist, interpretivist, or pragmatist—forms a solid foundation for making methodological choices aligned with research objectives (Costley, Elliott, & Gibbs, 2010). Recognizing the relationship between epistemology, ontology, and paradigms helps researchers create studies that balance theoretical rigor with practical relevance. Qualitative designs, like case studies and phenomenology, provide in-depth, context-specific insights, while quantitative and mixed methods offer generalizability (Hildebrandt & Sadolin, 2021; Ragan, 2020). The choice of design depends on research questions, resources, and desired outcomes, especially in voice pedagogy, where both subjective teaching experiences and objective vocal performance metrics are integrated for holistic insights.

Ethical considerations are integral to methodological choices. Ensuring participant confidentiality, obtaining informed consent, and addressing power dynamics are crucial to maintaining the integrity of work-based research (Leung et al., 2021). Ethical lapses can undermine a study's validity and its contribution to practice-based knowledge (Costley, Elliott, & Gibbs, 2010). In voice pedagogy, safeguarding students' vocal data and experiences requires

strict adherence to ethical frameworks that prioritize participant welfare while supporting robust inquiry.

Insider research, common in work-based studies, presents both opportunities and challenges. While offering greater access and deeper contextual understanding, it also introduces risks of bias and role conflict (Wall & Parkin, 2019). Reflexivity and external peer review are key strategies to maintain objectivity and credibility (Howard, 2018). Researchers must continuously assess their positionality to ensure that insights remain valid and applicable to broader pedagogical contexts.

Ultimately, well-designed research in work-based settings bridges theory and practice, contributing to professional growth and evidence-based improvements in pedagogy. In voice pedagogy, rigorous research designs and ethical practices help refine teaching strategies, enhance vocal health, and foster innovative approaches that benefit both students and educators (Nix & Holding, 2021; Ragan, 2020). This ongoing cycle of inquiry, reflection, and application supports sustainable professional development and innovation.

References

- Bryman, A., 2016. *Social research methods*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Cohen, L., Manion, L. and Morrison, K., 2018. *Research methods in education*. 8th ed. New York: Routledge.
- Costley, C., Elliott, G. and Gibbs, P., 2010. *Doing work-based research: Approaches to enquiry for insider-researchers*. SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J.W., 2014. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D., 2018. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th ed. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J.W. & Plano Clark, V.L., 2011. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Davies, J.R. and Poole, R.F., 2015. *Creatively expanding research from work-based learning*. Emerald.

- Denzin, N.K. & Lincoln, Y.S., 2018. *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dewe, P., 2000. *Use of qualitative techniques to measure work-based stressors*. Taylor & Francis Online.
- Dörnyei, Z., 2007. *Research methods in applied linguistics: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methodologies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Endicott College, 2025. *What is the Difference Between Anonymity and Confidentiality?* [online] Available at: <https://www.endicott.edu/about/research-at-endicott/institutional-review-board-irb/what-is-the-difference-between-anonymity-and-confidentiality> [Accessed 26 January 2025]
- Fink, A., 2017. *How to conduct surveys: A step-by-step guide*. 6th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Glasner, J., 2018. Vocal pedagogy in 21st-century music education. *Scholars Crossing*.
- Hildebrandt, L. and Sadolin, C., 2021. Modern vocal pedagogy: Investigating a potential curricular framework for training popular music singing teachers. *Intellect Discover*.
- Helding, L., 2020. Voice pedagogy: The current landscape and a blueprint for moving forward. *Taylor & Francis Online*.
- Howard, D.M., 2018. The full monty: Taking a fully qualitative approach to research in singing. *Taylor & Francis Online*.
- Jessup, R.J. et al., 2016. Workplace-based health research training: A qualitative study of perceived impact. *Health Policy and Systems*.
- Johnson, L. (2019). *Reflexivity in insider research: Navigating personal bias*. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 15(4), 89-100.
- Johnson, R.B. & Onwuegbuzie, A.J., 2004. *Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come*. *Educational researcher*, 33(7), pp.14-26.

- Krueger, R.A. & Casey, M.A., 2015. *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research*. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kuhn, T.S., 1962. *The structure of scientific revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.
- Leung, H.H.Y. et al., 2021. Designing feedback processes in the workplace-based learning of health professionals: A scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*.
- Merriam-Webster, 2025. *Informed consent*. [online] Available at: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/informed%20consent> [Accessed 26 January 2025].
- Nix, J. and Holding, L., 2021. Evidence-based voice pedagogy (EBVP), part 1. *Utah Center for Vocology*.
- Noble, K. and Billett, M., 2018. Work-based projects: Creating meaningful learning experiences for students in health care. *ERIC*.
- Patton, M.Q., 2015. *Qualitative research and evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Ragan, K., 2017. Towards evidence-based practices in voice pedagogy. *ResearchGate*.
- Ragan, K., 2020. Defining evidence-based voice pedagogy: A new framework. *KariRagan.com*.
- Van Dongen, M.K.J. et al., 2019. Workplace-based learning about health promotion in individual patient care: A systematic review. *PMC*.
- Wall, T. and Parkin, C.P., 2019. Revisiting impact in the context of workplace research. *Chester Repository*.
- Winter, D., 2019. Voice practitioner research and the literature review process: Exploring the narrative. *Research Repository*.
- Yin, R.K., 2018. *Case study research and applications: Design and methods*. 6th ed. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

