



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

Programme:

Master of Business Administration

Module:

Human Capital Management | Module Code: LCMB7023

INTEGRATED HUMAN CAPITAL BLUEPRINT:

Aligning People and Performance at Aether Innovations

Submitted by:

[AJITH NAGARAJAN]

Student ID: [2525947]

Submission Date: 19 March 2026

Table of Contents

PART 1: Building a Culture of Innovation and Future Work Practices	3
1.1 Introduction: The Innovation Imperative in Tech Companies	3
1.2 Current Practices in Culture and Work: An Evidence-Based Diagnosis.....	3
1.2.1 Siloed Departmental Structures	3
1.2.2 Command-and-Control Management Practices	3
1.2.3 Absence of Structured Innovation Mechanisms	4
1.3 Recommended Cultural and Structural Initiatives	4
1.3.1 Innovation Sprints	4
1.3.2 Intrapreneurship Programme	5
1.3.3 Cross-Functional Teams	5
1.4 Proposing a Future of Work Model: Hybrid/Remote Design	6
1.4.1 The Structured Hybrid Model	6
1.4.2 Digital Collaboration Infrastructure	6
1.4.3 Manager Enablement for Hybrid Leadership.....	7
1.5 How the New Approach Motivates and Enables Optimal Performance	7
1.6 Conclusion to Part 1.....	8
PART 2: Personal Reflection	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Reflective Account Using Gibbs' Reflective Cycle (1988)	9
Stage 1: Description — What Happened?	9
Stage 2: Feelings — What Were You Thinking and Feeling?	9
Stage 3: Evaluation — What Was Good and Bad About the Experience?	9
Stage 4: Analysis — What Sense Can You Make of the Situation?	10
Stage 5: Conclusion — What Else Could You Have Done?.....	10
Stage 6: Action Plan — What Will You Do Next?.....	11
2.3 Staying Relevant in the Age of Artificial Intelligence	11
References	12

PART 1: Building a Culture of Innovation and Future Work Practices

1.1 Introduction: The Innovation Imperative in Tech Companies

Aether Innovations represents a group of mid-growth technology firms that find themselves, according to Karanth et al (2023), in what they refer to as the success trap, and as a result of initial rapid growth, they become operationally rigid, and thus they strangle the very exploratory culture that originally gave them a competitive advantage. The studies conducted continuously confirm that culture is a major factor that defines sustained innovation. According to Schein (2010), organizational culture refers to the pattern of common basic assumptions acquired by a group as it encountered its external adaptation and internal integration issues. When the assumption is solidified on efficiency instead of experimentation, innovation dies.

1.2 Current Practices in Culture and Work: An Evidence-Based Diagnosis

It is necessary to know the practice before prescribing remedies. According to the given scenario, Aether Innovations demonstrates three structural dysfunctions that are typically reported in the existing literature on technological companies.

1.2.1 Siloed Departmental Structures

The flow of knowledge across organizational boundaries is hindered by strict functional barriers. These behaviours, the most spontaneous, cross-boundary problem-solving, best related to the production of creative solutions, are suppressed in siloed organisations, as Edmondson and Harvey (2018) show. When the engineers, product managers, and customer-success professionals do not work together on a regular basis, systemic customer sources of pain are not tackled, which directly leads to decreased satisfaction scores.

1.2.2 Command-and-Control Management Practices

The labor market tends to implement autocratic management with high turnover. Pink (2011) confirms using the self-determination theory that intrinsic motivation, autonomy, mastery, and purpose that are specific to knowledge workers cannot be supported in the presence of extrinsic control. Talented individuals move to organisations that provide a

higher level of agency when managers in technology companies specify the way work is to be completed, as opposed to the results required.

1.2.3 Absence of Structured Innovation Mechanisms

Most technology firms assume that being geographically close to technology gives an innovative culture. Amabile (1998) proves that creativity only thrives in organisations that can supply appropriate resources, managerial support, and work challenges. Lacking of the official systems like specially allocated times of innovation, hackathons or idea-pipeline systems, innovation will be ad hoc and reliant upon heroic efforts on an individual basis as opposed to systematic facilitation.

Table 1: Diagnostic Summary Aether Innovations' Cultural Deficiencies

Dysfunction	Organisational Impact	Theoretical Lens
Siloed structures	Restricted knowledge flow; unresolved customer pain	Edmondson & Harvey (2018): teaming theory
Command-and-control management	Reduced autonomy; elevated turnover	Pink (2011): self-determination theory
No formal innovation mechanisms	Flat growth; missed market opportunities	Amabile (1998): componential model of creativity

1.3 Recommended Cultural and Structural Initiatives

1.3.1 Innovation Sprints

Based on Agile methodology (Beck et al., 2001), Aether needs to establish quarterly 72-hour innovation sprints where teams of cross-disciplinary staff are tasked to prototype solutions to strategically identified challenges. The practice has been demonstrated to be effective in this specific way (Atlassian, 2019). Sprints make effective use of the slack time, which Karanth et al (2023) believe is essential to ambidextrous organisations, those that can both exploit the available resources and experiment with new ones.

It must be implemented in a three-step cadence, that is: (i) a pre-sprint scoping phase, where the top leadership will identify four to six challenge statements based on strategic goals; (ii) a 72-hour sprint, where teams will self-organize around selected challenges; and (iii) a showcase post-sprint, where prototypes will be reviewed to make investment

decisions. Most importantly, the participation should be voluntary to maintain the intrinsic motivation (Ryan and Deci, 2000).

1.3.2 Intrapreneurship Programme

Aether should establish a formal intrapreneurship programme modelled on Google's now-famous 20%-time policy (Iyer and Davenport, 2008), allocating employees one day per fortnight to pursue self-generated innovation projects. To prevent this from becoming unfocused, the programme should include structured milestones: concept submission, feasibility review, and pilot approval (Amo, 2010).

The programme should be anchored to Amabile's (1998) componential model, which identifies domain-relevant skills, creativity-relevant processes, and intrinsic task motivation as the three components of individual creativity. HR's role is to ensure all three are present: skills through targeted learning and development, creativity processes through design-thinking workshops, and motivation through recognition and a no-penalty failure policy.

1.3.3 Cross-Functional Teams

Proper functioning is also caused by permanent organizational restructuring to cross-functional teams, which gets to the root of the problem. Instead of project-based matrices that retain functional home bases and the political loyalty that comes with them, Aether is recommended to adopt product-based squads that are based on the Spotify model. Every squad has end-to-end accountability of a product region, and consists of engineers, designers, product managers, data analysts, and a customer success representative.

Customer success in the squads is significant. Majchrzak et al (2012) also believe that HR generates value when organisations are able to develop customer-centric capabilities. The incorporation of the voice of the customer into the everyday task of the team provides a feedback loop linking individual effort with customer results, a direct intervention against the decreased customer satisfaction rates at Aether.

Table 2: Initiative Implementation Roadmap

Initiative	Timeframe	Lead Responsibility	Success Metric
Innovation Sprints	Month 1–3	• HR • CPO	No. of prototypes advanced to pilot

Intrapreneurship Programme	Month 2–6	• HR • Line Managers	Employee engagement score; idea submissions
Cross-Functional Squads	Month 3–9	• HR • CEO • CTO	CSAT improvement; inter-team collab index
Psychological Safety Training	Month 1–4	• HR • L&D Team	Edmondson Psychological Safety Survey

(Source: Author's own construction)

1.4 Proposing a Future of Work Model: Hybrid/Remote Design

The COVID-19 pandemic increased the pace of experimental distributed work; however, the evidence of the best hybrid design has now reached a higher level. A planned hybrid system is not merely an arranging convenience; however, it is a strategic instrument of talent acquisition, retention, and creative work. Gratton (2022) contends that the future of work demands organisations to make conscious decisions regarding the architecture of time and place that identifies which jobs are better fulfilled when in physical co-presence or when in a remote place with individual deep work.

1.4.1 The Structured Hybrid Model

Aether should implement a hybrid model of three days in the office per week: one day of team building, which consists of collaborative activities, team meetings, onboarding, mentoring, and social bonding, and one day of deep work, independent project creation, and reflection.

More importantly, tasks that can be done asynchronously should not be carried out during the in-office days, e.g., status update meetings. It is what Gratton (2022) suggests to refer to as intentional co-presence: the arrangement of office hours to achieve the greatest utility of physical closeness, unforeseen encounter, non-verbal cues, and communal energy.

1.4.2 Digital Collaboration Infrastructure

Hybrid work also involves strategic investment in digital infrastructure to ensure that remote workers are not reduced to second-class citizens. Aether must to apply

asynchronous-first standards of communication via collaborative tools like Notion or Confluence to document it, Loom to update asynchronous video, and organized protocols of virtual-first meetings, which provide equal visibility to remote attendees (Zoppelletto and Orlandi, 2022).

1.4.3 Manager Enablement for Hybrid Leadership

The hybrid model imposes additional burdens on line managers who now have to lead both in time and space. According to the CIPD (2022), a great number of managers do not have the competencies to handle hybrid teams successfully, but choose to either micromanage employees working remotely or ignore it. Aether needs to invest in a customized Hybrid Leadership programme of every people manager, including the results-oriented performance management, virtual psychological safety practices, and integrative meeting facilitation. This goes together with the updated HR competency model created by Ulrich et al. (2017), which makes the managers who become comfortable with uncertainty and conflicting requirements known as paradox navigators, who are vital in making organizations more adaptable.

1.5 How the New Approach Motivates and Enables Optimal Performance

People strategy should be an integrated strategy that complements itself. The proposed model is based on the Job Characteristics Model proposed by Wulf and Lewthwaite (2016), as the identification of five essential job dimensions, namely, skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback, as the predictors of intrinsic motivation. Table 3 contextualizes the proposed initiatives against these dimensions.

Table 3: Mapping Initiatives to Wulf and Lewthwaite ‘s (2016) Job Characteristics Model

Job Characteristic	Proposed Initiative	Expected Motivational Outcome
Skill Variety	Cross-functional squads; innovation sprints	Broadened competence; reduced role monotony
Task Identity	Squad ownership of end-to-end product areas	Increased sense of ownership and accountability

Task Significance	Customer success embedded in squads	Direct connection between effort and customer impact
Autonomy	Intrapreneurship programme; hybrid working	Elevated intrinsic motivation; reduced turnover intention
Feedback	Sprint showcases; OKR cadences	Timely performance data; continuous improvement

In addition to motivating individuals, the integrated model also deals with Aether systemic performance issues. According to Boxall and Purcell (2016), competitive advantage is created by the combination of how high-performance work systems enable HR practices such as selective hiring, broad-based training, team working, and participation, which create competitive advantages through their respective impact on employee ability, motivation, and opportunity (the AMO framework). All three of the AMO levers, cross-functional squads (ability by sharing knowledge), intrapreneurship and hybrid autonomy (motivation); and innovation sprints (opportunity) are addressed by the proposed initiatives.

Lastly, change management should be mentioned. The eight-step change model by Kotter (1996) recommends that effective change must have a robust guiding coalition, a shared vision, and initial wins in order to have momentum. The leadership of Aether has to sponsor the cultural change visibly, and the initial innovation sprint cycle should have a measurable and publicized outcome that is expected in ninety days. This establishes a demonstration of feasibility that justifies the overall programme and overcomes the cynicism of innovations theatre.

1.6 Conclusion

It concludes that Aether Innovations faces stagnating growth, high turnover, and decreasing customer satisfaction, which are the natural outcomes of a culture that focuses on the efficiency of operations rather than human-centered innovation. The final measure of their success will be longitudinal, measured in terms of employee engagement indices, Net Promoter Scores, and growth rate in revenue, but the theoretical and practical background offered here is impressive in terms of a baseline to implement it with a certain level of confidence.

PART 2: Personal Reflection

2.1 Introduction

This reflection examines the personal learning experience that I acquired throughout the Strategic HRM module and how it would translate into personal professional growth. Based on the structure provided by Gibbs (1988) Reflective Cycle, and its application to my personal development, I can explore ways in which the theoretical concepts of the module have impacted my conceptualization of human capital strategy and how I can put the findings into practice to adoptive my own culture of innovation and growth thinking. The reflection ends by considering my intentions of staying professionally relevant as the nature of work evolves further due to artificial intelligence.

2.2 Reflective Account Using Gibbs' Reflective Cycle (1988)

Stage 1: Description — What Happened?

Before doing this module, I had an instrumental view of HRM as I knew that HR was a support department that mainly dealt with recruitment, compliance, and payroll management. The resources I read were limited to those with a practitioner focus, and I did not get much exposure to the theoretical basis underpinning strategic people management. This limited conception was confronted in the very module.

Stage 2: Feelings — What Were You Thinking and Feeling?

My first emotional reaction was the intellectual discomfort, or, as Feely et al (2022) refer to it, the dilemma of disorientation, a difficulty with the current frame of reference. I had felt fairly confident about my knowledge in HR operations and initially felt the theoretical saturation of the academic literature was alienating. The reversion to practitioner commentary instead of being involved in peer-reviewed research was an allure since the latter presented a more advanced level of critical thought.

Stage 3: Evaluation — What Was Good and Bad About the Experience?

The combination of theory and practice was the most useful element of the module. This module required these to be synthesized at all times, unlike in some academic programmes where they are considered to be parallel tracks. It was necessary that in

writing the Aether report I should have applied theory not as decoration but as an instrument of analysis - to prescribe, to recommend, and to justify intelligibly. That is the approach to the evidence-based practice, which is the very characteristic of the next-generation HR professionals that the CIPD (2022) identifies.

Stage 4: Analysis — What Sense Can You Make of the Situation?

In view of this learning experience, I can observe that my uneasiness with theory was an overarching professional behavior: a tendency to dislike reflective action over action per se, which Schon (1983) cites as the main limitation to the cultivation of the reflective practitioner competency. I came to know that companies with stagnant cultures and disinterested workers document quantifiable productivity declines, which directly correlate with diluted human capital practices with a slowed and unsustainable growth curve that Anther is undergoing in its competitive markets today.

Schon recommends that the professionals generate knowledge through action, creating, meaning doing, but that this tacit knowledge would have to be uncovered by conscious reflection to render generalizable. The former leads to blindly repeating patterns, but the latter results in the acquisition of adaptive expertise in practitioners.

Stage 5: Conclusion — What Else Could You Have Done?

The proposed integrated HR strategy that will involve innovation sprints, intrapreneurship, cross-functional teams, and the systematic model of hybrid working is based on the accepted theory of HRM and backed by the current empirical data. The most important point is that these interventions do not exclude each other, but rather complement each other: as a complex, they determine the environment of a self-sustaining culture of creativity, involvement, and customer orientation

In observation, I would have gained an advantage by having a personal reading rhythm at the beginning of the module and not reacting to the assignment deadlines. According to Kolb (1984), successful learning is a process of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation, a process that involves going through all four stages, not only the experiential ones. My process of

learning was very much front-loaded (doing the assignment) and not invested in reflection and conceptualization.

Stage 6: Action Plan — What Will You Do Next?

The three commitments are the foundation of my action plan in further learning and professional development. To start with, I will build a systematic reading routine: I will dedicate myself to reading one peer-reviewed article in HRM or organizational behaviours on a weekly basis, which I will choose in the journals of the International Journal of Human Resource Management, Human Resource Management Journal, or the Academy of Management Review. Second, I will also be active in searching a community of practice by joining the local branch of CIPD and participating in peer discussions which will help me tie the theory and live organizational struggles. Third, I will use Gibbs cycle as a routine reflective resource - developing a reflective journal and writing about professional experiences and putting them through systematic analysis.

2.3 Staying Relevant in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

The issue of professional relevance in a world of ever-increasing AI is one that all knowledge workers should face with integrity. Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) note that cognitive automation is no longer limited to routine work; it is now invading pattern recognition, data analysis, and report generation, and even aspects of strategic planning that up till then were the preserve of human beings. This is an opportunity and a threat to the HR professionals.

My relevance strategy will focus on the ability to provide capabilities that AI has not yet mastered, the ability to focus on the context, make ethical decisions, build a relationship of trust, and create their stories. Davenport and Kirby (2016) introduce the process of stepping up to AI - performing more complex tasks that AI cannot do, but can facilitate - as the most productive way of human response to automation. This, in practice, implies that I will be positioning myself as an interpreter and strategist who will see AI-generated insights as inputs to a human decision, as opposed to a producer of the analyses that AI can generate more easily and at a significantly reduced cost.

References

- Amabile, T.M. (1998) 'How to kill creativity', *Harvard Business Review*, 76(5), pp. 76–87.
- Beck, K., Beedle, M., van Bennekum, A., Cockburn, A., Cunningham, W., Fowler, M., Grenning, J., Highsmith, J., Hunt, A., Jeffries, R., Kern, J., Marick, B., Martin, R.C., Mellor, S., Schwaber, K., Sutherland, J. and Thomas, D. (2001) *Manifesto for Agile Software Development*. Available at: <https://agilemanifesto.org> (Accessed: 10 March 2026).
- Boxall, P. and Purcell, J. (2016) *Strategy and Human Resource Management*. 4th edn. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2014) *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Davenport, T.H. and Kirby, J. (2016) *Only Humans Need Apply: Winners and Losers in the Age of Smart Machines*. New York: Harper Business.
- Edmondson, A.C. and Harvey, J.F. (2018) 'Cross-boundary teaming for innovation: Integrating research on teams and knowledge in organizations', *Human Resource Management Review*, 28(4), pp. 347–360.
- Gratton, L. (2022) *Redesigning Work: How to Transform Your Organization and Make Hybrid Work for Everyone*. London: MIT Press.
- Wulf, G. and Lewthwaite, R., 2016. Optimizing performance through intrinsic motivation and attention for learning: The OPTIMAL theory of motor learning. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 23(5), pp.1382-1414.
- Iyer, B. and Davenport, T.H. (2008) 'Reverse engineering Google's innovation machine', *Harvard Business Review*, 86(4), pp. 58–68.
- Kolb, D.A. (1984) *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Kotter, J.P. (1996) *Leading Change*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Feely, M., Garcia Iriarte, E., Adams, C., Johns, R., Magee, C., Mooney, S., Murray, A., Turley, M. and Yap, M.L., 2022. Journeys from discomfort to comfort: how do university

students experience being taught and assessed by adults with intellectual disabilities? *Disability & Society*, 37(6), pp.993-1017.

Pink, D.H. (2011) *Drive: The Surprising Truth About What Motivates Us*. New York: Riverhead Books.

Amo, B.W., 2010. Corporate entrepreneurship and intrapreneurship related to innovation behaviour among employees. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*, 2(2), pp.144-158.

Ryan, R.M. and Deci, E.L. (2000) 'Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being', *American Psychologist*, 55(1), pp. 68–78.

Schein, E.H. (2010) *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. 4th edn. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Schon, D. (1983) *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. New York: Basic Books.

Karant, R., Karant, Y. and Chopra, A., 2023. Innovation—a strategic imperative. In *Constructive Discontent in Execution* (pp. 85-101). Apple Academic Press.

Majchrzak, A., More, P.H. and Faraj, S., 2012. Transcending knowledge differences in cross-functional teams. *Organization science*, 23(4), pp.951-970.

Ulrich, D., Kryscynski, D., Ulrich, M. and Brockbank, W. (2017) *Victory Through Organization: Why the War for Talent is Failing Your Company and What You Can Do About It*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Zoppelletto, A. and Orlandi, L.B., 2022. Cultural and digital collaboration infrastructures as sustainability enhancing factors: A configurational approach. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 179, p.121645.