



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

LEADING DIGITAL AND STRATEGIC CHANGE



Shikder Sujan,
Student number: 2115669

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
Main Findings	5
Strategic Analysis of Tesla	5
Recommendations for Strategic Reshaping and Change Implementation.....	17
Conclusion	21
Recommendations	22
References	24

List of Tables

<u>Table 1 Strategic Opportunities for Tesla</u>	9
<u>Table 2 Strategic Threats to Tesla</u>	10
<u>Table 3 Strategic Options for Tesla</u>	11
<u>Table 4 Success Likelihood Assessment</u>	14
<u>Table 5 Recommendations and Kotter's Steps</u>	16
<u>Table 6 Wider Implications</u>	17

Executive Summary

This report supports Tesla's senior management in reshaping its strategic direction amid digital change to ensure resilience and competitive advantage. Tesla, a leader in electric vehicles (EVs) and sustainable energy, faces a rapidly evolving landscape marked by technological disruption and intense competition. The purpose is to provide actionable insights for navigating these challenges, focusing on the impact of digital transformation on Tesla's strategy.

The analysis centers on Tesla's global operations, producing over 1.84 million vehicles in 2023, and its 19.1% U.S. EV market share in 2024. It examines the digital context—AI, IoT, and autonomous driving—amid threats like a rival's 3.02 million EV sales and a 15% semiconductor shortage. The report employs PESTLE and Porter's Five Forces for strategic analysis, Transformational and Situational Leadership theories for evaluating choices, and Kotter's 8-Step Process for change implementation.

Findings reveal opportunities in AI-driven production, market expansion (e.g., India's 150% EV sales surge), and sustainability, balanced against risks from cybersecurity and competition. Leadership analysis suggests aggressive digital adoption (70% success likelihood) suits Musk's transformative style, while incremental change (75% success) leverages situational adaptability. Recommendations include enhancing AI for 20% production efficiency, launching a sub-\$30,000 EV for 20% market share growth, improving digital engagement to cut downtime by 25%, and reducing emissions by 40% by 2030.

This strategy ensures Tesla's resilience by addressing digital threats and seizing opportunities, reinforcing its competitive edge. Leadership, blending vision and flexibility, is critical to driving this transformation, positioning Tesla as a digital and sustainable leader.

Introduction

Tesla, Inc., founded in 2003 by Martin Eberhard and Marc Tarpenning, with Elon Musk joining as a key figure in 2004, stands as a pioneering force in the electric vehicle (EV) and sustainable energy sectors. Headquartered in Austin, Texas, Tesla's mission is to accelerate the world's transition to sustainable energy through innovative products like the Model S, Model 3, and Model Y, and energy storage solutions such as Powerwall (Tesla, 2025). With manufacturing facilities in the United States, China, and Germany, Tesla has established itself as a global leader, producing over 1.84 million vehicles in 2023 alone (Forbes, 2024). Its industry position is formidable, commanding a 19.1% share of the U.S. EV market in 2024, despite growing competition (Krisher, 2022). Tesla's relevance to digital change is profound, as it leverages artificial intelligence (AI), over-the-air software updates, and connected car technologies to redefine automotive standards, positioning it at the forefront of the industry's digital transformation.

This report aims to support Tesla's senior management in creatively reshaping the organisation's strategic direction in the context of digital change, ensuring future resilience and sustainable competitive advantage. As digital technologies like AI and the Internet of Things (IoT) reshape manufacturing, customer engagement, and vehicle functionality, Tesla must adapt to maintain its edge. Global EV sales reached 14 million units in 2023, a 35% increase from 2022, driven by policies like the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act and consumer demand for sustainability (IEA, 2024). However, challenges like supply chain disruptions and competitors like BYD, with 3.02 million EV sales in 2023, necessitate a strategic overhaul (Gratton, 2025). This report aims to provide actionable insights grounded in theoretical frameworks to navigate these dynamics effectively.

The report is structured to deliver a comprehensive analysis and recommendations. First, a strategic analysis will evaluate Tesla's environment using the PESTLE framework, assessing digital developments' impact on its strategy, and identifying opportunities and threats. This section will draw on data showing AI's projected \$7 billion market value in automotive by 2027 (Apriorit, 2021). Second, it will explore Tesla's strategic choices through two leadership theories - Transformational and Situational - evaluating their success potential, informed by Musk's leadership style (Rubix Studios, 2024). Finally, recommendations will propose

reshaping Tesla's direction, such as expanding affordable EV models, and outline change implementation strategies, considering broader organisational implications.

This foundation prepares Tesla's management to address digital disruption proactively. By aligning innovation with market trends, like the 44% of consumers preferring eco-friendly vehicles (Deloitte, 2024), and leveraging leadership insights, Tesla can reinforce its resilience and competitive advantage in a rapidly evolving landscape.

Main Findings

Strategic Analysis of Tesla

Tesla operates in a dynamic global environment shaped by rapid digital transformation and evolving market demands. This section employs the PESTLE framework to evaluate its strategic position, assessing political, economic, social, technological, legal, and environmental factors, supplemented by Porter's Five Forces to gauge competitive pressures. It then examines the impact of digital developments on Tesla's current strategy, identifies opportunities and threats in this digital context, and proposes strategic options to ensure resilience and competitive advantage.

PESTLE Analysis

The PESTEL model is a strategic tool that is adopted to analyse the macro environment factors that may affect an organisation. It explores Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal factors, allowing businesses to find out about external factors that may influence their business, position on the market, and long-term strategy.

Political Factors: Government policies significantly influence Tesla's operations. The U.S. Inflation Reduction Act offers tax credits of up to \$7,500 for EV purchases, boosting demand (Stowe, 2023). The EU's target of 100% zero-emission car sales by 2035 aligns with Tesla's mission, while China's goal of 20% zero-emission vehicle (ZEV) sales by 2025 supports its Shanghai Gigafactory output (IEA, 2021). However, U.S.-China trade tensions, with tariffs

on EV components rising by 10% in 2024, increase production costs, necessitating agile supply chain strategies.

Economic Factors: The global economy shapes Tesla's market. In 2024, EU GDP growth stabilised at 1.5%, with inflation at 2.6%, enhancing consumer purchasing power (ACEA, 2025). Low interest rates facilitate vehicle financing, yet raw material costs, such as lithium, rose 10% year-over-year in 2023, impacting profitability (Statista, 2023). Global car sales reached 74.6 million units in 2024, with EVs comprising 19%, reflecting economic recovery and EV adoption trends (Markets and Markets, 2023).

Social Factors: Consumer preferences increasingly favour sustainability, with 44% of global buyers prioritising eco-friendly vehicles (Deloitte, 2024). Gen Z and Millennials, comprising 60% of EV buyers, drive demand, particularly in urban areas where 56% of the population resides by 2025 (Mohamed, 2024). This shift supports Tesla's brand but requires tailored marketing to diverse demographics.

Technological Factors: Digital advancements are central to Tesla's strategy. AI in automotive, valued at \$2.3 billion in 2022, is projected to reach \$7 billion by 2027, enhancing autonomous driving and manufacturing (Krishna, 2024). IoT enables connected car services, with 44% of firms exploring AI applications (Rafalski, 2024). Like solid-state technology, battery innovations promise 50% longer ranges by 2030, reducing costs and reinforcing Tesla's technological edge (Tech Target, 2024).

Legal Factors: Stricter emissions regulations, such as the EU's CO2 targets, mandate cleaner vehicles, with fines of up to €15,000 per non-compliant unit (Pichler et al., 2021). Data privacy laws like GDPR require robust cybersecurity for connected cars, with breaches risking 4% of global turnover penalties (Fortune, 2023). Tesla's 3,000+ patents protect its innovations, providing a legal advantage (Schreiber et al., 2024).

Environmental Factors: The automotive sector's 12% contribution to global CO2 emissions in 2023 pressures Tesla to lead in sustainability (VIRTA, 2024). Climate change, with a 50% increase in extreme weather since 2000, disrupts supply chains, particularly lithium sourcing, expected to triple in demand by 2030 (Chicagofed, 2022). Tesla's renewable energy focus aligns with these pressures but requires scalable solutions.

PESTEL analysis of Tesla demonstrates some of the most important opportunities and threats. Politically, favorable policies help growth, but commercial tensions pose threats. Economically, there is strong demand for EVs, but the prices of materials affect the profitability. Social trends are in support of sustainability, in line with what Tesla strives for the companies, and technological advancement necessitates constant adaptation. Challenges of legal and environmental factors provide a conglomerate of opportunities and regulatory burdens. On an overall basis, Tesla's strategy is well-positioned but requires agility to deal with external uncertainties.

Porter's Five Forces Analysis of Tesla

Porter's Five Forces framework evaluates Tesla's competitive landscape, assessing the threat of new entrants, bargaining power of suppliers, bargaining power of buyers, threat of substitutes, and industry rivalry. This analysis highlights the forces shaping Tesla's strategic position in the electric vehicle (EV) market as of 2025.

Threat of New Entrants (Moderate): Entering the EV industry requires significant capital and technological expertise, creating high barriers. Tesla's established brand and production scale - delivering 1.84 million vehicles in 2023 deters new competitors (Forbes, 2024). However, government incentives, such as the U.S. Inflation Reduction Act's \$7,500 tax credits, lower entry costs for startups (Stowe, 2023). Emerging players like Rivian and Lucid challenge Tesla, but its 3,000+ patents provide a protective moat (Schreiber et al., 2024). The threat remains moderate due to these dual dynamics.

Bargaining Power of Suppliers (High): Tesla relies on a complex supply chain for batteries, semiconductors, and raw materials like lithium. A 15% semiconductor supply gap in 2024, persisting into 2025, gives suppliers leverage, delaying production timelines (Clarke et al., 2024). Lithium prices rose 10% year-over-year in 2023, further increasing supplier power (Statista, 2023). Tesla mitigates this through vertical integration, such as its Nevada Gigafactory, but dependence on external suppliers for critical components keeps its bargaining power high (Tesla, 2024).

Bargaining Power of Buyers (Moderate): Consumers have increasing options as EV adoption grows, with global sales reaching 14 million units in 2023 (IEA, 2024). Competitors like BYD and Ford offer lower-cost alternatives, pressuring Tesla's premium pricing strategy (Pereira, 2023). However, Tesla's loyal customer base and unique features, like over-the-air updates reducing recall costs by 30%, temper buyer power (NTT DATA, 2019). The 44% of consumers prioritising sustainability also aligns with Tesla's brand, balancing this force at a moderate level (Deloitte, 2024).

Threat of Substitutes (Low): Traditional internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles remain the primary substitute, but their relevance wanes as EVs gain traction - 19% of global car sales in 2024 were electric (Markets and Markets, 2023). Public transport and hybrids pose minor threats, yet Tesla's focus on performance and sustainability, backed by a 50% increase in energy storage installations in 2023, reduces substitution risks (Tesla, 2025). Government policies, like the EU's 2035 zero-emission target, further diminish this threat (IEA, 2021).

Industry Rivalry (High): Competition in the EV sector is intense. BYD sold 3.02 million EVs in 2023, surpassing Tesla's volume, while Ford captured 20% of the U.S. EV market in 2024 (Rest of World, 2025). Chinese manufacturers leverage cost advantages, eroding Tesla's 19.1% U.S. share (Krisher, 2022). Tesla's AI-driven Full Self-Driving technology offers a competitive edge, but rivals' rapid innovation heightens rivalry (Rafalski, 2024). This force remains high, demanding constant strategic adaptation.

Tesla's Porter's Five Forces displays intense rivalry among its competitors. Supplier power, particularly of critical materials such as lithium and semiconductors, is threatening. Buyer power is moderate because of Tesla's brand loyalty, but the increasing rivalry from other alternatives influences pricing. Although substitutes are low, the fight is extremely high, especially from new competitors. A supply chain challenge and competition in the industry threaten to dethrone Tesla as one of the world's leaders. However, its technological edge and brand strength provide it with a competitive advantage.

Impact of Digital Developments on Tesla's Strategy

The automotive industry is undergoing a significant transformation driven by digital change, with companies like Tesla leading the charge. The adoption of digital technologies such as AI-powered autonomous driving, IoT-connected services, and digital twins in manufacturing

is reshaping the industry's competitive landscape. Tesla's strategic use of Full Self-Driving (FSD) technology and over-the-air updates provides a clear advantage in innovation, cost reduction, and operational efficiency.

Digital technologies underpin Tesla's current strategy. Autonomous driving, powered by AI, is a cornerstone, with Full Self-Driving (FSD) capabilities advancing towards Level 5 autonomy by 2030 (Oranim, 2024). Over-the-air updates reduce recall costs by 30%, enhancing efficiency (NTT DATA, 2019). IoT-driven connected services provide predictive maintenance, cutting downtime by 25%, while digital twins in manufacturing reduce production time by 15% (Agapow, 2024). These advancements strengthen Tesla's innovation leadership but require continuous investment to stay ahead.

Strategic Opportunities, Threats, and Options for Tesla

Tesla's strategic direction amid digital change requires capitalising on opportunities, addressing threats, and implementing robust options. This analysis leverages current industry data to identify key factors and propose strategies for resilience and competitive advantage.

Strategic Opportunities

Digital Innovation

The emphasis of Tesla on the development of AI and Full Self-Driving (FSD) technologies is in line with Ansoff's Product Development Strategy, as Tesla is improving existing products to promote market leadership. This also conforms to Porter's Differentiation Strategy, whereby Tesla provides innovative and distinctive products to warrant high pricing.

Market Expansion

The move to penetrate the emerging economies, such as India, conforms to Ansoff's Market Development Strategy that targets new geographical areas. With its affordable models, Tesla can win price-sensitive customers, which suits Porter's Focus Strategy, as they customize the products to the needs of the market.

Energy Solutions

The diversification strategy, as observed by Ansoff, fits Tesla's diversification into energy products such as Powerwall, away from sales of vehicles. Such diversification is also

supportive of Porter's Cost Leadership Strategy because Tesla can benefit from economies of scale and manufacturing expertise in the energy industry.

Sustainability Leadership

Tesla's focus on sustainability complements Ansoff's Product Development Strategy as it enhances existing products to cater to increasing consumer needs for eco-friendly products. This also shows Porter's Differentiation Strategy because Tesla is the leader in sustainable measures and therefore gains market position by this side of the business.

Table 1: Strategic Opportunities for Tesla

Opportunity	Description	Data Point
Digital Innovation	Boost AI for Full Self-Driving	\$7B AI market by 2027 (Apriorit, 2021)
Market Expansion	Target India and similar markets	150% EV sales growth (VIRTA, 2024)
Energy Solutions	Expand storage and solar offerings	50% increase in 2023 (Tesla, 2024)
Sustainability	Align with green consumer trends	44% prefer eco-friendly (Deloitte, 2024)

Strategic Threats

Cybersecurity Risks

Tesla's overreliance on connected vehicle technologies exposes the firm to cyber risk. Such vulnerability needs strong defences and is consistent with Porter's Generic Cost Leadership Strategy to control the risks in the operation. The investment in cybersecurity needs to keep the balance between cost management and the trust of customers, a vital factor in maintaining Tesla's difference.

Competition

Sharp competition by such companies as BYD, FORD, and the threat of cheaper Chinese EVs compromise Tesla's share of the market. This state is associated with Porter's

Competitive Rivalry force and Ansoff's market penetration strategy, which dictates that Tesla needs to improve on product differentiation and market positioning to gear against heightening competition in existing markets.

Supply Chain Disruptions

Global matters of supply chains, such as a shortage of semiconductors, compromise Tesla's production efficiency. This challenge relates to Porter's Generic Cost Leadership Strategy, since Tesla has to address supply chain costs in a way that allows for the production output to be sustained. A vertically integrated approach can be used to mitigate such risks.

Technological Obsolescence

AI technological evolution is always rapid and, therefore, a threat of obsolescence looms. This conforms to Ansoff's Product Development Strategy that demands continuous innovation and massive investment in R&D to maintain an edge over competitors, to make sure Tesla's products do not lag in technology.

Table 2: Strategic Threats to Tesla

Threat	Description	Data Point
Cybersecurity	Increased attacks on connected systems	20% rise in 2024 (SnoQap, 2019)
Competition	Rivals like BYD and Ford gain traction	BYD: 3.02M EVs in 2023 (Rest of World, 2025)
Supply Chain	Shortages in semiconductors and lithium	15% gap in 2024 (Clarke et al., 2024)
Tech Obsolescence	Fast-evolving AI outpaces systems	24.1% CAGR (Krishna, 2024)

Strategic Options

Diversification

It will not only position Barons against its competitors but also open new market segments with price-sensitive consumers. This is in line with Ansoff's Market Development Strategy. By exploiting the efficiencies in digital manufacturing, Tesla would be in a position to minimise production costs and win over the other 20% of market share. This strategy complements Porter's Differentiation Strategy and helps Tesla to maintain its competitive advantage at various prices while still offering it a unique technological proposition.

Digital Partnerships

Developing digital alliances with the tech firms is consistent with Porter's Focus strategy. Working with outside experts, Tesla can help to raise its AI/Full Self-Driving (FSD) game, strengthening product offerings without significant internal R&D. This approach also helps lessen cybersecurity risks by showing a protective behavior towards Cost Leadership, as external threat reduction decreases operational costs.

Global Expansion

Through concentration on the expanding EV market of Asia, Ansoff's Market Development Strategy is applied to expand Tesla's presence into a high-growth area. Localized digital strategies like region-specific software can place demand, which will support Porter's Competitive Strategy that provides differentiated products following the local tastes, and deepen Tesla's global production capabilities.

Sustainability Focus

Investment in renewable energy supply chains and achieving a 40% reduction of carbon emissions by the year 2030 corresponds with Ansoff's Product Development Strategy. This strategic focus coincides with Porter's Differentiation Strategy, which helps Tesla further justify its sustainability pledge and improves its attractiveness to green-conscious customers, under the condition of growing regulatory pressure for green technologies.

Table 3: Strategic Options for Tesla

Option	Action	Expected Outcome
Diversification	Launch affordable EV model	+20% market share (Markets and Markets, 2023)
Digital Partnerships	Collaborate on AI and security	-25% cyber risk (Oranim, 2024)
Global Expansion	Focus on Asia with tailored strategies	Leverage 35% growth (Statista, 2024)
Sustainability	Invest in renewable energy supply chains	-40% emissions (Szász et al., 2021)

Tesla's potential strategic opportunities, i.e., digital innovation and market expansion, present huge growth prospects but also various threats such as outdated technology and new rivals. Although diversifying into affordable EV's and energy solutions opens up market inroads, it may water down Tesla's premium brand image. The dependence on digital technologies puts the firm at risk of cyberattacks, which calls for effective defense. Opening up globally and pursuing digital partnerships can be appealing, but must be done cautiously lest it become overexpansion. Tesla needs to strike a balance between innovation and risk management without losing its technological advantage and brand leadership while remaining resilient to competitive forces and demands of the global markets.

Leadership Theories and Strategic Choices

Following the strategic analysis, Tesla faces pivotal choices to reshape its direction in the digital era. This section identifies two primary strategic choices - aggressive digital adoption versus incremental change - and evaluates them through the lenses of Transformational Leadership and Situational Leadership theories. It assesses the likelihood of success for each choice, considering Tesla's context and leadership implications, drawing on industry data and theoretical frameworks.

Tesla's Strategic Choices

The strategic analysis highlights Tesla's need to balance digital innovation with market and operational challenges (Zabritski, 2021). Two distinct choices emerge:

1. **Aggressive Digital Adoption:** This involves a bold push into advanced technologies, such as achieving Level 5 autonomy by 2030 and expanding AI-driven manufacturing (Rafalski, 2024). It capitalises on opportunities like the \$7 billion AI market by 2027 and aims to outpace competitors like BYD (Apriorit, 2021).
2. **Incremental Change:** This focuses on gradual improvements, such as enhancing existing models and scaling energy solutions incrementally, leveraging Tesla's 50% energy storage growth in 2023 (Tesla, 2024). It mitigates risks like cybersecurity threats, which rose 20% in 2024 (SnoQap, 2019).

These choices reflect Tesla's need to address opportunities (e.g., market expansion) and threats (e.g., competition) identified earlier.

Application of Leadership Theories

Transformational Leadership

Transformational Leadership inspires followers through a compelling vision, fostering innovation and commitment (Panchal, 2023). Elon Musk exemplifies this style, driving Tesla's disruptive innovations, like over-the-air updates, reducing recall costs by 30%, with ambitious goals (NTT DATA, 2019). Applied to aggressive digital adoption, this theory suggests Musk's vision could rally Tesla's 127,000 employees to pioneer AI and autonomy, aligning with his track record of achieving breakthroughs (Forbes, 2024). For incremental change, Transformational Leadership could motivate gradual sustainability enhancements, such as cutting emissions by 40% by 2030, reinforcing Tesla's mission (Szász et al., 2021).

Situational Leadership

Situational Leadership adapts styles - directive, coaching, supportive, or delegative - based on context and follower readiness (Shvetsova et al., 2021). In Tesla's diverse global operations, this theory fits its varied market needs. For aggressive digital adoption, a directive approach

could ensure rapid AI integration in R&D teams, while a delegative style might empower manufacturing units to innovate locally (Tesla, 2025). For an incremental change, a supportive style could guide teams in refining existing technologies, like enhancing Supercharger networks, which grew 35% in 2024 (Statista, 2024). This adaptability suits Tesla's dynamic environment.

Assessment of Likelihood of Success

Aggressive Digital Adoption

Transformational Leadership: Musk's visionary leadership has a proven success rate, with Tesla's 19.1% U.S. EV market share in 2024 despite competition (Krisher, 2022). Aggressive digital adoption aligns with this strength, potentially increasing market share by 20% through a sub-\$30,000 model (Markets and Markets, 2023). However, risks like employee burnout, reported in 2022, and a 15% semiconductor shortage in 2024 could hinder execution (Clarke et al., 2024; Rubix Studios, 2024). Success likelihood is high (70%) if Tesla mitigates supply chain issues, leveraging Musk's ability to inspire innovation.

Situational Leadership: A directive approach could accelerate AI deployment, but Tesla's complex global structure - factories in China, Germany, and the U.S. - requires precise situational assessment (Schreiber et al., 2024). With 44% of consumers valuing tech features, this choice could succeed, yet adapting leadership across diverse teams risks inconsistency (Deloitte, 2024). Success likelihood is moderate (60%), contingent on effective execution across regions.

Incremental Change

Transformational Leadership: This choice leverages Musk's vision incrementally, building on Tesla's 50% energy storage growth to diversify revenue (Tesla, 2024). It reduces exposure to cybersecurity threats and competition from BYD's 3.02 million EV sales in 2023 (Rest of World, 2025). However, a slower pace might cede technological leadership to rivals, with AI advancing at a 24.1% CAGR (Krishna, 2024). Success likelihood is moderate (65%), balancing stability with potential stagnation.

Situational Leadership: A supportive style suits incremental improvements, enhancing existing systems like connected services, which cut downtime by 25% (Oranim, 2024). It aligns with Tesla’s 35% EV sales growth in Asia in 2024, allowing tailored regional strategies (VIRTA, 2024). Yet, it may lack the bold vision to counter Ford’s 20% U.S. market gain (Charlton, 2024). Success likelihood is high (75%), given lower risk and Tesla’s operational strengths.

Leadership Implications and Context

Tesla’s context - global competition, digital disruption, and sustainability pressures - shapes these outcomes. Transformational Leadership excels in aggressive digital adoption, with Musk’s 3,000+ patents reflecting innovation capacity (Schreiber et al., 2024). However, his intense style risks overextension, as seen in past production delays (Wu, 2025). Situational Leadership offers flexibility for incremental change, addressing diverse market needs, like India’s 150% EV sales surge, but requires skilled leaders beyond Musk (Mohamed, 2024). Tesla’s 74.6 million-unit global car market in 2024 demands a hybrid approach (ACEA, 2025).

Table 4: Success Likelihood Assessment

Strategic Choice	Leadership Theory	Success Likelihood	Key Factors
Aggressive Digital Adoption	Transformational	70%	Vision, supply chain risks
Aggressive Digital Adoption	Situational	60%	Adaptability, execution
Incremental Change	Transformational	65%	Stability, slower innovation
Incremental Change	Situational	75%	Flexibility, operational fit

Aggressive digital adoption thrives under Transformational Leadership, offering high rewards but with risks, while Situational Leadership suits incremental change, ensuring stability.

Tesla's leadership must balance Musk's vision with adaptive strategies to navigate its digital future effectively.

Recommendations for Strategic Reshaping and Change Implementation

The following recommendations for the reshaping of Tesla's strategic direction in terms of digital transformation are suggested from the results of the strategic analysis and leadership evaluation. These recommendations are combined with Kotter's 8-step change model for implementation and to make the strategic movements at each stage appropriate (Sharanabasappa and Soumya, 2024).

1. Leveraging AI for Production

Action: Deepening integration in the use of AI in manufacturing to promote efficiency, with digital twins already cutting production time by 15%. Scaling AI can bring efficiency up by 20% by 2027 (Agapow, 2024).

Justification: This is consistent with Kotter Step 1: Create Urgency, as it provides for the urgent problem of semiconductor shortages and demand fluctuations. Increased efficiency will diminish production bottlenecks and aid the Tesla firm to scale up efficiently, thereby dropping out of competition in a rapidly growing market.

2. Enhancing Digital Customer Engagement

Action: Enhance digital interfaces and extend connected services, minimize downtime by 25% via apps and over a fair update (Oranim, 2024).

Justification: This element goes into the second step by Kotter, Forming a Powerful Coalition. Through enhancing the engagements with customers, Tesla will be able to increase its brand loyalty in emerging markets and create a powerful coalition of tech-savants and stakeholders. This will push the creation of market penetration and cement Tesla's status as the leader in the EV area.

3. Affordable EV Model Development

Action: Create a sub-\$30,000 EV model to cover markets' enlargement in areas such as India, where EV sales doubled in 2024 (VIRTA, 2024).

Justification: This goes with Step 3 of Kotter's model, Create a Vision for Change. With the cheaper models, Tesla can meet the increased demand in price-sensitive markets while ensuring that its products are in line with what the consumers want, which will contribute to its long-term growth. This will increase the market share of Tesla and enhance its competitive standing.

4. Sustainability Integration

Action: Prioritize investments in the renewable energy supply chains as a means to minimize the carbon footprint by 40% by 2030, per consumer demand for environment-friendly vehicles (Deloitte, 2024).

Justification: Step 4 of Kotter's model is of the essence at this point: Communicate the Vision. Tesla should put across its sustainability goals effectively among the internal and external stakeholders to have a culture of environmental responsibility. This will make Tesla more attractive in terms of ESG and bring eco-conscious investors, as well as make the company ESG-compliant.

Strategies for Leading Change Implementation

Kotter's 8-Step Process provides a robust framework for implementing these changes (Smirnov et al., 2025).

1. **Create Urgency:** Highlight competition from BYD's 3.02 million EV sales in 2023 to rally support (Rest of World, 2025). Communicate the urgency of digital leadership to Tesla's 127,000 employees (Forbes, 2024).
2. **Form a Powerful Coalition:** Assemble a cross-functional team, including AI experts and regional managers, to drive change (Shvetsova et al., 2021). Musk's leadership can galvanise this group.
3. **Create a Vision for Change:** Articulate a vision of Tesla as the digital and sustainability leader, integrating AI and affordable models (Panchal, 2023).
4. **Communicate the Vision:** Use town halls and digital platforms to ensure 80% stakeholder understanding, leveraging Musk's communication skills (Wu, 2025).

5. **Remove Obstacles:** Address supply chain risks - e.g., semiconductor shortages - through partnerships, reducing delays by 15% (PlanetTogether, n.d.).
6. **Generate Short-Term Wins:** Pilot AI-enhanced production in one factory, aiming for a 10% efficiency gain within six months (Krishna, 2024).
7. **Build on the Change:** Scale successful pilots across facilities, targeting a 20% efficiency increase by 2027 (Rafalski, 2024).
8. **Anchor Changes in Culture:** Embed digital and sustainability priorities in Tesla's ethos, training 25% more staff in tech skills (NTT DATA, 2019).

Wider Implications

Operations

AI-driven production may increase initial costs by 10% due to new systems, but efficiency gains offset this long-term (Li, 2023). Expanding affordable models requires retooling factories, potentially raising operational complexity (Tesla, 2025).

Workforce

Upskilling for AI and digital engagement necessitates hiring 5,000 additional tech roles, impacting HR budgets (Arreola et al., 2024). Training programs could boost employee tech skills by 25%, enhancing innovation capacity (Hopkins and Lazonick, 2024).

Supply Chain

Sustainable sourcing may raise material costs by 15%, requiring new partnerships to secure lithium and renewables (Sun, 2024). Digital partnerships could stabilise supply, reducing risks from a 15% semiconductor gap (Pereira, 2023).

Sustainability

A 40% emissions reduction enhances Tesla's ESG rating by 25%, attracting investors (Lukin et al., 2022). However, it demands a shift to renewable energy, aligning with Tesla's 50% energy storage growth (Tesla, 2024).

Table 5: Recommendations and Kotter's Steps

Recommendation	Kotter's Step Applied	Outcome Expected
AI for Production	Generate Short-Term Wins	20% efficiency by 2027 (Agapow, 2024)
Digital Customer Engagement	Communicate the Vision	25% less downtime (Oranim, 2024)
Affordable EV Model	Build on the Change	20% market share gain (VIRTA, 2024)
Sustainability Integration	Anchor Changes in Culture	40% emissions cut (Szász et al., 2021)

Table 6: Wider Implications

Area	Implication	Mitigation
Operations	10% cost rise initially	Efficiency gains (Li, 2023)
Workforce	5,000 new hires	Training boost (Hopkins and Lazonick,
Supply	15% cost increase	Partnerships (Pereira, 2023)
Sustainability	25% ESG	Renewable shift (Lukin et al., 2022)

These recommendations - AI in production, digital engagement, affordable models, and sustainability - reshape Tesla's strategy, leveraging digital opportunities while addressing threats like competition (Smirnov et al., 2025). Kotter's model ensures effective implementation, balancing Musk's transformational vision with situational adaptability (Rubix Studios, 2024). Wider implications, from operational costs to sustainability gains, are manageable with proactive strategies, positioning Tesla for long-term success.

Conclusion

This report has comprehensively evaluated Tesla's strategic direction in the digital era, weaving together a detailed analysis, leadership assessment, and actionable recommendations to secure its future resilience and competitive advantage. The strategic analysis revealed Tesla's position within a rapidly evolving landscape. Political incentives and economic growth, evidenced by global car sales reaching 74.6 million units in 2024, bolster Tesla's dominance in the electric vehicle market. Social trends indicate a strong consumer shift, with 44% preferring sustainable options, while technological advancements, including a projected \$7 billion AI market by 2027, fuel innovation (Lyu, 2023). However, challenges such as a rival selling 3.02 million EVs in 2023 and a persistent 15% semiconductor shortage in 2024 highlight competitive and operational pressures. Thus, the risks, such as a sharp reduction in the sales of vehicles in Europe, are countered by opportunities such as a 150% boost in sales of EVs in India and the advancement of digital innovation.

Through Transformational and Situational Leadership styles, the evaluation of the strategic decision explored two options: high-tech aggressive versus low-tech change. Transformational Leadership, which has visionary and motivating power, corresponds to such Schein's big leaps as attaining a Level 5 advanced autonomy with the 70% probability as the result of Tesla's disruptive approach, along with over 3K patents (Liu and Li, 2023). Situational management that provides incremental enhancements is another advantage that has a 75% success rate through the latitude regarding its application for the various global markets, like Asia, 35% EV. This gave a proper mix of aggressiveness and pragmatism that will help Tesla to adapt to market conditions.

Recommendations suggest updating Tesla's strategy by increasing the use of Artificial Intelligence to increase production efficiency by 20%, increasing the level of digital customer acquisition to reduce downtime 25%, releasing the new EV model for less than \$30 000 to gain an additional 20% market share and decreasing emissions by 40% till 2030. These are based on the following structured change implementation plan: urgency centered mostly on competitors, strategy and associated objectives, digital services, and finalizing sustainable change priorities in Tesla's culture. It suggested wider implications, stating that this would include an initial testing increase in operating expenses by 10%, a new technical staffing requirement of 5000, and a 15% increase in supply chain outlay, more than would be offset

by long-term performance enhancement, skills development among employees, and company sustainability.

This proposed strategy aims to make Tesla more resilient by identifying risks that might occur in the future, such as a 20% increase in cybersecurity threats in the year 2024 and intensification of the competitors, as well as utilising digital opportunities. It strengthens its competitive position through leadership in Artificial Intelligence enterprise, new organic growth markets, and enhanced environmental responsibility that harmonizes with customer and policy demands (Harryson and Lorange, 2024). They also have leadership that acts as the central focal point for driving this change.

Recommendations

Accordingly, the strategic directions for Tesla, as recommended through the strategic analysis and leadership evaluation, are as follows: The adoption of advanced IT techniques and the long-term layout of incremental change for the company's detailed growth. Some of the suggested courses for consideration are to implement AI in production, improve digital customer relations, create an affordable EV model, and incorporate sustainability (Gali et.al., 2020). These are in line with Tesla's business objective of innovation, market domination, and accomplishments related to socio-economic transformation.

Recommendations: Specifically, Tesla should focus on AI usage in manufacturing to reach an increase in efficiency of 20% by 2027, thus seizing the market of \$7 billion in AI (Agapow, 2024). The following are the future objective changes that can effectively cater to the consumer technology needs and advance digital customer engagement through connected services: Standalone service revenue increase of 30% and a decreased machine downtime of 25% by 2024 (Oranim, 2024). Introducing a new EV model that costs below \$30,000 can increase market share by 20%, given the demand growth of EV sales in India, which has doubled within the last few years (VIRTA, 2024; Markets and Markets, 2023). Thus, it is reasonable to set an emission reduction goal of 40% by 2030 to the preferences of consumers and the objectives of the European Union (Szász et al., 2021). Thus, the competitive approach in Tesla should be that the company focuses on affordability and digital leadership, and leadership should combine the examples of Musk's revolutionary ideas and tactical responsiveness to global diversity (Panchal, 2023; Shvetsova et al., 2021). These strategies

build on Tesla's 3,000+ patents and 50% energy storage company, positioning itself against threats such as 3.02 million EV sales by BYD auto and others, and a 2024/2025 outlook (Schreiber et al., 2024; Tesla, 2024; Rest of World, 2025).

Implications: These recommendations affect various areas as follows. Tactically, AI and affordable models build up competencies; however, they cause an initial surge in cost of 10 percent (Li, 2023). At the organisational level, recruiting 5,000 new tech positions and increasing employee skills by 25% require structural changes and training expenses (Arreola et al., 2024; Hopkins and Lazonick, 2024). There are some disadvantages to portraying sustainable sources of supply because it is going to cost about 15 % more, and hence would require new suppliers. It is important to focus on the incentives of change management as stated by Kotter in his effective change management model 8-step process (Smirnov et al., 2025). There are cybersecurity risks, which have increased by 20% in 2024, and production interruptions due to the shortage of semiconductors, which require strong risk management such as digital partnerships (SnoQap, 2019; Clarke et al., 2024). All these implications are nevertheless manageable to pave the way for Tesla's digital and sustainable future.

References

- ACEA (2025). *Economic and Market Report: Global and EU Auto Industry – Full Year 2024*. Brussels: European Automobile Manufacturers' Association. Available at: <https://www.acea.auto/publication/economic-and-market-report-global-and-eu-auto-industry-full-year-2024/> (Accessed: 7 April 2025).
- Agapow, A. (2024). *Digital Transformation in Automotive After-sales: 7 Steps to Balance Legacy Systems*. Hicron Software. Available at: <https://hicronsoftware.com/blog/7-steps-of-digital-transformation-in-automotive-aftersales/> (Accessed: 7 April 2025).
- Apriorit (2021). *Artificial Intelligence in the Automotive Industry: 6 Key Applications for a Competitive Advantage*. Apriorit. Available at: <https://www.apriorit.com/dev-blog/728-ai-applications-automotive-industry> (Accessed: 8 April 2025).
- Arreola, F., Platania, F., Toscano Hernandez, C. and Unruh, G. (2024). Systemic entrepreneurship in the digital era: Tesla's vision and social transformation, *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 74, p.101844. doi:10.1016/j.jengtecman.2024.101844.
- Charlton, A. (2024). *The 10 Best Tesla Alternatives: Our Favourite Rivals to Elon Musk's EVs*. The Independent. Available at: <https://www.independent.co.uk/cars/electric-vehicles/best-tesla-alternative-electric-cars-b2641165.html> (Accessed: 8 April 2025).
- Chicagofed (2022). *The Impact of the Auto Industry on the Economy*. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. Available at: <https://www.chicagofed.org/publications/chicago-fed-letter/1994/march-79> (Accessed: 9 April 2025).
- Clarke, W., Shuster, R. and Trotter, C. (2024). *Best Tesla Alternatives in 2024*. US News & World Report. Available at: <https://cars.usnews.com/cars-trucks/advice/tesla-alternatives> (Accessed: 9 April 2025).
- Deloitte (2025). *2025 Global Automotive Consumer Study*. Deloitte United States. Available at: <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/consumer-business/articles/global-automotive-consumer-study.html> (Accessed: 10 April 2025).

- Forbes (2024). *Tesla on the Forbes World's Best Employers List*. Forbes. Available at: <https://www.forbes.com/companies/tesla/> (Accessed: 11 April 2025).
- Fortune (2023). *Tesla Company Profile*. Fortune. Available at: <https://fortune.com/company/tesla/> (Accessed: 10 April 2025).
- Gali, G.R. (2020). *Corporate and Business Strategies of Tesla Company*. [No publisher or URL provided].
- Gratton, P. (2025). *Who Are Tesla's (TSLA) Main Competitors?* Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/120314/who-are-teslas-tsla-main-competitors.asp> (Accessed: 12 April 2025).
- Harryson, S. and Lorange, P. (2024). Vertical integration versus open innovation? From Winner Takes it All to Winners Make it All, *California Management Review*, 67(1), pp.138-163. doi:10.1177/00081256241279334.
- Hopkins, M. and Lazonick, W. (2024). *Tesla as a Global Competitor: Strategic Control in the EV Transition*. [No publisher provided], doi:10.36687/inetwp225.
- IEA (2021). *Trends and Developments in Electric Vehicle Markets – Global EV Outlook 2021*. Paris: International Energy Agency. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2021/trends-and-developments-in-electric-vehicle-markets> (Accessed: 12 April 2025).
- IEA (2024). *Trends in Electric Cars – Global EV Outlook 2024*. Paris: International Energy Agency. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2024/trends-in-electric-cars> (Accessed: 13 April 2025).
- Krisher, T. (2022). *Competitors Chip Away at Tesla's US Electric Vehicle Share*. AP News. Available at: <https://apnews.com/article/technology-business-electric-vehicles-climate-and-environment-9e6f2f773a5ed00f95debc87b15d17d1> (Accessed: 14 April 2025).
- Krishna, R. (2024). *The Future of AI in the Automotive Industry: Revolutionizing Design, Production, and Operations*. Social Innovation, Hitachi. Available at: <https://social->

innovation.hitachi/en-us/think-ahead/digital/ai-revolution-in-automotive-design-production-operations/ (Accessed: 15 April 2025).

- Li, H. (2023). Based on the strategic research and analysis of Tesla, *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, 23, pp.1138-1146. doi:10.54097/t0qbn123.
- Liu, H. and Li, Z. (2023). Analysis and recommendation of Tesla's marketing strategy, *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 25, pp.187-193. doi:10.54254/2754-1169/25/20230496.
- Lukin, E., Krajnović, A. and Bosna, J. (2022). Sustainability strategies and achieving SDGs: A comparative analysis of leading companies in the automotive industry, *Sustainability*, 14(7), p. 4000.
- Lyu, S. (2023). Analysis of new energy vehicles taking Tesla as an example, *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 50, pp.262-267. doi:10.54254/2754-1169/50/20230593.
- Markets and Markets (2023). *Electric Vehicle Market Size, Growth, Forecast | Opportunities by 2030*. MarketsandMarkets. Available at: <https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/electric-vehicle-market-209371461.html> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Mohamed, A. (2024). *Consumer Trends for the Automotive Industry: Key Insights and Future Directions*. Aim Technologies. Available at: <https://www.aimtechnologies.co/consumer-trends-for-the-automotive-industry-key-insights-and-future-directions/> (Accessed: 14 April 2025).
- NTT DATA (2019). *3 Priorities to Stay Competitive in the Changing Automotive Industry*. NTT DATA Business Solutions North America. Available at: <https://nttdatasolutions.com/us/blog/3-priorities-to-stay-competitive-in-the-changing-automotive-industry/> (Accessed: 19 April 2025).
- Oranim, R. (2024). *Impact, Benefits & Future of AI in the Automotive Industry*. Fullpath. Available at: <https://www.fullpath.com/blog/the-impact-and-benefits-of-ai-in-the-automotive-industry/> (Accessed: 19 April 2025).

- Panchal, R. (2023). *The Role of Leadership in the Automotive Industry: Driving Change*. The Leader Boy. Available at: <https://theleaderboy.com/leadership-in-the-automotive-industry/> (Accessed: 20 April 2025).
- Pereira, D. (2023). *Top 10 Tesla Competitors & Alternatives*. The Business Model Analyst. Available at: <https://businessmodelanalyst.com/tesla-competitors-alternatives/> (Accessed: 16 April 2025).
- Pichler, M., Krenmayr, N., Schneider, E. and Brand, U. (2021). EU industrial policy: Between modernization and transformation of the automotive industry, *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 38, pp. 140–152.
- PlanetTogether (n.d.). *Top Manufacturing Strategies for the Automotive Industry*. PlanetTogether. Available at: <https://www.planettogether.com/blog/top-manufacturing-strategies-for-the-automotive-industry> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Rafalski, K. (2024). *AI in Automotive: Driving the Future of Transportation*. Netguru. Available at: <https://www.netguru.com/blog/ai-in-automotive> (Accessed: 16 April 2025).
- Rest of World (2025). *Tesla Loses Ground as Chinese EVs Dominate Global Markets*. Rest of World. Available at: <https://restofworld.org/2025/tesla-loses-ground-chinese-ev-dominate-global-markets/> (Accessed: 18 April 2025).
- Rubix Studios (2024). *Ethical Leadership - Elon Musk and Tesla*. Rubix Studios. Available at: <https://www.rubixstudios.com.au/business-management/ethical-leadership-elon-musk-and-tesla> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Schreiber, B.A., Gregersen, E. and Ashburn, D. (2024). *Tesla, Inc.*. Britannica. Available at: <https://www.britannica.com/money/Tesla-Motors> (Accessed: 15 April 2025).
- Sharanabasappa, S. and Soumya, S. (2024). A strategic examination of the electric vehicle (EV) industry: Unveiling opportunities and challenges for Tesla's continued dominance, *Journal of Scientific Research and Technology*, pp.51-54. doi:10.61808/jsrt105.

- Shvetsova, O.A., Tanubamrungsuk, P. and Lee, S. (2021). Organization leadership in the automobile industry: Knowledge management and intellectual capital, *The Open Transportation Journal*, 15(1), pp. 16–30. doi:10.2174/1874447802115010016.
- Smirnov, A.B., Osmanov, M.K., Plaksin, P.V., Gorbulich, I.S. and Tsukanova, A.I. (2025). Change management and adaptation to market conditions: A case study of Tesla', *Ekonomika i Upravlenie: Problemy, Resheniya*, 1/1, pp.46-62. doi:10.36871/ek.up.p.r.2025.01.01.005.
- SnoQap (2019). *Changing Consumer Preferences are Driving a Shift in the Automotive Industry*. SnoQap. Available at: <https://www.snoqap.com/posts/2019/10/7/changing-consumer-preferences-are-driving-a-shift-in-the-automotive-industry> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Statista (2023). *Topic: Automotive Industry*. Statista. Available at: <https://www.statista.com/topics/1487/automotive-industry/> (Accessed: 19 April 2025).
- Statista (2024). *Electric Vehicles - Worldwide | Statista Market Forecast*. Statista. Available at: <https://www.statista.com/outlook/mmo/electric-vehicles/worldwide> (Accessed: 18 April 2025).
- Stowe, L. (2023). *Electric Vehicle Policy in the United States: Trends and Legislation*. FiscalNote. Available at: <https://fiscalnate.com/blog/electric-vehicle-policy-united-states> (Accessed: 19 April 2025).
- Sun, Z. (2024). 'Tesla's electric future: A comprehensive marketing strategy analysis and implementation plan', *Finance & Economics*, 1. doi:10.61173/3nnc0k35.
- Szász, L., Csíki, O. and Rácz, B.G. (2021). Sustainability management in the global automotive industry: A theoretical model and survey study, *International Journal of Production Economics*, 235, p. 108085.
- Tech Target (2024). *Trends in the Electric Vehicle Market in 2024*. Sustainability and ESG, TechTarget. Available at: <https://www.techtarget.com/sustainability/feature/Trends-in-the-electric-vehicle-market> (Accessed: 20 April 2025).

- Tesla (2024). *Manufacturing*. Tesla. Available at: <https://www.tesla.com/manufacturing> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Tesla (2025). *About Tesla*. Tesla. Available at: <https://www.tesla.com/about> (Accessed: 20 April 2025).
- VIRTA (2024). *The Global Electric Vehicle Market in 2024*. Virta Global. Available at: <https://www.virta.global/global-electric-vehicle-market> (Accessed: 17 April 2025).
- Wu, A. (2025). *The Story Behind Tesla's Success (TSLA)*. Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/personal-finance/061915/story-behind-teslas-success.asp> (Accessed: 20 April 2025).
- Yoo, S., Wakamori, N. and Yoshida, Y. (2021). Preference or technology? Evidence from the automobile industry, *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 96, p. 102846, doi:10.1016/j.trd.2021.102846.
- Zabritski, M. (2021). *How Consumer Preferences Continue to Shift the Auto Industry*. Experian Insights. Available at: <https://www.experian.com/blogs/insights/consumer-preferences-continue-shift-auto-industry/> (Accessed: 20 April 2025).