Feedback for ACCB5029

Date of Assessment: 18/11/2025 Date of Feedback: 03/12/2025

Assessor: Dr. Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy Student Name: Leo Richards

Engagement with Literature Skills

Total Marks: 10 out of 15

Comments:

To excel in this section, you are required to demonstrate proficiency in using proper citation conventions throughout your work. Adherence to academic standards in referencing is critical. Your submission should reflect the integration of diverse, credible, and academically valid sources for Task 2. Additionally, for Task 1, it is essential to incorporate and reference resources specifically provided within the DevNet course materials.

- In-text Citations done.
- More adequate academic credible literatures required.
- Appropriate referencing conventions used

Knowledge and Understanding Skills

Total Marks: 24 out of 30

Comments:

To achieve high marks in this section, you must provide a comprehensive discussion of the technical concepts explored in Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of the DevNet Course. Your analysis should reflect a clear understanding of key concepts, principles, and methods in network programmability from DevNet Chapters 2-4. You must interpret and explain these meaningfully, showing how principles have developed (Eg: Discussion on DevNet resources, highlighting Key Terminologies, real-world relevance etc). Highlighting specific insights or unique observations from these resources will distinguish your work.

- Reflection on Chapters 2, 3 and 4 done well. Challenges reflected.
- Similar to Part 2, adding Screenshots (Figures), Tables could have added more value to the work.

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

Total Marks: 38 out of 50

Comments:

To perform well in this section, you are expected to critically engage with introducing the challenges faced by organisations in real-world scenario (Scenario could be real or hypothetical) and cognitively analysing them in relevance with Network Programmability concepts with reference to the discussions during our lectures and tutorials. Critical analysis of information, proposing solutions to problems, and evaluating approaches. Providing evidence of logical thinking, synthesis, and reflection on limits of knowledge (e.g., challenges in automation) adds value to your work. This covers clear context, identified challenges, broader implications (e.g., opportunities, costs, scalability), and limitations. You are expected to propose addressing the challenges mentioning technical terms and possible tools used like Version Control Systems, REST APIs, CI/CD, YAML etc.

- Well introduced - Clear and detailed context provided

- Comprehensive and engaging.

- Challenges identified. Minor enhancements focusing on prioritizing critical issues could have added value to the work

- Could have addressed more in depth on integration challenges, (adding a table mapping them), scalability, and cost.

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Total Marks: 3.6 out of 5

Comments:

A professional structure is critical to achieving high marks in this section. Your report should include a clear introduction that sets the context, a logically organized content section where ideas are cohesively developed, a conclusion that summarizes key findings, and a properly formatted references section. The report should flow seamlessly from beginning to end, ensuring the progression of ideas is clear, well-articulated, and professional. Adhering to these expectations will not only improve the readability and impact of your report but also highlight your ability to present technical content effectively.

- Headings, chapter numbers could have made the follow-up easier.

- Flow of the report is good

Overall Total Marks: 76 out of 100

All the very best!!! Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year 2026!!!

Kindly note that all marks are subject to 2nd verification and exam board verification as outlined by the University's Quality Assurance procedures.