

Student name: Shah Mashuk Miah Student ID: 1911285

Programme: BA International Hotel Management

Module: Management & Organisational Behaviour

Module Code: BMIH4004

Lecturer: Daniel Payne

Assignment Title: Academic Essay on Leadership and effectiveness within the hotel Industry

Leadership is the foundation of success in the modern vast scenario of hotel industry. As a diverse sector driven the satisfaction of the guests, operational effectiveness, and innovation, efficient management is essential. This article begins with critical review of different approaches of the leadership in hotel industry, realizing their importance in designing organizational tradition, motivation of workers and finally visitor's experiences. The level of guest's satisfaction is directly influenced by the efficiency of the staff driven by leadership in an industry where customer service reigns supreme (Whitelaw, 2013).

Considering various leadership models, the purpose of this article is to explain their strengths, area of improvements and their application in the specific context of hotel management. With this research, a detail understanding of the strong connection between hospitality and leadership quality will rise, which will equally benefit current and aspiring hotel owners with valuable insights (Rita Palrecha, 2012).

Within the scope of leadership theories applied to the hotel industry, different frameworks provide details of efficient management experiences. Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid, Adair's Model of Action-Cantered Leadership (ACL), and McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y are among these theories.

Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid is broadly accepted model that assesses styles of leadership based on a grid that examines two dimensions: concern for people and concern for production. This theory presents that there are main styles of leadership, starting from poor (low concern for production and people) to team (high concern for both). Its main rules focus on the significance of equilibrium between employee well-being and nature of work (Ki Woong Cho, 2017).

Adair's Model of Action-Cantered Leadership (ACL) revolves around attaining goals with combination of team, task and individual requirements. It focuses on the part leader plays while helping in the project completion and fostering individual progress and team dynamics. Adair emphasizes on the importance of creating balance between three factors to guarantee efficient leadership results, developing a comprehensive approach to administration within the hotel industry (Dwi Rulismi, 2023).

McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y offer different ideologies on human management and motivation. Theory X believes that employees basically do not like work and should be forced or controlled, while Theory Y proposes that workers are basically motivated and attain satisfaction form the task. These ideologies have deep implications on leadership methods, and theory Y favouring collaborative and qualify approaches, which can encourage a better organizational structure in hotel management (Leanna Lawter, 2015).

When these ideas are compared, it became obvious that each presents specific details and approaches to leadership in the hotel industry. Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid

offers a designed framework for realizing leadership styles but may simplify the complications of human attitude and administrative changes. Adair's ACL model focuses on a well-balanced access to leadership, which goes well with the diverse nature of managing hotel, but it needs a better level of adjustability from leaders (Irfan Ullah Khan, 2016).

Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid, Adair's Model of Action- Cantered Leadership (ACL) and McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y present different ideologies on leadership within the context of the hotel industry.

Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid evaluates leadership methods based on two dimensions: concern for production and concern for people. It classified leadership methods into multiple combinations of these dimensions, varying from low-class (low concern for both) to team (high concern for both). However, critics present that the Grid may streamline the complications of human ethics and organizational dynamics, strongly restricting its implementation in real world settings. Adair's Model of Action-Cantered Leadership emphasizes on attaining objectives through collaboration of task, team and individual thought. It focuses on the role of leader in assisting task completion while enhancing individual and team progress. This model highlights the value of balancing these three components to guarantee efficient leadership consequences. Different to Managerial Grid, Adair's model offers a broader access to leadership, integrating different features of management into an integrated framework.

McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y offer conflicting ideologies on human motivation and administration. Theory X believes that employees by nature don't like work and needs control or enforcement to work efficiently, while Theory Y believes that employees are inherently energetic and attain fulfilment from their projects. Theory Y advocates for a more participative and cooperative access to leadership, which is consistent with the concept of employee empowerment in the hotel industry. Critics however argue that Theory Y can ignore the complications of human ethics and organizational dynamics, strongly driving towards impractical and idealistic management activities.

McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y show different ideas about workers motivation, tough leaders to consider their supposition and follow more enabled management procedures. Finally, every theory has its benefits and demerits and the efficiency of leadership method in the hotel industry and can rely on elements like organizational traditions, industry scenario and personal leadership techniques.

Transformational leaders encourage and inspire their team by presenting a persuasive view, rising innovation and encouraging individual development (Tsang-Lang Liang, 2017). Conversely transactional leaders depend on the methods of appreciation and

penalties to encourage performance, emphasizing on attaining planned targets through unexpected rewards or strict actions.

The selection of management style mainly effects organizational culture and encourages worker in hotel industry. Authoritarian leadership can create a graded and strict organizational structure, where innovation and team spirit could be suppressed due to the less involvement in the process of decision making. On contrary democratic leadership encourages a tradition of coordination and open communication, improving employee involvement and creativity (Tsang-Lang Liang, 2017).

In the active world of hotel industry, different styles of leadership are frequently visible, each with its prominent implications and features. Two usually seen patterns are the democratic and authoritarian leadership styles. Authoritarian leadership style involves focused decision making, where power is in the hand of an individual, often in the hands of the higher administration. In contrast the democratic leadership supports participatory decision making, where teamwork is important, encouraging a thought of empowerment and inclusion (Ayres, 2014).

Transformational leadership is associated with higher levels of employee fulfilment and organizational operation in the hospitality industry. Teams motivated and encouraged by their leader usually create an optimistic working environment where workers have a sense of individual respect and motivation to work beyond target. Transaction leadership on the other hand is efficient in maintaining regular projects, can have less transformative effect essential for handling the fast-changing scenario of the hotel industry (Kristelle Marjori Matira, 2020).

Important hotel chain's case study or leaders can present important details of the implementation of various leadership styles. For example, The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company exemplifies transformational leadership through its commitment to excellence in service delivery. Employees are made independent to incorporate brand's importance and standards, enhancing a tradition of outstanding consumer service. Through consistent skill management and growth strategies, team members are inspired to innovate and go beyond and beyond the expectations of the visitors. This evolutionary approach not only creates a thought of possession and honour among workers but also improves the overall experience of the visitor, settling Ritz-Carlton as a standard for luxury hospitality globally. On contrary, economical hotel chains such as Motel 6 can apply a more transactional style of leadership, emphasizing on cost effectiveness and efficiency to giving priority to customers (Lütfi Sürücü, 2021).

Realizing the details of different methods of management is necessary for hoteliers who want to foster a better organizational structure and encourage employees. By reviewing these methods critically and applying them in real world, hotel leaders can benefit the

most efficient strategies to address the complications of the hospitality sector and attain sustainable development.

Mainly, performance of the company and its productivity depends on capabilities of leadership to decide clear objectives, utilizing resources effectively and encourages a way of responsibility. Transformational leadership, defined by motivation and intelligent encouragement, normally leads to improve performance result by fostering synchronization and innovation in workers (Irene Huertas-Valdivia, 2022).

Secondly, employee fulfilment and stability are important to ensure a trained and motivated workforce. Participatory leadership, where employees are participating in the procedure of decision making, has been related with better level of satisfaction of job and dedication within hotel teams. Leaders who give importance to workers progress and betterment promote a supportive work environment, increasing loyalty and decreasing revenues (Andrea Eriksson, 2017).

Moreover, customer fulfilment and faithfulness are important in hospitality industry, directly affecting repetition of business and reputation of brand. Leadership of the servants, which gives priority to the necessities of others and focuses sympathy and humbleness, go well in hotel environment. By enabling employees to provide outstanding service experience and attentively respond to feedback and reviews of guests, servant leaders can raise a customer centric atmosphere that encourages loyalty and positive words (Irene Huertas-Valdivia, 2022).

The structure of organization and tradition plays an important role in creating leadership dynamics, a ranked structure often support authoritarian style of leadership, while smooth organizational structure promotes interpersonal and democratic ideology.

Transactional leadership which works according to a system of rewards and penalties based on performance may provide outcomes in environments where projects are regular and expected. On contrary, in speedy and service-initiated hoteling sector, where innovation and adaptability are valued, transformational and fascinating leadership styles may be more capable of encouraging and motivating employees (Foti, 2007).

Different aspects of external market, involving economic situations, technological development and rivalries pressure, also creates the scenario in which leaders of hotels work. Dynamic and innovative leadership can address these external forces while encouraging innovation and strategic joint ventures is necessary for guaranteeing long run stability and growth in the strictly competitive hospitality scenario (Foti, 2007).

Leadership participates significantly in creating the achievement and development of hotels, associated with organizational structure, tradition and general competition. In the

complicated framework of the hoteling industry, efficient leadership decides the path for the whole organization, affecting everything from interacting with guests to employee confidence (Hurduzeu, 2015).

Leaders settle the structures of organization that defines character, responsibilities and channels of communication, important for fluent working. Furthermore, they prepare a working tradition that shows merits and objectives of the hotel, promoting an environment beneficial for creativity and productivity. Whether it is graded, flat or matrix structure leader plans how to utilize resources efficiently and conclusions are made (Hurduzeu, 2015).

Efficient leadership takes part directly in progress of organization and competition. Leaders encourage and prompt staff to present outstanding service, improving guest experiences and faithfulness. With strategic ideology and navigation, they address the challenges of the industry, modifying trends of the market and technological progress. Robust leadership encourages a tradition of responsibility and continuous progress, advances functional excellence and economical outcomes.

However, the hoteling sector offers specific challenges and options for leadership progress. Too much workforce turnover, diverse employee population and the short-term managing visitors needs leaders to have outstanding social skills and must be emotionally intellectual (Meraku, 2017). Moreover, the global nature of hospitality requires intercultural capability and adjustability to different guest choices and demands. Improving leadership projects designed to the hospitality industry can handle different situations, offering opportunities for ambitious leaders to improve their abilities with mentorship, practical learning and training.

Leadership is complicatedly connected with performance of organization in hoteling sector. Efficient leadership not only designs the traditions and structure of hotels but also handle progress and competition through motivation and strategic planning (NAGENDRA, 2016). Although there are challenges present in the industry, leadership improvement programs provide opportunities for ambitious leaders to handle the complication of hospitality and make a strong effect on guest satisfaction and business results.

Behavioural perspective to management plays an important role in creating leadership activities within the hoteling sector. Understanding personal activity is important, as leaders must handle various personalities, inspirations and working methods to improve collaborative teams and provide outstanding visitor experiences. Domestic employees can develop with self-reliance and self-determining projects, while outsiders can master a team-based environments. Furthermore, cultural variations can affect styles of communication and dispute solving experiences, which require acceptable leadership strategies (Hales, 2019).

Leaders often use contingency theories to design their style of leadership to special circumstances, like using such communication ways which is suitable for multicultural teams or setting inspirational strategies to handle individual choices. Moreover, the implementation of reinforcement theory can be seen in performance system, where optimistic support like realization and honour is used to strengthen required attitude in workers. Furthermore, social learning theory highlights the value of mentorship and role-modelling in enhancing professional growth and fostering a culture of regular training of hotel teams (Russell Cropanzano, 2016).

Leaders can utilize participative style of leadership to enable team members and promote coordination in procedure of making decision, creating a sense of possession and responsibility among workers. Disputes solving strategies based on behavioural theories focus on active listening, empathy and communication skills to handle social disputes beneficially and maintaining team growth. By taking advantage of behavioural details, hotel leaders can create high performing group of workers, improving fulfilment of guests and achieve organizational progress in a rising rivalries industry scenario (Russell Cropanzano, 2016).

Conclusion:

Finally, considering leadership approaches in the hotel industry points to the importance of efficient leadership in attaining organizational progress. Through the critical review of different leadership structures, including behavioural approaches, it becomes clear that realizing individual attitude and adjusting leadership tactics consequently are important in creating high performing teams and providing outstanding guest experiences. Efficient leadership not only impacts employee motivation and output but also create organizational culture and strength to handle organizational challenges (Xiaolong Xue, 2010). Finally, importance for leadership practice in hospitality management focuses the current requirement for consistent and people centric leadership perspective to handle the changing scenario of the hotel industry and maintain the best quality (Tong Wooi Chow, 2017).

References:

Andrea Eriksson, A. O. (2017). Management and Leadership Approaches to Health Promotion and Sustainable Workplaces: A Scoping Review. *Societies*, 7(2), 14.

- Ayres, A. (2014). The Impact of Managerial Styles and Job Satisfaction on Employee Turnover in the Hospitality Industry. *University of Nevada, Las Vegas*.
- Dwi Rulismi, M. M. (2023). The development of a school principal leadership model oriented towards professional performance. *International Conference on Science, Education, and Technology*, 9(1), 198–201.
- Foti, R. J. (2007). Pattern and variable approaches in leadership emergence and effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(2), 347–355.
- Hales, C. P. (2019). What do Managers do? A Critical Review of the Evidence. *Managerial Work*.
- Hurduzeu, R.-E. (2015). The Impact of Leadership on Organizational Performance. *Central and Eastern European online library*.
- Irene Huertas-Valdivia, T. G.-T.-J.-S. (2022). Contemporary leadership in hospitality: a review and research agenda. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management Volume 34 Issue 6*.
- Irfan Ullah Khan, A. N. (2016). A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF LEADERSHIP THEORIES: A REVIEW. *Journal of reasearch Vol 32 No 2*.
- Ki Woong Cho, S. H. (2017). Does Blake and Mouton's managerial grid work? the relationship between leadership type and organisation performance in South Korea. *International Review of Public Administration Volume 23, 2018 - Issue 2*, 103-118.
- Kristelle Marjori Matira, O. D. (2020). Leaders and Managers Styles towards Employee Centricity: A Study of Hospitality Industry in United Arab Emirates. *Information Management and Business Review Vol 12 No 1(I)*.
- Leanna Lawter, R. E. (2015). McGregor's Theory X/Y and Job Performance: A Multilevel, Multi-source Analysis. *Journal of manegerial issues Vol. 27, No. 1/4*, 84-101.
- Lütfi Sürücü, M. S. (2021). The Impact of Leadership Styles on Job Satisfaction: A Study of The Hospitality Industry. 323-346.
- Meraku, A. (2017). Role of Leadership in Organisational Effectiveness. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, Vol. 5, No. 11.
- NAGENDRA, A. F. (2016). ROLE OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE. *CLEAR International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management*, Vol 7, Issue 4.

- Rita Palrecha, W. D. (2012). A comparative study of three leadership approaches in India. *The Leadership Quarterly* Volume 23, Issue 1, 146-162.
- Russell Cropanzano, E. L. (2016). Social Exchange Theory: A Critical Review with Theoretical Remedies. *Academy of Management Annals* Vol. 11, No. 1.
- Tong Wooi Chow, L. M. (2017). Lessons from the Major Leadership Theories in Comparison to the Competency Theory for Leadership Practice. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies* Vol 3 No 2.
- Tsang-Lang Liang, H.-F. C.-H.-W. (2017). Transformational leadership and employee voices in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* Volume 29 Issue 1.
- Whitelaw, P. A. (2013). Leadership up the ladder: The construction of leadership styles in the hospitality industry. *The Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*.
- Xiaolong Xue, Q. S. (2010). Critical Review of Collaborative Working in Construction Projects: Business Environment and Human Behaviors. *Journal of Management in Engineering* Volume 26, Issue 4.