Feedback

Student's Name	PAUL LLEWELLYN
Student's SurName	RICHARDS
Student's Email	0251968@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB6000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	**Marks**
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources for threats, vuln., attacks & defense strategies, lab outcomes, similar tools used & mitigation strategies discussed. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. This section was well done. Appropriate referencing done. Required literatures cited. Discussion on threats, vuln, attacks and defense strategies done well. However, could have discussed referencing to more recent threats/attacks. Citations on lab outcomes done. More critical appraisals and summaries required.	24.8

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high marks you need to demostrate systematic understanding of various technologies (your MCQ Test marks applies here). The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered in finding the outcomes using various tools, understanding technical weaknesses & addressing appropriate recommendations contributed to the score on this section Generic question removed from marking (MCQ Test). Results/ outcomes on lab activities well documented. Security aspects addressed appropriately. Could have associated the mitigations more elaborately with the stated scenario.	16.78

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	15
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the threats, observations made on the results gathered, analysing the pros and cons of similar tools and mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Very good work. Observations on gathered results done well. Comparison of the pros and cons between similar tools is good. However, more detailed discussions could have added more value.	13

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
To excel in this part, you need to provide evidence of the practical activities done by giving appropriate screenshots on the implementation and results. A description of the new similar tool and its implementation that will convince the examiner on the work done. Screenshots/ evidences on Lab activities were adequate. The outcomes/ results discussed. New tools well discussed and implemented.	28.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Chapters/Sub-chapters/ Sub-heading could have been numbered for an easy flow. With 100+ pages, it was difficult to follow. Annexures must be placed after references/ bibliography. Small paragraphs, Q/A type of answers are good. Appropriate use of tabulated inputs (in comparisons etc) could have added value.	4.2
Overall Mark	87