

Feedback	
Student's Name	GARETH JOHN
Student's SurName	BRYANT
Student's Email	0150226@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NCSE
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Literatures referred to the work. However, not adequate. Could have referred to more academic credible literatures, done critical reviews and summaries on the literatures. Some of the concepts like security breaches, threats etc were not in-text cited.	4.4

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
Threats, Vuln and Attacks discussed. The classification on Types, reasoning what/ who etc are good approach. However, strength of the statements need to be improved. .rekt file format was discussed in detail. Well classified. Guideline focused on different functionalities of the network is a good approach. Formatting is good.	12.3

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
More structuring required in security breaches discussion. How is "Location of restaurant" be a possible security breach? Necessary evidence is not provided. Seems misleading. The approach in summarizing overall security breaches is good. Key observations made. However, they need to be more precise and necessary evidences are lacking. .rekt format description, its methods, operations etc are well discussed. Organisation of ideas, evidences need improvement.	14

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
Packet Tracer Certificate documented No Physical and Logical Topology documented. Implementation Done. IP Addressing done. Basic configurations need to be configured. Wireless network configured. No security devices were placed. Discussed about security devices in the report. However, needs	27.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
Feedback	Mark
Flow of ideas in the report needs improvement. Could have added abstract, intro, conclusion to the report with abbreviation on key words.	3.6
Overall Mark	62