

CHRISTOPHER HOPKINS



MARKING CRITERIA AND STUDENT FEEDBACK

MODULE: Major Project

ASSIGNMENT: Interim Viva

STUDENT:

DATE:

This section details the assessment criteria. The extent to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Research-Informed Literature (Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources to support the aims of the project) Extent of research and/or own reading, selection of credible sources, application of appropriate referencing conventions.		
YOU HAVE READ A LOT BUT, AT THIS LEVEL, YOU MUST HAVE DETAILS & NOT JUST SAY EMISNONS ARE IMPROVED FOR INSTANCE.	15	60
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject (Ability to identify problems within, and technical direction of the project) Extent of knowledge and understanding of concepts and underlying principles associated with the discipline.		
YOUR PRESENTATION SHOWED YOU HAVEN'T FULLY MASTERED THE TECHNICAL CHEMISTRY FIDE.	15	57 1/2
3. Analysis (Technical content of experimental and analytical work already completed and project relevance and merit) Analysis, evaluation and synthesis; logic, argument and judgement; analytical reflection; organisation of ideas and evidence.		
YOU ARE STUDYING YOUR RESULTS BUT ANALYTICAL DEPTH CAN BE IMPROVED.	35	60
4. Practical Application and Deployment (Organisation and Planning of the project) Deployment of methods, materials, tools and techniques; application of concepts; formulation of innovative and creative solutions to solve problems.		
MORE WORK & PROGRESS WAS NEEDED BY THIS TIME.	10	50
5. Skills for Professional Practice (Quality of presentation and success in communicating the aims and scope of the project) Attributes in professional practice: individual and collaborative working; deployment of appropriate media; presentation and organisation.		
THE PRESENTATION STYLE WAS FINE BUT LACKED TECHNICAL DEPTH.	25	60
Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate) Up to one-week late Over one week late	0 %

58, 6

Your PROGRESS WILL BE BETTER
IF YOU SHIFT FOCUS TO MATLAB
WHICH YOU ENJOY.

YOU COULD USE IT TO
DEVELOP A SMART FOR BLIND
~~BLIND~~ PACKAGE.

SEE IF YOU CAN FIND THE
LATEST FIA FI FOR RULES
WHICH WOULD MAKE INTERESTING
STUDY.

LOOK INTO 'OCTEL'