

Feedback	
Student's Name	JAMES AJAYI OKIKIOLA
Student's SurName	TAYLOR
Student's Email	0152272@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NCSE
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Adequate literatures referred to the work. In-text cited. Quoted literatures. Used appropriate figures to support the discussion. However, could have added more academic credible literatures. Critical reviews on the literatures could have added value to your work. Eg: 2015 Incident quoted on your work could have been associated with current working scenario and analysed based on different parameters like infrastructure, Operating Systems etc.	9.6

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
Threats, Vuln and Attacks discussed adequately with figures and stats. Classification based on what? Why? How? Etc is a good approach. Discussion on .rekt file format focusing on what it is, how it affect operation, methods to recover is good. Could have added few recent incidents related to .rekt ransomware attacks. Could have also referred to literatures. Very detailed security policy stated. However, the requirement was to give a guideline for Staff and	12.9

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
The discussion on possible security breaches were discussed in detail based on the scenario stated. Key observations made. .rekt format description, its methods, operations etc discussed in detail. Organisation of ideas, evidences are good.	18.8

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
Packet Tracer Certificate documented Physical and Logical Topology documented. Could have provided with more information like its IP Addressing scheme Implementation Done. IP Addressing done. Basic configurations need to be configured. Wireless network configured.	34.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
Feedback	Mark
Flow of ideas is OK. Appropriate numbering could have avoided the difficulty in following the report. Eg: Documenting RAT, Social Engineering, Malwares with figures and literatures and then writing the outcomes relating MITM to the scenario could have been properly organised with appropriate sub-topics/ numbering. Exceeding the word limit. Could have limited the description. Many discussions are way beyond the scope of this scenario and module.	5.2
Overall Mark	81