



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

BA (Hons) Professional Communication

Assignment:	COMPONENT 1 Portfolio of Speculative Communication Explorations (Portfolio of Writing)
Name & ID:	Choong Jern Liang & 2528103
Student Email:	2528103@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Subject & Code:	MWPC4005 Ways of Seeing

PREPARED FOR:

Kylie Boon

SUBMISSION DATE:

10th of August 2026

Table Of Content

Content	Pages
1.0 Identity and Digital Communication	1 - 3
2.0 Media, Information, and Influence	4 - 6
3.0 Culture, Creativity and Meaning	7 - 8
4.0 References	9 - 10

1.0 Identity and Digital Communication

Real-World Example

Generative artificial intelligence companion platforms, such as Character.ai, Replika, and Nomi.ai, represent a transformative frontier in contemporary digital communication practices and human self-representation. These platforms allow millions of global users to design hyper-personalised conversational personas or interact with pre-configured synthetic avatars driven by complex large language models (LLMs). Trained on vast web-scale textual corpora, these underlying models simulate human personality traits, emotional resonance, dynamic conversational context, and distinct socio-cultural roles in real time. Users engage with these models across various conversational formats, ranging from casual daily banter and cognitive brainstorming to deep emotional dialogue and complex narrative roleplay.

Unlike traditional static social media profiles on platforms like Instagram, LinkedIn, or X, where identity is curated through fixed text, images, and asymmetrical broadcast dynamics (Hogan, 2010), AI companion environments operate through continuous, bidirectional, conversational loops. Users do not merely present a static identity to a passive audience; rather, they actively perform, negotiate, and iterate their identity alongside autonomous digital agents. The relevance of this technology lies in how it fundamentally shifts identity performance from self-directed presentation to co-constructed human-machine interaction (De Freitas et al., 2024).

As Sherry Turkle (2011) observed, the human desire for digital connection often leads to relationships with technology that simulate empathy without real human vulnerability. In these generative companion platforms, identity construction becomes fluid, relational, and algorithmically mediated. It challenges established academic concepts of digital presence, authentic selfhood, parasocial interaction, and mediated interpersonal relationships (Turkle, 2011). Furthermore, users often project repressed aspects of their own identities onto these agents, using them as cognitive mirrors to explore gender performance, emotional vulnerabilities, and alternative social roles without fear of social judgment.

Speculative Futures

This trajectory could realistically turn into "Synthetic Identity Augmentation", a widespread way of communicating where people send out active, independent digital versions of themselves across online work, community, and social networks. In this near-future setup, individuals create personal "Identity Agents" by training them on real-time body metrics, personal histories, constant life-tracking logs, and mental preferences through subtle spatial headsets or gentle brain-sensing devices. These individual agents run non-stop on their own, standing in for the user inside online offices, chat groups, family threads, and civic message boards without needing the person to be actively present or paying attention.

Picture a reality where your personal Agent handles everyday work conversations, keeps long-distance friendships alive across distant time zones, and writes thoughtful public replies to current events based on your personal values. As you move through the physical world, smart ambient displays stream your agent's live conversations directly into your vision using interactive holographic pop-ups or soft audio cues. This constant feed lets you review, step in, or fix what your agent is saying at any second.

This speculative future is highly believable given current rapid advancements in autonomous multi-agent systems, multimodal predictive AI models, and personal data integration frameworks. As Luciano Floridi (2014) highlights in his theoretical framework of the "infosphere," the boundary between online and offline realities is continuously dissolving into an interconnected "onlife" experience (Floridi, 2014).

This scenario offers remarkable opportunities: it frees human cognition from administrative communication fatigue, expands social connection far beyond physical and biological limitations, and provides hyper-personalised digital representation across complex, fast-moving networks. However, it presents acute structural challenges. The proliferation of autonomous identity proxies risks destabilising fundamental social trust, creating hyper-fragmented personal relationships, and reducing genuine human interaction to optimised, algorithmic exchanges. Synthetic agents would continuously converse with other synthetic agents on behalf of absent human actors, effectively stripping digital communication of authentic human vulnerability, intent, and shared presence (Turkle, 2011).

Implications and Final Thoughts

The rise of AI tools that act and speak on our behalf creates major challenges for our sense of self, our ethics, and our ability to live together in peace. When our online messages are handled by digital proxies, telling the difference between a person's real thoughts and an algorithm designed to get clicks becomes nearly impossible. This uncertainty breaks down everyday trust and makes it hard to hold people accountable for what they say online (Turkle, 2011).

Outsourcing our feelings and personal messages to software also creates a deep psychological rift. People can easily feel detached from their own lives when an AI double posts opinions, makes friends, or maintains relationships without their active involvement. Ethically, this shift turns our unique personalities, emotions, and personal relationships into raw data. Instead of helping us find real fulfillment, our digital identities are used by tech platforms to boost engagement metrics and keep people hooked on screens (Haggart, 2019).

In politics, fake AI identities can be deployed on a massive scale to create a false sense of public agreement or to spark artificial arguments while pretending to be regular citizens (Goldstein et al., 2023). Ultimately, we need to decide whether using AI versions of ourselves truly enriches our social lives, or if it strips away the raw, vulnerable experiences that define what it means to be human.

2.0 Media, Information, and Influence

Real-World Example

Recommendation algorithms like TikTok's "For You" feed, YouTube's suggested videos, and Meta's news feeds, are now the main way people receive media, news, and social content. Instead of using traditional TV channels, human magazine editors, or simple subscriber lists, these platforms rely on smart computer models that monitor your behavior in real time. They track tiny actions every second, including how many seconds you watch a video, when you pause on a post, how fast you scroll, which clips you replay, what you comment on, and even how you hold your device.

These systems build detailed models of your personal habits to predict what will keep your eyes on the screen, make you stay inside the app longer, and trigger strong emotional reactions (Thorburn et al., 2022). This shift fundamentally changes how information spreads across the world. News, political debates, cultural trends, and community updates no longer pass through professional journalists or traditional media gatekeepers first (Shoemaker et al., 2009). Instead, content reaches millions of people simply because an algorithm calculated that it has a high mathematical chance of hooking a person's individual attention, replacing editorial judgment with algorithmic gatekeeping (van Dalen, 2023).

Researcher Shoshana Zuboff explains that modern online platforms take everyday personal experiences and turn them into raw behavioral data, using it to forecast and shape what people do. Because secret algorithms control what each person sees, the traditional public spaces where communities shared news are replaced by personalized, isolated content feeds (Haggart, 2019). As a result, media distribution moves away from human editors who care about the public interest and community awareness, switching instead to computerised system predictions designed purely to keep people clicking. Ultimately, this system favors sensational, divisive, and emotionally intense posts over thoughtful, balanced political discussions.

Speculative Futures

This infrastructure will likely develop into "Algorithmic Reality Feeds" (Just & Latzer, 2016), a connected system built into augmented spatial computing, smart contact lenses, visual implants, and environmental media (Greenfield, 2017). Rather than showing media on flat smartphone screens, future platforms will instantly change, overlay, and reshape how a user sees, hears, and experiences their physical surroundings in real time.

In this situation, physical spaces adapt immediately to a person's real-time mood, body measurements, past choices, and predictive profile (McDuff & Czerwinski, 2018). As someone walks through a public square, ordinary ads, news displays, street art, and public signs appear totally different to each person watching. An individual feeling high anxiety might see soothing visual designs, soft color schemes, and comforting product messages. Meanwhile, a person drawn to political action sees real-time news commentary, investigative stories, and protest calls projected directly onto city buildings.

This projected future is entirely realistic due to the simultaneous growth of eye-tracking spatial hardware, real-time generative graphics engines, and responsive emotional technology. Taina Bucher (2018) points out that algorithms do not just reflect our world; they actively shape how we see, think about, and live in reality.

The main benefit of this future is an unmatched level of smart, location-based information access. Complex technical data, learning tools, instant translation, and live directions are cleanly mapped onto physical spaces. However, the biggest problem is extreme social division (Bucher, 2018). When two people sitting in the exact same room see completely different algorithmically created worlds, the idea of a shared public life disappears, speeding up political division and making common community discussions nearly impossible (Pariser, 2011).

Implications and Final Thoughts

Shifting to real-time, interactive media that constantly alters what we see around us poses a massive threat to democratic society, healthy civic discussion, and human togetherness. When technology customises our physical surroundings to trigger strong emotional reactions, objective facts disappear and are replaced by whatever aligns with our feelings (Lynch, 2016). Instead of giving us a common set of truths to discuss and solve problems as a group, smart algorithms bend reality to match our existing personal biases (Bennett & Livingston, 2018).

From an ethical perspective, this continuous targeting acts like an invisible psychological trick that takes away our free choice without our knowledge or permission. The boundary between actual reality and manipulative advertising completely disappears, turning ordinary physical places into money-making systems engineered to nudge and alter human behavior (Haggart, 2019).

At a cultural level, public spaces risk being taken over, commercialised, and controlled by powerful tech companies. Shared monuments and historic town squares lose their permanent meaning as they turn into digital canvases for targeted, temporary advertisements. Without strict laws and better tech design, living in hyper-personalised bubbles will shatter society into isolated worlds, destroying the common ground required for empathy, unified action, and healthy democracy (Habermas, 2023).

3.0 Culture, Creativity and Meaning

Real-World Example

Generative media platforms like Midjourney, Runway, Sora, and Suno AI are reshaping modern creative production. By processing massive global archives of art, literature, film, and music, these systems map complex artistic styles, lighting, and cultural motifs into high-dimensional mathematical vector spaces. Advanced diffusion models and transformer architectures then interpret natural language prompts, instantly synthesizing original high-resolution images, video sequences, or musical compositions (Rombach et al., 2022).

This technological shift eliminates traditional barriers to entry (Hertzmann, 2018). Producing professional-grade media once demanded years of manual training, specialised technical skills, and costly equipment. Now, anyone can convert an abstract concept into polished digital media using simple text.

As media theorist Lev Manovich notes, software is no longer a passive tool; it actively guides aesthetic standards, visual trends, and cultural evolution (Manovich, 2008). Creative practice is moving away from manual craft toward algorithmic curation and prompt direction. While this shifts access to creative expression, it also fundamentally challenges long-standing industry norms around copyright, artistic ownership, and the value of human labor (Bukhari et al., 2023).

Speculative Futures

This idea could soon evolve into "Neural-Aesthetic Synthesis", a system where art, music, and media are created live straight from your brainwaves, hidden thoughts, and feelings. By using simple head sensors and non-invasive brain-computer connections, people can share complex ideas, deep emotions, and vivid artistic visions with others instantly (Nijholt, 2019). There is no longer any need to translate these thoughts into written words, spoken language, or traditional physical tools.

In this imagined future, places like art galleries, movie theaters, and music halls will turn into living environments that constantly change. Instead of just watching a fixed movie or staring

at a painting, the audience actively shapes the story together (Hasson et al., 2008). A film's visual design, central themes, character choices, and soundtrack constantly shift based on the group's real-time mood and mental reactions, turning every show into a unique group project.

This future seems quite realistic because of fast progress in brain-reading software, instant media generators, and mood-detecting technology. By cutting out spoken language completely, people can connect on a much deeper level than ever before. Anyone can directly broadcast their unique personal experiences, deep sadness, intense joy, or distinct ways of seeing the world that words simply cannot capture or explain.

However, the biggest danger is losing true artistic purpose, hard-earned skill, and meaningful story depth. When art is made instantly and automatically, the hard work, patient learning, and deep thought that usually go into creating something valuable might vanish. Instead, we could end up with endless streams of short-lived, computer-made content that exists only to give us quick, mindless entertainment (Manovich, 2008).

Implications and Final Thoughts

Automating art through algorithms cuts right to the heart of why we create, what we value, and who controls the narrative. When predictive models rehash historical data to generate symbols, human creativity gets stuck in an echo chamber (Striphas, 2015). Culture turns formulaic, chasing comfortable, familiar patterns instead of risking the uncomfortable shocks that spark innovation.

This shift upends assumptions about creative work, ownership, and fair pay. When algorithms digest billions of human artworks to synthesise new ones, traditional copyright collapses. Deeper down, it severs meaning from human struggle, mortality, and the raw, lived experience behind every genuine artistic breakthrough.

When art no longer demands human effort, vulnerability, or conscious intent, creative works risk flattening into disposable content built purely to hijack attention. Protecting genuine cultural connection will mean balancing tech with physical sanctuaries, dedicated spaces reserved for intentional human expression, slow craftsmanship, and the weight of shared physical presence.

4.0 References

- Bennett, W. L., & Livingston, S. (2018). The disinformation order: Disruptive communication and the decline of democratic institutions. *The Disinformation Order: Disruptive Communication and the Decline of Democratic Institutions*.
- Bucher, T. (2018). *If...Then: Algorithmic Power and Politics*.
- Bukhari, S. W. R., Hassan, S. U., & Aleem, Y. (2023). Impact Of Artificial Intelligence on Copyright Law: Challenges and Prospects. *Journal of Law & Social Studies (JLSS)*.
- De Freitas, J., Castelo, N., Uğuralp, A. K., & Oğuz-Uğuralp, Z. (2024). *Lessons From an App Update at Replika AI: Identity Discontinuity in Human-AI Relationships*.
- Floridi, L. (2014). *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality*.
- Goldstein, J. A., Sastry, G., Musser, M., DiResta, R., Gentzel, M., & Sedova, K. (2023). *Generative Language Models and Automated Influence Operations: Emerging Threats and Potential Mitigations*.
- Greenfield, A. (2017). *Radical Technologies: The Design of Everyday Life*.
- Habermas, J. (2023). *A New Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere and Deliberative Politics*.
- Haggart, B. (2019). Shoshana Zuboff, The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. The fight for a human future and the new frontier of power. *Shoshana Zuboff, The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. The Fight for a Human Future and the New Frontier of Power*.
- Hasson, U., Landesman, O., Knappmeyer, B., Vallines, I., Rubin, N., & Heeger, D. J. (2008). Neurocinematics: The Neuroscience of Film. *Projections*.
- Hertzmann, A. (2018). Can Computers Create Art? *Can Computers Create Art?*
- Hogan, B. (2010). Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*.

- Just, N., & Latzer, M. (2016). Governance by algorithms: reality construction by algorithmic selection on the Internet. *Governance by Algorithms: Reality Construction by Algorithmic Selection on the Internet*.
- Lynch, M. P. (2016). *The Internet of Us: Knowing More and Understanding Less in the Age of Big Data*.
- Manovich, L. (2008). Software takes command. *Software Takes Command*.
- McDuff, D., & Czerwinski, M. (2018). Designing Emotionally Sentient Agents. *Designing Emotionally Sentient Agents*.
- Nijholt, A. (2019). *Brain Art: Brain-Computer Interfaces for Artistic Expression*.
- Pariser, E. (2011). *The Filter Bubble: What The Internet Is Hiding From You*.
- Rombach, R., Blattmann, A., Lorenz, D., Esser, P., & Ommer, B. (2022). High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. *Proceedings of the IEEE*.
- Shoemaker, P. J., Vos, T. P., & Reese, S. D. (2009). *The Handbook of Journalism Studies*.
- Striphas, T. (2015). Algorithmic culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*.
- Thorburn, L., Bengani, P., & Stray, J. (2022). *How Platform Recommenders Work*. Medium.
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*.
- van Dalen, A. (2023). *Algorithmic Gatekeeping for Professional Communicators*.