

Person-Centered Care and Partnership Working.

Student. kabir miah (2132780)

Uwtsd

COURSE : children,young people and families

Instructor:Colin barr

18/07/2023

Person-Centered Care and Partnership Working.

Introduction.

In the realms of health and social care, the idea of person-centered care has grown in popularity recently. This method puts the patient at the center of their care and customizes services to meet their own requirements, preferences, and values. It places a strong emphasis on empowerment, shared decision-making, and cooperation, all of which improve patient happiness and improve health outcomes (Parmar et al., 2022). Partnership cooperation has become essential to delivering comprehensive and integrated health and social care services, alongside person-centered care. The purpose of this essay is to examine the fundamentals of person-centered care and partnership working, its ethical foundations, how they are used in professional practice, and recommendations for strengthening partnership working in the UK.

Person Centered Care and its Benefits.

Person-centered care is an approach that values each patient as an individual and respects their autonomy and dignity. To create a care plan that is in line with the patient's objectives and preferences, active listening, compassionate communication, and shared decision-making are required. Respect for patient values, family and carer involvement, and continuity of treatment across settings are important aspects of person-centered care (Eklund et al., 2019). Numerous advantages of person-centered care considerably raise the standard of medical care and boost patient outcomes. It puts the person at the center of the care process first and foremost, promoting a strong sense of empowerment and autonomy. Patients are more engaged in decision-making when they feel appreciated and participated in their own care, which promotes better treatment adherence and better health outcomes. Person-centered care also improves the patient-provider connection since it fosters rapport and trust by actively listening to patients' preferences and concerns. Increased patient satisfaction is a result of the open and compassionate dialogue that enables a better understanding of the individual needs of the patient and guarantees that treatment plans are customized to meet those goals and aspirations.

Additionally, patient mental and emotional health has been shown to benefit from person-centered care. This method promotes respect and compassion within the

healthcare environment by recognizing the uniqueness and dignity of each person. Patients frequently experience increased feelings of support and value, which lower their stress and anxiety levels throughout medical procedures. Since health and well-being are intertwined with bigger picture aspects of a person's life, this holistic approach to care also considers the social, cultural, and psychological components of the patient (Moudatsou et al., 2020). Patients are consequently more likely to be motivated and interested in their own self-care, which promotes greater treatment compliance and long-term health management. In the end, person-centered care supports a more compassionate and humane healthcare system that treats patients with the respect and dignity they merit in addition to improving health outcomes.

Limitations of Person-Centered Care.

Person-centered care has drawbacks despite all of its advantages. The limitations on time and resources that this strategy may place on healthcare providers are a significant problem. Person-centered care implementation necessitates spending more time actively listening to patients, including them in decision-making, and customizing care plans to meet individual preferences (Tomaselli et al., 2020). This can be challenging to accomplish in busy healthcare environments with high patient loads, which could result in hurried consultations and compromised service quality (Melin et al., 2021). Additionally, there may be increased resource demands as a result of the requirement for greater collaboration and coordination between medical teams, patients, and families, particularly in environments with constrained budget and staffing.

A further drawback of person-centered care is the uneven levels of patient participation and autonomy. Although this method encourages patient autonomy and empowerment, not all people may be able to make fully informed decisions about their care, especially those who have severe cognitive impairments or mental health issues (Shin and Yeom, 2021). In these situations, it is up to medical staff and other carers to act in the patient's best interest, which could lead to moral conundrums regarding how to strike a balance between autonomy and beneficence (Jisun et al., 2021). Cultural and linguistic hurdles may also make it difficult to implement person-centered care effectively, particularly in diverse communities where healthcare personnel must negotiate a range of values, beliefs, and communication methods (Fernandes et al.,

2022). In order to address these issues, healthcare personnel must get continual training in effective communication and decision-making techniques. Additionally, sufficient funding must be committed to ensure that person-centered care is practical and enduring in a variety of healthcare settings.

Ethical Underpinnings of Health and Social Care.

The moral foundation for all interactions and choices in the field of health and social care is formed by its ethical underpinnings. Professionals are guided in their behavior by four key ethical principles: autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and fairness (Berwick, 2020). Individuals' freedom to make well-informed decisions about their own treatment is respected by autonomy, even if such decisions diverge from those that medical professionals might advise. Beneficence requires professionals to behave in the patient's best interests and advance their wellbeing, making sure that the care given aims to maximize beneficial outcomes. On the other hand, non-maleficence emphasizes the need of preventing harm or minimizing any potential adverse impacts when providing treatment (Berlinger et al., 2020). Lastly, the fairness principle requires that social and health care services be dispersed equally and fairly, without bias, guaranteeing that everyone has access to services regardless of their socioeconomic background, ethnicity, or other characteristics. These moral guidelines guide healthcare professionals as they negotiate challenging situations and make choices that put the welfare and dignity of the people they care for first.

These ethical guidelines are essential for building confidence between professionals and patients or consumers in the fields of health and social care. Respect for individual autonomy enables people to actively participate in decisions about their care, fostering patient-centered care and raising patient satisfaction. When used diligently, beneficence and non-maleficence lead to safer and more efficient care delivery, fostering trust in the healthcare system (Drolet et al., 2023). The fairness principle makes sure that disadvantaged groups have fair access to medical care and social services, thereby minimizing health inequities and fostering social cohesion. Professionals must carefully balance these values in difficult situations where they may conflict, however, because ethical difficulties can occur. Maintaining a patient's autonomy, for instance, could conflict with making sure they are safe, needing careful

thought and analysis of the results. The conduct of field research is also guided by ethical considerations, with ideas like informed consent, confidentiality, and the avoidance of damage driving the examination of studies involving human subjects (Rezaee et al., 2020). In the end, an ethical approach to health and social care protects both the integrity and sustainability of the healthcare system as a whole in addition to upholding the rights and dignity of individuals.

Evaluation of Partnership Working in Health and Social Care.

Partnership-based care in the fields of health and social services has a lot of potential for providing all-encompassing, integrated services to people with complicated needs. Partnership working strives to enhance care coordination and offer all-encompassing support to patients by bringing together various organizations, experts, and community stakeholders (Barnard, 2010). The possibility for improved resource allocation is one of the key benefits of partnership functioning. Collaboration enables organizations to pool their knowledge, share best practices, and make the most use of their resources, resulting in more effective and economical service delivery (Akhtar, 2012). Working in partnerships also enables a more comprehensive grasp of patients' requirements. Multidisciplinary teams can evaluate people from a variety of perspectives, taking into account not only their physical health but also their social, psychological, and environmental circumstances, resulting in more specialized and individualized care.

Working in partnerships has its challenges too. When different organizations with distinct practices and terminology try to work together, communication problems can occur, which can cause misunderstandings and postpone decision-making. Power disparities between partner organizations can also affect how well a collaboration works. The input of smaller partners may be disregarded in decision-making processes by larger or more powerful organizations, which might hinder creative solutions and ignore the needs of particular patient groups. A dedication to developing transparent communication channels and equitable power-sharing systems, enabling all stakeholders to have a meaningful say in decision-making processes, is necessary to ensure the success of partnership working. In order to pinpoint improvement opportunities and foster a culture of learning and flexibility within the healthcare system,

partnership working projects must also undergo continual evaluation and development. Despite its difficulties, partnership working in health and social care has the potential to improve patient outcomes and create a more cohesive, patient-centered healthcare system.

Application of Partnership Working in a Practical Setting

Collaboration among numerous organizations and stakeholders is required for the actual application of partnership working within the health and social care sectors in order to handle complex and interconnected difficulties. In order to address the issue of older patients with chronic diseases having trouble receiving appropriate care and assistance, a multi-agency collaboration made up of a hospital, primary care clinics, social agencies, and community organizations joins together (Glasby, 2017). The cooperation identifies shared objectives including enhancing the general wellbeing and standard of living for these elderly people. They set up frequent gatherings to exchange knowledge and encourage open communication among all parties concerned.

Through the exchange of information and resources, the partnership is able to design individualized care plans that take into account each patient's social and emotional needs in addition to their medical needs. By ensuring that patients receive seamless and coordinated care across various healthcare settings, this collaborative approach reduces the likelihood of treatment duplication and boosts overall healthcare effectiveness (Eyal, 2013). In order to promote social inclusion and reduce feelings of loneliness among the senior population, the partnership also works with community organizations to offer extra support services like home care help, transportation, and social events. The partnership's combined efforts result in a comprehensive and integrated care system that caters to the complex requirements of senior people, improving their health outcomes and general well-being.

Through including patients, carers, and their families in care planning and decision-making in this real-world scenario, partnership working proves its capacity to provide patient-centered care. The collaboration regularly assesses the efficacy of its initiatives through regular communication and feedback, making the necessary adjustments to raise the standard of care delivered (Scambler, 2012). Additionally, by emphasizing preventative measures and early intervention, the collaboration can

successfully lower hospital admissions and healthcare expenses related to the chronic conditions of senior patients. The collaborative approach encourages a culture of continual learning and improvement by fostering a sense of ownership and accountability among all stakeholders.

Recommendations for Improvement of Partnership Working.

In order to address current difficulties and maximize collaboration, improving partnership functioning in the UK's health and social care sector requires a deliberate and systematic approach. Priority should be given to investing in professionals' education and training across diverse organizations. By offering workshops, seminars, and chances for group learning, stakeholders will be able to acquire the skills required for productive cooperation by developing a shared understanding of the advantages and guiding principles of partnership functioning (Clayton, 2023). Additionally, given that the UK's population is becoming more diverse, cultural competency training should be prioritized in order to promote sensitivity and awareness of various viewpoints (Ruzich et al., 2023). This will make it easier to interact with people from all backgrounds and guarantee that everyone has equal access to services.

A central platform or information-sharing system that enables communication and data exchange amongst cooperating organizations is another important recommendation. The information flow will be streamlined by a single platform, making it simpler for stakeholders to securely communicate updates, best practices, and patient information. Additionally, by acting as a focal point for joint planning and collaborative decision-making, this platform can improve efficiency by lowering barriers to communication (Fynn et al., 2022). Incentives can also be implemented to motivate organizations to actively engage in partnership working and invest in long-term collaboration, such as monetary support or performance-based recognition. The UK may develop a culture that appreciates and rewards collaborative efforts by promoting successful partnership initiatives, which will ultimately result in more effective and long-lasting health and social care practices.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in order to improve the standard of care and assistance given to people, person-centered care and partnership working constitute fundamental pillars of

contemporary health and social care practice. Person-centered care promotes a strong bond between patients and medical staff, enabling individuals to take an active role in their care decisions and resulting in better health outcomes. The experience of various stakeholders is combined through partnership working, which improves resource allocation and encourages a more comprehensive understanding of patients' needs. The ethical foundations of health and social care help practitioners navigate these complexities despite obstacles like power imbalances and communication gaps. The UK may boost collaborative working activities and develop a kind, patient-centered healthcare system by encouraging open communication, addressing cultural competency, and putting incentive mechanisms in place. A more integrated, equitable, and effective health and social care system in the UK will definitely result from embracing the concepts of person-centered care and fostering effective partnership working, further enhancing the lives of those who require care and support.

References

- Akhtar, F. (2012). *Mastering social work values and ethics*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Barnard, A. ed. (2010). *Key Issues in Health and Social Care: A Companion to Learning*. Routledge.
- Berlinger, N., Wynia, M., Powell, T., Hester, D.M., Milliken, A., Fabi, R. and Jenks, N.P. (2020). Ethical framework for health care institutions responding to novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) guidelines for institutional ethics services responding to COVID-19. *The Hastings Center*, 12(3), pp.1-12.
- Berwick, D.M. (2020). The moral determinants of health. *Jama*, 324(3), pp.225-226.
- Clayton, P.H., Bringle, R.G. and Hatcher, J.A. eds. (2023). *Research on Service Learning: Conceptual Frameworks and Assessments: Volume 2B: Communities, Institutions, and Partnerships*. Taylor & Francis.
- Drolet, M.J., Rose-Derouin, E., Leblanc, J.C., Ruest, M. and Williams-Jones, B. (2023). Ethical Issues in research: perceptions of researchers, research ethics board members and research ethics experts. *Journal of Academic Ethics*, 21(2), pp.269-292.
- Eklund, J.H., Holmström, I.K., Kumlin, T., Kaminsky, E., Skoglund, K., Högländer, J., Sundler, A.J., Condén, E. and Meranius, M.S. (2019). "Same same or different?"

- A review of reviews of person-centered and patient-centered care. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 102(1), pp.3-11.
- Eyal, N. ed. (2013). *Inequalities in health: concepts, measures, and ethics*. Population-Level Bioethics.
- Fernandes, J.B., Vareta, D., Fernandes, S., Almeida, A.S., Peças, D., Ferreira, N. and Roldão, L. (2022). Rehabilitation workforce challenges to implement person-centered care. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(6), p.3199.
- Fynn, J.F., Milton, K., Hardeman, W. and Jones, A.P. (2022). A model for effective partnership working to support programme evaluation. *Evaluation*, 28(3), pp.284-307.
- Glasby, J. (2017). *Understanding health and social care*. Policy Press.
- JiSun, C.H.O.I., Da Eun, K.I.M. and Yoon, J.Y. (2021). Person-centered care environment associated with care staff outcomes in long-term care facilities. *The Journal of Nursing Research*, 29(1), p.e133.
- Melin, J., Nordin, Å., Feldthusen, C. and Danielsson, L. (2021). Goal-setting in physiotherapy: exploring a person-centered perspective. *Physiotherapy theory and practice*, 37(8), pp.863-880.
- Moudatsou, M., Stavropoulou, A., Philalithis, A. and Koukouli, S. (2020, January). The role of empathy in health and social care professionals. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 8, No. 1, p. 26). MDPI.
- Parmar, J.K., L'Heureux, T., Anderson, S., Duggleby, W., Pollard, C., Poole, L., Charles, L., Sonnenberg, L.K., Leslie, M., McGhan, G. and Huhn, A. (2022). Optimizing the integration of family caregivers in the delivery of person-centered care: evaluation of an educational program for the healthcare workforce. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1), pp.1-13.
- Rezaee, N., Mardani-Hamooleh, M. and Seraji, M. (2020). Nurses' perception of ethical challenges in caring for patients with COVID-19: a qualitative analysis. *Journal of medical ethics and history of medicine*, 13.
- Ruzich, E., Ritchie, J., Ginchereau Sowell, F., Mansur, A., Griffiths, P., Birkett, H., Harman, D., Spink, J., James, D. and Reaney, M. (2023). A powerful partnership:

researchers and patients working together to develop a patient-facing summary of clinical trial outcome data. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, p.0cad099.

Scambler, G. (2012). Health inequalities. *Sociology of health & illness*, 34(1), pp.130-146.

Shin, S.U. and Yeom, H.E. (2021). The effects of the nursing practice environment and self-leadership on person-centered care provided by oncology nurses. *The Korean Journal of Hospice and Palliative Care*, 24(3), pp.174-183.

Tomaselli, G., Buttigieg, S.C., Rosano, A., Cassar, M. and Grima, G. (2020). Person-centered care from a relational ethics perspective for the delivery of high quality and safe healthcare: a scoping review. *Frontiers in public health*, 8, p.44.