

BA INTERNATIONAL HOTEL MANAGEMENT

BMIH5004

Assessing the Impact of Different Leadership Styles on Team Effectiveness and Employee Morale in the Hospitality Industry

Riccardo Stefanelli

Student number: 2216158

Lecturer: Lola Villard-Coles

Word counts 2,139 Including references

Introduction

The hotel industry stands out for its diverse workforce, exciting work settings, and emphasis on providing excellent customer service. Because it significantly affects team chemistry and employee morale, effective leadership is crucial in this industry. Various leadership ideologies cause varied reactions from team members, which affects the quality of customer service offered. Examining several leadership theories and their effects on team productivity and employee morale in the hospitality industry is the aim of this study. The analysis will examine the advantages and disadvantages of leadership philosophies, particularly in the context of hotel management, using relevant literature, case studies, and real-world experiences.

Understanding Leadership Styles

To assess the impact of leadership styles on teams in the hospitality sector, it is critical to grasp the key characteristics of notable leadership styles:

Transformational leadership is characterized by leaders that inspire and encourage their teams through a common vision, while also fostering innovation and change.

Transformational leaders create an environment in which people feel valued and empowered, which frequently leads to improved morale and team effectiveness.

Transactional Leadership: In contrast, transactional leaders are concerned with the interactions that take place between the leader and team members. This method emphasizes performance-based rewards and punishments. While this technique is excellent for achieving short-term goals, it may not inspire long-term commitment or morale among employees.

Democratic Leadership: Also called participative leadership, this style engages team members in decision-making. By respecting employee input, democratic leaders can

improve team involvement and happiness, resulting in higher morale and a sense of ownership.

Authoritarian Leadership: This style involves centralized authority, with the leader making decisions unilaterally. While it may result in faster decision-making and clearer direction, it can also reduce team engagement and employee morale owing to limited participation.

Laissez-Faire Leadership: In this hands-off approach, leaders give little direction and let team members make their own decisions. While it can foster innovation and autonomy, it can also cause confusion and a lack of accountability if not managed effectively.

Transformational Leadership:

This leadership style emphasizes motivation and inspiration. Leaders work closely with their teams to identify what needs to change and create a future vision. This method is especially useful in the hospitality industry because customers' expectations are always shifting. Leaders who encourage employee participation and creativity, such as Starbucks' Howard Schultz, are excellent examples of transformational leadership.

Transactional leadership is built on a system of incentives and sanctions. This style of leader establishes clear expectations and goals, then rewards their employees when they meet them. Although this may yield immediate benefits, staff morale and creativity may suffer sometimes. A transactional leader in a hotel setting may prioritize achieving occupancy rates and ensuring operation.

Transactional Leadership: This technique is based on an incentive and penalty system. This style of leader establishes clear expectations and goals, then rewards their employees when they meet them. Although this may yield immediate benefits, staff morale and creativity may suffer sometimes. A transactional leader in a hotel could prioritize operational success and occupancy numbers over worker feedback.

According to the servant leadership paradigm, managers prioritize their employees' needs to create a productive workplace that improves morale and team productivity. Empathy is at the heart of servant leadership, and leaders who take this approach usually generate a more cooperative workplace, which is critical in service-oriented industries.

Democratic leadership involves team members in decision-making procedures by encouraging consensus and participation. This method can improve teamwork and morale, both of which are important in high-stress environments such as hotels.

Autocratic Leadership: Autocratic leaders make their own decisions, which might spark quick action but eventually saps team spirit and inventiveness. This method may be effective for managing crises in the hospitality business, but it often results in employee alienation. **Laissez-Faire Leadership:** This style allows groups to make their own decisions. For self-motivated employees, it can lead to a high degree of job satisfaction; but, if team members lack the right experience, it can lead to a lack of accountability and direction.

Leadership styles profoundly influence the operational success of hotels. Case studies of various hotel chains reveal the applications of these styles in real-life settings.

Transformational Leadership at Marriott: Arne Sorenson, the former CEO of Marriott International, demonstrated transformational leadership by emphasizing empowerment and development. His dedication to employee training resulted in great staff retention rates and exceptional customer service, raising guest satisfaction numbers.

Transactional Leadership at Hilton: Hilton Hotels frequently use transactional principles, which relate operational goals and customer service outcomes directly to staff rewards. This has worked effectively in preserving service standards, but it has also resulted in criticism about employee burnout when performance criteria are overemphasized.

Servant Leadership at Ritz-Carlton: The Ritz-Carlton is well-known for its high employee morale and service levels, which are partly due to a servant leadership strategy. By

focusing on employee pleasure and well-being, the Ritz-Carlton has created a workforce committed to giving outstanding service, illustrating the link between employee morale and customer satisfaction.

Democratic Leadership in Small Hotels: Smaller, boutique hotels often use a democratic style in which all staff members, including front desk and housekeeping people, take part in decision-making processes. This strategy encourages employee ownership and accountability, which strengthens their commitment to providing excellent service.

Autocratic Leadership during Crises: During situations like the COVID-19 pandemic, many hotels, including the Four Seasons, had to resort to more autocratic leadership styles to navigate rapidly changing conditions. The quick decision-making enabled these hotels to adapt, but it put strain on employee morale.

Laissez-Faire Leadership in Resort Management: Some high-end resorts employ laissez-faire leadership, especially in creative roles such as event planning or culinary services. This empowerment can lead to innovative offerings and programming that distinguishes the resort from competitors.

Impact of Leadership Styles on Employee Morale

Employee morale is a critical determinant of productivity, retention, and overall satisfaction in the hospitality sector. Different leadership styles significantly affect how employees perceive their work environment and their own roles within that environment.

Transformational Leadership and Employee Morale

Employees working under transformational leaders typically show high morale. The supportive, visionary nature of transformational leadership inspires personal and professional growth, making employees feel appreciated and valued. This acknowledgment not only increases job satisfaction but also fosters loyalty among team members.

In the hospitality industry, a manager who offers recognition for arduous work, encourages skill development, and supports employees' career aspirations creates a

positive work environment. Such leaders often implement development programs and training, showing a commitment to employee wellbeing, which, in turn, boosts morale significantly.

Transactional Leadership and Employee Morale

While transactional leadership can motivate employees through rewards, it may lead to lower morale overall if not managed carefully. When employees view their work as merely transactional, they may feel unfulfilled and detached from the overall goals of the organization.

In hospitality, employees may appreciate clear expectations and immediate rewards, such as bonuses for performance. However, without opportunities for intrinsic motivation, such as creativity and autonomy, they may become disengaged, leading to higher turnover rates.

Democratic Leadership and Employee Morale

Democratic leadership has a profoundly positive impact on employee morale. By involving employees in decision-making processes, leaders confirm their contributions, which enhances their sense of ownership and belonging. When employees feel their opinions are valued, their commitment to the team and organization often increases.

In hospitality, when staff members can voice concerns or suggest improvements for service delivery, it creates a more engaged workforce. For example, team meetings where front-line employees are encouraged to share ideas about menu changes or customer service strategies lead to higher morale, as employees feel empowered to shape their work environment.

Authoritarian Leadership and Employee Morale

Authoritarian leadership often leads to low employee morale due to its restrictive nature. When employees are continuously subjected to a top-down approach without opportunities for input or feedback, they may feel undervalued and demotivated.

In the hospitality sector, this can manifest in high turnover rates and a lack of enthusiasm among staff. Employees may perceive their work as monotonous and

uninspiring if they are not allowed to contribute to service innovation or express their creativity.

Laissez-Faire Leadership and Employee Morale

Laissez-faire leadership can produce mixed results in terms of employee morale. Highly skilled employees may thrive under this style as it allows for autonomy and encourages innovation. Conversely, those craving structure and feedback may become frustrated, leading to diminished morale.

In hospitality, employees who enjoy decision-making and have the experience to handle their responsibilities often respond well to laissez-faire leaders. However, a lack of clarity and direction can lead to uncertainty and dissatisfaction among less experienced employees, affecting the team's overall morale.

The leadership style adopted in the hospitality industry significantly affects team effectiveness and employee morale. Transformational and democratic leadership styles appear as the most favorable for fostering collaboration, innovation, and high morale, essential elements in a customer-focused sector.

Conversely, transactional, authoritarian, and laissez-faire styles present challenges that can lead to disengaged employees and ineffective teams. With industry trends increasingly focusing on personalized service and customer experiences, adopting a leadership approach that inspires and engages employees will be critical for the success of hospitality organizations. Leaders who understand the nuances of their style and its implications on team dynamics will be better positioned to cultivate a motivated workforce and enhance overall effectiveness in their establishments. Thus, it is essential for hospitality leaders to continually reflect on and adapt their leadership practices to meet the needs of their team and the demands of the industry.

Conclusion

Diverse leadership styles influence team effectiveness and employee morale in the hospitality business. Transformational and servant leadership approaches increase staff engagement, contentment, and dedication, resulting in better customer experiences.

However, while authoritarian and transactional leadership styles might produce quick results, they often come at the sacrifice of long-term staff morale and inventiveness.

As the hotel business advances, executives must evaluate their leadership styles and adapt to create cultures that encourage empowerment and collaboration. Finally, the success of a hotel or hospitality organization is figured out not just by its operational efficiency, but also by its leaders' ability to inspire and motivate their employees. By fostering healthy workplace cultures based on strong leadership principles, hospitality organizations may assure long-term employee happiness and, as a result, excellent customer service.

This examination of leadership styles provides a thorough understanding of their effects in the hospitality sector, exposing potential areas for future research and practice in organizational leadership.

REFERENCE:

1. Arasli, H., Altinay, L., & Arici, H. E. (2020). Seasonal employee leadership in the hospitality industry: a scale development. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(6), 2195–2215. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-05-2019-0508>
2. Celiker, N., & Guzeller, C. O. (2023). Toxic Leadership Scale Development in Hospitality Sector and Scale Item-Bias Analysis. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2023.2253998>
3. Dangaiso, P., & Mukucha, P. (2024). Evaluating the impact of service encounter incivility on employee job stress, turnover intentions and labor attrition: a study on frontline employees in the fast food service industry. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2355671>
4. Fang, M., & Thanh, L. M. (2024). Developing paradoxical and authentic leadership: qualitative evaluation of leadership development among hotel managers and hospitality management educators in Vietnam. *Asia Pacific*

Journal of Tourism Research, 29(5), 606–625.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2024.2343068>

5. González-Torres, T., Nájera-Sánchez, J.-J., Huertas-Valdivia, I., & Pérez-Pérez, C. (2023). Understanding leadership styles and effects in hospitality: A co-word analysis. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14673584231215731>
6. Hejjas, K., Miller, G., & Scarles, C. (2019). “It’s Like Hating Puppies!” Employee Disengagement and Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 157(2), 319–337. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3791-8>
7. Huertas-Valdivia, I., Gallego-Burín, A. R., & Lloréns-Montes, F. J. (2019). Effects of different leadership styles on hospitality workers. *Tourism Management* (1982), 71, 402–420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.10.027>
8. Kara, D., Uysal, M., Sirgy, M. J., & Lee, G. (2013). The effects of leadership style on employee well-being in hospitality. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 34, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2013.02.001>
9. Kaydo, C. (1998). Marriott’s Incentives Strike Gold.(sales incentive program at Marriott Corp.). In *Sales & marketing management* (Vol. 150, Number 12, pp. 97-). Mach 1 Business Media, LLC.
10. Kippenberger, T. (2002). *Leadership styles*. Capstone Pub.
11. Karatepe, O. M. (2012). Perceived organizational support, career satisfaction, and performance outcomes. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 24(5), 735–752. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09596111211237273>
12. LeBlanc, C. L., & Mills, K. E. (1994). Retaining employees: Make them feel indispensable. *Nation’s Restaurant News*, 28(16), 30-.
13. McDonald, D. (2004). Roll Out the Blue Carpet How Ritz-Carlton can teach you to serve your customers better. In *Business 2.0* (2001) (Vol. 5, Number 4, pp. 53-). Time Incorporated.
14. Michelli, J. A. (2008). *The new gold standard : 5 leadership principles for creating a legendary customer experience courtesy of the Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company* (1st edition). McGraw-Hill.

15. Mughal, Y. H. (2018). Servant leadership styles and strategic decision making (Y. H. Mughal & S. Kamal, Eds.). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-4996-3>
16. Red Carnation blooms through management training: Morale improves and employee turnover falls at boutique hotel group. (2006). Human Resource Management International Digest, 14(6), 11–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09670730610690303>
17. Russell, M. B. (2017). Understanding Employee Wellness Among Non-Supervisory, Front-Line Employees in Three Maryland Industries: A Focus Group Study. Journal of Family and Consumer Sciences, 109(1), 34–42.
<https://doi.org/10.14307/JFCS109.1.34>
18. Yeung, A. (2006). Setting people up for success: How the Portman Ritz-Carlton hotel gets the best from its people. Human Resource Management, 45(2), 267–275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20108>
19. Zheng, Y., (Lisa) Gao, Y., Li, M., & Dang, N. (2023). Leadership styles and employee pro-environmental behavior in the tourism and hospitality industry: A cognitive-affective personality system perspective. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 113, 103509-.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2023.103509>
20. Zoghbi-Manrique-de-Lara, P., & Ting-Ding, J.-M. (2017). Employees' justice perceptions as a factor influencing successful outsourcing in the hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29(6), 1619–1637. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-09-2015-0477>