

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Iman Sayadi Choo bari
Title of Project:	Electronic Tuner for Santoor
Supervisor:	Gareth Jones
2 nd marker:	Steve Mosey
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS CNets <u>CSE</u> Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review Experiment <u>Development</u> (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	51-9
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	

Signature: Steve Mosey Date:

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	2.9 /5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	8.25 /15

Comments:

A good introduction to the importance of training and the available methods although I would have liked to see a table of notes and frequencies that your device would have used.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	2.75 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	2.75 /5

Comments:

I would have liked to see how your development fitted with your chosen methodology

Your design requirements could have been more clearly stated.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	12.5 /25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	4.2 /10

Comments:

I would have liked to see a graph or a table of measured vs actual frequency values and some kind of measure of accuracy of your device.

Your conclusions were too brief and should have included a comparison of your findings / device performance against your specifications.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	9.75 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:

Very good range of methods explored.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	3 /10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	5.8 /10
Comments: only a few reflective statements provided in conclusion section and do not provide a detailed reflection on your views of the execution of the project. A good report with a good structure but lacks flow in places. Some spelling and grammatical mistakes present.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	/100