

LEVEL 4 ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Student name:		Student ID number:	
Programme:	BA/MDes Automotive & Transport Design		
Module:	Automotive Design Methods - 1		
Module code:	M4X01360	Contribution to Overall Module Assessment (%):	CW1 - 50%
Lecturer:	Paul Morrow	Internal Verifier:	S. Fontanarosa
Assignment Title:	Wooden Spoon Award	Word count (or equivalent):	3 elements 20 Credit
Submission deadline:	Group 2 06.01.2020 (by 12:00)	Return date of provisional marks & written feedback:	Group 2 27.01.2020
Submission method:	All written assessments, where practical and possible, must be submitted via Turnitin unless otherwise instructed by the Lecturer. (Please DO NOT put this assessment specification into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.) Alternative submission method (if applicable): Late submission of the assessment will result in a late penalty mark. Penalties for late submission: Up to one week late, maximum mark of 40%. Over one week late, 0%. Only the Extenuating Circumstances Panel may approve a change to submission dates.		
Academic honesty / referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

Module Learning Outcomes (from module syllabus)

1. Effectively develop and represent vehicle designs using a range of freehand sketching techniques.
2. Safely navigate workshops and use hand tools and machines to create models.

TASK DESCRIPTION

Automotive clay is only used in the automotive design world and is a great medium to produce quality surface work and detail. This will be your first opportunity to dabble with the medium.

Produce a clay sculpture to represent an 'Award Trophy' for best use of clay. Assume the finished sculpture may be cast in metal or glass and mounted on a metal or wood base.

Using the drawing titled: Wooden Spoon Workshop & Clay Practice (copy available on Moodle).

Produce the armature to the specification on the drawing in the woodwork shop & fit the wooden spoon.

Take the armature to the clay studio and clamp it to a work bench using a 'G' clamp and apply clay from the clay oven to the spoon to form a basis to scrape and 'slick up' a well-defined sculptural form. Keep it simple and consider the casting process. Sketch some ideas before commencing shaping the clay and feel free to deviate from your chosen sketch as the clay takes shape.

When complete, produce an A3 visual (medium of your choice) showing your final design from two different viewpoints. Mount the visual on thin card and put your name on the bottom right (or a readable signature).

Deliverables:

1. A3 Board showing a quality shaded sketch of your final design
2. The finished Wooden Spoon Award Clay Model.
3. Sketch Work (A3 Sketch Book with a front sheet showing your name).

Submission:

Deadline: **Monday 6th Jan 2020**. Please place your model and sketchbook on a table and pin up your A3 board, in the studio by **12:00**.

Assessment Criteria:

The project will be assessed in relation to the specific deliverables as follows: **LO1 & LO2** - Will be assessed using the following **Common Assessment Criteria**:

1. **Practical Application Skills (80%):** *Drawing & Model making skills*
2. **Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice (20%):** *Professionalism & Quality.*

Feedback:

Work will be reviewed in small group and individual tutorials with tasks being designated and reviewed the following week a part of the 'formative' feedback process. So you must attend.

You will be provided with written 'Summative' (marks) feedback and the opportunity to discuss your performance in a studio tutorial on or before the feedback date 27.01.2020

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION FORM (UG)

This section details the *extent* to which the assessment criteria are demonstrated by you, which in turn determines your mark. The marks available for each category of skill are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Student Name:		Student ID Number:	
Programme:	BA/MDes Automotive & Transport Design		
Module:	Automotive Design Methods - 1		
Module Code:	M4X01360 - CW1	Contribution to Module:	50 %
Assignment Title:	Wooden Spoon Award		

Generic Assessment Criteria	% of Overall Mark	Level of Achievement – In Percentages %													
		Fail	MF	3 rd Satisfactory			2:2 Good			2:1 Very Good			1 st Exceptional		
		0-29%	30-39%	40-43%	44-46%	47-49%	50-53%	54-56%	57-59%	60-63%	64-66%	67-69%	70-79%	80-89%	90-100%
1. Engagement with Literature Skills	0%	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills	0%	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	0%	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
4. Practical Application Skills	80%	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
5. Transferable Skills for life and Prof Prac.	20%	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Please comment on areas in which you feel that you have performed well:

Please comment on areas that you feel you need to develop:

Student Name:

Date:

GENERIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Level 4

In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 4 students will be expected to have demonstrated knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of a subject, and an ability to evaluate and interpret these within the context of that area of study. They should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of their subject(s) of study. They will have learned how to evaluate different approaches to solving problems, and will be able to communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments. They will be able to undertake further training and develop new skills within a structured and managed environment and will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources but with some reliance on information gained through class contact. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts rather than other sources. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Generally sound referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. Consistently accurate application of referencing.	Exceptional engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. High-level referencing skills consistently applied.
Knowledge and understanding (Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of a subject.)	Major gaps in knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles of the subject matter. Inclusion of	Gaps in knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles, with flawed or superficial understanding. Some significant	Limited knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles within the subject area.	Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles is accurate with a good understanding of the field of study	Competent knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles. Exhibits very good understanding.	Excellent knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles of the subject.	Exceptional, detailed knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and principles

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
	irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies.	inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material.	Some elements may be missing.	but lacks depth and/or breadth.			
Cognitive and intellectual skills (Evaluate underlying concepts and principles of a subject and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Minimal or no use of evidence to back up views.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Weak interpretation of data, flawed development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles, tending towards description. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data but with some errors. Some evidence to support emerging arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped or with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation.	Good evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data, with minor errors. An emerging ability to use evidence to support the argument. Mostly valid arguments and logical judgements.	Sound evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately. Ability to devise arguments using evidence to make mostly appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately and with some insight. Excellent ability to devise arguments using evidence and make appropriate and valid judgements.	Exceptional evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles based evidence. Outstanding interpretation of qualitative and quantitative data. Exceptional ability to devise arguments using evidence and make wholly appropriate and valid judgements.
Practical skills (Different approaches to solving problems in particular contexts.)	Limited or no use of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application. Very weak evidence of different approaches to	Rudimentary application of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and competence. Flawed appreciation of the context of the application.	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Basic appreciation of the context of the application.	A good and appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of the context of the application. Good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in	A very good application of a range of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of the application. Very good evidence of different approaches to	An advanced application of a range of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is well considered, and insightful. Excellent evidence of	Exceptional levels of application and deployment skills in particular practical contexts. Outstanding identification of problems in particular contexts and formulation of wholly appropriate, thoughtful solutions / different approaches.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
	problem-solving in particular contexts.	Weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	Can identify problems in particular contexts and propose basic alternative approaches or solutions though there may be errors.	particular contexts and proposes mostly appropriate solutions.	problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	
Transferable skills for life and professional practice (Communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; the qualities needed for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility within a structured and managed environment.)	Work is poorly structured, disorganised, inaccurate and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively individual or as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.	Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to individual or group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.	Mostly ordered presentation and structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence and/or accuracy in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of minor weakness.	Mostly coherent, organised and accurate work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	Work is accurate, coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with just occasional minor weakness.	Work is coherent, very fluent and is presented professionally. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an excellent contribution to group activities. Demonstrates excellent skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.	Work is accurate, exceptionally coherent, very fluent and presented well. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an exceptional contribution to group activities. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN WELSH

