

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
General Comments		
A good effort that has demonstrated the use of the CLI and scripts from Windows/Linux. Scripts however, need some substance/complexity and needs to inform the user how they can use them. Discussion on the functions of a typical OS is fine, but is lacking relevant cited research (you should know better by now)	100	63
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
Although you have bibliography, the emphasis here is the use IEEE as a methodology of applying referencing to a HE level report. I could argue that what you researched is valid, but you need to cite, otherwise this is now an opinion	20	15
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Generally no issues with the CLI for Windows/Linux, but you skills in using a set of scripts needs addressing - did you follow the resources that were available in lectures	20	14
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Supplied discussion on the functions of the OS are fine (albeit lacking cited references) and is informative (maybe supporting images would make this a better read). However, you have exceeded the word count of 500 on this section - with this in mind, did you proof read your work	10	7
4. Practical Application Skills		
To be fair, work on CLI is fine, but your scripting for both OS do need attention and maybe some instructions for the end user. For completeness, for your work on the CLI, would it be better is you provided the help on the command in the first place, discuss your plans, then execute - this would have made for a better read	40	20
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
Although the structure/presentation is not too bad, you need to reflect on how to present work for HE (more so the referencing - IEEE) as if you do not adapt to this methodology, you will lost marks going forward i.e., next year. Also, where are your page numbers ?	20	7

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)

Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)

Up to four weeks late

1st/2nd/3rd attempt?

1st

63