

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Ross Thomas
Title of Project:	Securing Home Networks Utilising Smart Technology
Supervisor:	Kapilan Radhakrishnan
2nd marker:	John Rees
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> A decently written report. The underlying project lacks substance, in the form of work undertaken, and this adversely affects the results and conclusions sections.	44%
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	

Signature:



Date: 17/05/2018

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	2.5/5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	14/20	/15
Comments: Brief introduction to the problem and its impact. The aims and objectives are OK. The literature review covers a decent range of topics relevant to the project. It is focused on security and brings in some good sources.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2.5/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5
Comments: The research methodology charted approach on p23 doesn't reflect the requirements of this experimental project. There is some discussion, but this is of poor quality. One significant strength is the risk analysis matrix.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	8/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	2/10	/10
Comments: There is little in the way of discussion. The results, as shown in the “how to” guide, are presented in an accessible way. It is very difficult to deliver strong recommendations and conclusions from a weak practical project.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	4/15	-
Comments: The “how to” guide is OK, but reflects the limitations of the ambitions of the work. There are elements in the description of the design that reflect poor understanding of some of the underpinning technologies.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	6/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Comments: The reflection is adequate, but lacks a bit of maturity. There is nothing wrong with presenting problems where they have been significant. There is at least some attempt to comment on the Gantt charts (proposed and actual), and this is a strength. The report is generally well written and presented. Most of the diagrams are readable. A number of the references are incomplete, although the range of references is actually good, including both literary and internet sources.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	44/100	/100