

Feedback	
Student's Name	JOSH
Student's SurName	NAYLOR
Student's Email	2114259@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	NCSE
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
Very basic work. Literatures referred to the work is not adequate. Most of the literatures are online/ internet sources. Could have referred to more academic credible literatures. No evidence of critical reviews on literatures referred to the work. Could have also focused on summaries on the literatures referred to the work.	6

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
The threats, vuln and attacks discussed were very generic. Could have mapped them with the scenario given. Seems like the report was done in the last minute. The flow of the report is very difficult to follow. Could have done more in-depth description on .rekt file format attacks. The Guideline is very generic. Not focused on the scenario. Poor formatting. The strength of the statements needs improvement.	7.5

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
More in-depth discussion on possible security breaches required. What is an Interval actor? Proof read the report before submitting. No Key observations on securing the network and on rekt file format. Very poor organisation.	8.8

Practical Application Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
Packet Tracer Certificate attached. Physical and Logical Topology is not appropriate. A packet tracer screenshot does not justify a Topology diagram. Implementation done. IP Addresses configured. Basic configurations could have been done in the network. Wireless Connectivity is sucessful. Basic security need to be configured.	28.5

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	10
Feedback	Mark
Could have added an Abstract, introduction, conclusion to the report. Don't address the report using 1st person. Use narrative/ descriptive approach in writing an effective report. Could have used definitions and abbreviations in the report.	3
Overall Mark	54