

Global Business Challenges

Student ID: 2023510

Title: Global Challenges

Word count: 5,288



Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Starbucks Overview and Current Strategic Context.....	6
PESTEL Analysis.....	8
Political Factors.....	8
Economic Factors.....	9
Social Factors.....	10
Technological Factors.....	11
Environmental Factors.....	13
Legal Factors.....	13
Porter's Five Forces Analysis.....	14
Threat of New Entrants.....	14
Bargaining Power of Suppliers.....	15
Bargaining Power of Buyers.....	15
Threat of Substitutes.....	16

Competitive Rivalry.....	17
Swot Analysis.....	17
Strengths.....	17
Weaknesses.....	18
Opportunities.....	19
Threats.....	19
Micro-Economic Decisions:Past and Future.....	20
Conclusion.....	22
Recommendations.....	23
Reference List.....	26

Executive Summary

This report was written to show how Starbucks fits in the global coffee scene. Using secondary data, the paper examines company's setting using PESTEL analysis, reviews industry layout with Porter's Five Forces and evaluates Starbucks's internal operations with SWOT framework. The report also inspects Starbucks's past and decision process. The analysis verifies that the firm operates in highly changing environment. It is seen that the organisation has the sustainability-related concerns for the long-term impact on the world. Starbucks faces inflation, rising wages, commodity price volatility and supply problems caused by climate change. Political problems with China are present while overseas market continues to shape demand for premium coffee. The company still benefits from their development because technological growth allows to implement automation and the wide range of reward programs. Porter's Five Forces demonstrates that the industry has rivalry, strong buyer power and high risk from substitutes. Supplier power stays moderate to high because coffee production is concentrated in specific regions. Starbucks keeps their market position as the company relies on brand awareness, size advantage and the use of technology. SWOT analysis shows that the firm's strengths are brand equity, supply chain, digital tools and reputation. The weaknesses are operating expenses, a dependence on the US market and labour conflicts. Their strategy actions include premium pricing, product diversification and digital investment. They mostly helped the company but also impacted raised costs and added complexity. The report closes with multiple recommendations: strengthening supply chain resilience, improving digital capabilities, expanding sustainability initiatives and implementing more dynamic pricing strategies. Pursuing these actions aim to enhance Starbucks long term competitiveness in an increasingly uncertain global environment.

Introduction

Starbucks organisation is recognised as a leading global entity in the premium coffee and food service sector. It was launched in Seattle in 1971 and the company began to internationalise in the early 1990's. It has established a major global presence now (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). As of 2024, Starbucks runs 40,000 locations across 88 markets. It indicates a highly internationalised retail network backed by a complex global supply chain structure. The company's value proposition focuses on premium coffee products. They have a strong emphasis on customer experience and consistently branded retail setting that is accompanied by wide menu of beverages, food items and merchandise (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a).

Despite the fact that Starbucks serves a huge global customer base, its financial performance remains anchored in the United States and Canada. They continue to generate 75% of total businesses revenue in that area (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). China, however, represents the firms's most significant growth driver. The company is emphasising the strategic importance of emerging customer markets (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). Operating at this scale exposes the company to a range of external pressures. It's including economic instability, shifts in consumer confidence, highly penetrated markets, supply chain limitations and cultural heterogeneity. These factors are particularly silent within the global coffee industry. This is where fluctuations in coffee beans and other product prices, regulatory variations and intensifying competitive dynamics shape firm's strategic choices (MarketLine, 2023).

The purpose of this report is to provide a critical and integrated assessment of Starbucks's environment. Macro environment is analysed through PESTEL framework. Porter's Five Forces is used to assess the competitive pressures that are pushed on the organisation. SWOT analysis integrate key internal and external factors shaping its strategic position. Furthermore, the report reflects on selected historical microeconomic decisions made by the company to

draw insights into its strategic behaviour. The final section presents recommendations aimed at strengthening Starbucks's future on the global market.

This report relies exclusively on secondary research. Key sources include Starbucks's most recent Fiscal Annual Report and Global Impact Report, alongside latest industry articles, market data and academic literature on strategic management. These sources offer the factual evidence and theoretical perspectives, which are required to support a strong assessment of the organisation's capabilities, challenges and long-term strategic direction.

Starbucks Overview and Current Strategic Context

As mentioned in the introduction, Starbucks started in 1971 as a small shop in Seattle selling coffee beans and basic equipment. For several years it was a quiet, local business until Howard Schultz joined in the 1980. He brought back the idea of creating cafes inspired by Italian espresso bars. This shift turned Starbucks from a retailer into a place focused on social atmosphere and every day activities. Over time, this idea of building a "third place" which is a space that is separate from home and work became central to Starbucks identity and started a global success. It also helped to justify their premium pricing strategy and at the same time was shaping loyal customer base (Schultz, 2011).

The organisation's business model relied on differentiation rather than cost leadership. Coffee and other branded drinks still represent the biggest share of revenue. The organisation also sells food, merchandise and is growing range of ready to drink products through partners like PepsiCo (MarketLine, 2023). Expansion has followed a mixed ownership model. In markets such as the United States, Starbucks prefers to own most stores to maintain control over quality and the in store experience. Internationally, however, the company often uses licensing agreements. This mirrors Johnson and Vahlne's (2009) argument that firms entering culturally

distant markets tend to work with local partners to lower uncertainty and benefit from their existing expertise. Starbucks has applied this process in major growth markets, especially in China and India.

Recent strategy focused heavily on digital tools, sustainability and operational changes. Starbucks has built one of the most powerful digital ecosystems in retail, with over 32 million active rewards members in the United States in 2023 (Starbucks Corporation, 2023). The mobile app and loyalty programme help the company manage personalised offers, encourage repeat visits and ease pressure on shops by spreading out ordering. Alongside this, the company has positioned itself as a leader in ethical sourcing and sustainability. They want to reduce water and carbon footprint by half by 2030. They also aim to grow the C.A.F.E. Practices system (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b).

The ongoing “Reinvention Plan” focuses on addressing store level issues by redesigning workflows, adding automated equipment and investing more in employees. These steps reflect Porter’s (1985) view that competitive advantage depends on balancing customer value with operational efficiency. Faster service and smoother processes are planned to guard margins while keeping the shop experience intact.

Despite it’s growing strong global brand, Starbucks faces increasing pressure. Rising labour costs and ongoing unionisation efforts in the United States have created both financial and reputational issues (McNicholas, Poydock and Edayadi, 2023). Coffee bean costs stay unstable, which has an impact on profitability (MarketLine, 2023). Growth in America has slowed down because the market is highly saturated. Cheaper competitors like McCafe have become stronger alternatives for cost sensitive customer group (MarketLine, 2023). Internationally, geopolitical tensions, especially in China impact company’s expansion plans

(McKinsey & Company, 2023). In this environment, Starbucks must keep improving its operations and digital capabilities while maintaining the experience that originally made it very successful.

PESTEL Analysis

Political Factors

Political factors affect Starbucks at multiple areas in its value chain. It starts with trade policies that govern coffee import. Because Starbucks buys the majority of its Arabica coffee from Latin America and East Africa, stability in trade agreements and tariff structures directly shape the firm's input expenses. Rising geopolitical tensions and recurrent supply-chain disruptions are reshaping global trade networks. It's creating profound uncertainty for firms that operate internationally (McKinsey & Company, 2023). Political instability in supplier countries, such as changes in government or outbreaks of conflict, can also disrupt harvesting or export activities. These risks are seen very often in political stability metrics and they highlight the vulnerability of agricultural supply chains (World Bank, 2024b).

Starbucks's global position is also linked to the political climate between the United States and China (Chatham House, 2019). China remains the organisation's most important international growth market, yet tensions between the two countries are raging from trade disputes to technology regulations. That is introducing uncertainty for the future. According to Chatham House (2019) deteriorating diplomatic relations between the United States and China can lead to higher tariffs, investment uncertainty and a more challenging environment for foreign-owned consumer brands looking to expand within China.

Political changes in the United States further shape Starbucks's operational landscape. The recent wave of unionisation efforts has brought regulatory attention to labour practices inside the company (McNicholas, Poydock and Edayadi, 2023). These challenges may pose ongoing risks. Disputes over wage levels, working conditions and labour rights can affect public image and they could cause operational disruptions in some markets. These matters also affect firm's public image, especially now that labour relations are becoming increasingly politicised.

Economic Factors

Economic conditions form one of the most important sets of pressures shaping Starbucks's strategy plan. Global commodity and energy price shocks have driven up production and input costs worldwide. It's increasing costs for core inputs such as energy and raw materials (International Energy Agency, 2024). Wage inflation has also been noticeable, especially in America's service sector, where labour shortages have led to higher staffing costs (OECD, 2024). These demands directly affect the company's profitability and have initiated adjustments in retail pricing. While the firm benefits from strong brand loyalty, sustained inflation may weaken purchasing power and restrict the extent to which price increases can continue without affecting demand.

GDP performance in Starbucks's most succesful markets is another critical indicator. The United States stays the firm's main revenue generator and despite some regular changes, it's economic performance has remained stable. China's economic growth has been updated to decrease in recent IMF projections, showing a slower pace of development than expected. Because China is central to Starbucks's long-term store expansion, this smaller growth outlook introduces uncertainty around demand for premium coffee and non-essential spending

patterns (IMF, 2024). European markets present a mixed view. Some countries are recovering gradually from recent disruptions and others face persistent economic slowdown.

Exchange-rates can at the same time reduce the home-currency value of foreign revenues and increase the cost of imported goods which is putting pressure on multinational firms margins (Accounting for Everyone, 2023).

Commodity price fluctuations, especially Arabica coffee, remain one of the most important economic factors. Weather conditions, political uncertainty and speculative trading have all played a part in unsteady coffee prices. According to the World Bank's commodity price index, Arabica prices have experienced considerable movements over the past decade due to climate impacts and supply shortages (World Bank, 2024a). Even though Starbucks utilises hedging to reduce short term risk, large increases in commodity pricing eventually influence margins or call for retail price adjustments.

Consumer spending cycles also affect Starbucks's strategy. During stages of economic unpredictability or falling real wages, consumers may transition in direction of cheaper food and beverage options. While Starbucks tends to retain demand more effectively than lower brand equity competitors, long lasting pressure on household budgets can affect visit frequency and spending amount per transaction, especially in mature markets.

Social Factors

Social tendencies hold the company brand position in the market and tactic for customer service. One major change in customer behaviour is the rising demand for healthier and more sustainable products (MarketLine, 2023). Many clients expect information about ingredients origin, sugar content or just being eco-friendly (MarketLine, 2023). Starbucks has responded

by expanding its plant based offerings, lowering sugar in selected drinks and boosting sourcing transparency through its C.A.F.E Practices programme (Starbucks, 2024b).

Cultural contrasts also have impact on product vision. While coffee culture is doing great in Western markets, many Asian areas prefer tea. Basing the knowledge on cultural insights, Starbucks adapts its beverage range to local tastes, offering regionally specific drinks. According to Shavitt and Barnes (2020), in collectivist societies, purchasing decisions and consumer journey are often shaped by social norms and group expectations rather than purely individual preferences which affects how people respond to retail settings such as cafes.

Urbanisation and lifestyle changes play a very important role in shaping demand for premium coffee shops (MarketLine, 2023). As urban populations increase, convenience and accessibility become essential for customers. Starbucks's position as a "third place" aligns with these trends and tends to appeal strongly to younger demographics. This age group not only prefers premium experiences but also expects digital convenience and personalisation (McKinsey & Company, 2023).

Engagement in plant based diets, ethical consumption and sustainable packaging has also become popular (McKinsey & Company, 2023). Starbucks's initiatives to have non dairy milk options or desserts and promote reusable cups indicate these evolving social expectations. However, it's worth mentioning that adoption varies by region.

Technological Factors

Technology has become central to Starbucks's competitive advantage and long term scalability (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). The company's digital ecosystem, including mobile ordering, personalised marketing and digital payment systems is one of the most

advanced in the global food and beverages sector (MarketLine, 2023). Starbucks Rewards programme assists customer retention and gives comprehensive consumer behaviour statistics. It benefits product development as well as promotional strategy (Starbucks Corporation, 2023).

In the internal processes, Starbucks has increasingly integrated automation. It improves efficiency and minimise pressure on staff (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). Digital workflow systems, automated espresso machines and AI forecasting tools support all the store processes, strengthen consistency and on top of it they reduce waste. These technical solutions are especially beneficial in markets that go through labour shortages or rising wage costs.

Technology plays a growing part in helping Starbucks track its supply chain. The company uses various digital tools to follow its coffee from source to store, check quality standards and verify ethical compliance (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b). This approach improves day to day operations while supporting the firm's overall brand image.

The growth of different delivery platforms changed what consumers expect from leading brands around the world (MarketLine, 2023). Services such as Uber Eats or DoorDash have significantly influenced how people purchase food and drinks, especially in large cities (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). Starbucks has partnered with several delivery providers so far. Unfortunately, the importance of delivery service also brings logistical challenges and more organisational layers. Coordinatng orders across third party platforms can complicate store operations, mostly during peak times. Because of that, Starbucks must continually adjust staffing, workflow and technology to maintain service quality.

Environmental Factors

Environmental pressures matter more with every year for Starbucks because coffee feels the impact of climate change. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change shows that rising temperatures and changing rain patterns make many coffee-growing areas less suitable (IPCC, 2022). They also raise the risk to long-term farm stability.

Starbucks experiences pressure around sustainable operations. Governments, nonprofit groups and consumers themselves expect reduced waste, lower emissions and better resource management. Starbucks has set goals to cut carbon emissions, water usage and waste by half by 2030 (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b). The company is aligning its goals with international frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals (United Nations, 2023). Achieving these, will require extreme changes in their operations, packaging choices and supply chain processes.

Environmental analysis remains in the centre of firms choices for the future. At the same time Starbucks still faces criticism over disposable cup waste and plastic usage. The firm has expanded programmes promoting reusable cups and recyclable materials. They're implementing these initiatives consistently across global markets, which is very challenging.

Legal Factors

Starbucks local and foreign operations are shaped by legal frameworks. Every market has food safety regulations that demand stringent adherence to quality control, allergen declaration and cleanliness standards. There are serious financial and reputational costs associated with noncompliance.

Countries have very different labour laws, including rules on minimum wages, working hours and collective bargaining rights. In the United States, a rapid wave of unionisation at Starbucks stores and the large number of associated legal cases before the National Labor Relations Board show how the company must navigate a complex labour-relations and regulatory environment (McNicholas, Poydock and Edayadi, 2023).

In markets where counterfeiting is prevalent intellectual property rights are crucial for safeguarding Starbucks's brand (MarketLine, 2023). Maintaining brand identity involves store design patents, recipe confidentiality and trademark protection (United Nations, 2023).

Additionally, there is global tightening of ESG reporting rules. Businesses are now required by many governments to provide comprehensive information regarding supply chain transparency, governance standards and environmental effect. These changing rules impact strategic decision making and show additional reporting requirements.

Porter's Five Forces Analysis

Threats of New Entrants

The threat of new entrants in the coffee retail industry can be described as moderate. It doesn't require a large capital to open a small independent cafe. It explains why many small shops continue to open up in most urban areas (MarketLine, 2023). These smaller entrants usually compete with each other on authenticity or local atmosphere.

However, entering the market as a large chain presents a much more significant barrier. Starbucks's global store network, strong supplier relationships and highly developed digital ecosystem make replication difficult. The organisation's customer loyalty programme, for

example, is creating an additional barrier for newcomers attempting to scale quickly (Starbucks Corporation, 2023).

Although it is relatively simple for small cafes to enter the market, it is far more difficult for new players to challenge Starbucks's international presence. Because of this, the overall threat of new entrants remains moderate.

Bargaining Power of Suppliers

Supplier power in the specialty coffee market tends to be moderate to high. Coffee beans are grown in geographically limited regions, creating structural dependency on suppliers in Latin America, East Africa and parts of Asia. Climate change and political instability have a huge impact on this risk. Unpredictable weather conditions and policy changes can disrupt production (World Bank, 2024a). Supplier consolidation in some regions increased the influence of larger farming cooperatives as well.

However, Starbucks has some ability to counteract this power. The firm uses long term supply contracts, invests in agronomy centres and maintains direct relationships with farmers through programmes such as C.A.F.E. Practices (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b). These efforts stabilise supply but they also strengthen quality control. It's reducing the risk associated with supplier concentration.

Even with these strategies, dependency on high quality Arabica beans and environmental volatility means that supplier power remains a significant force.

Bargaining Power of Buyers

Buyer power is high in this industry. In urban areas competitors such as McDonald's McCafe Coffee and independent cafes are widely available (MarketLine, 2023). This is why consumers can easily switch between coffee providers. Many buyers are also price sensitive, especially during periods of economic uncertainty, making them more likely to go for cheaper alternatives.

However, Starbucks retains certain advantages that soften buyer power. It is the strong global brand combined with a consistent store experience. These encourage loyalty. The Starbucks Reward programme also contributes to repeated purchasing and reduces switching behaviour. Especially between consumers who value convenience and personalisation (Starbucks Corporation, 2023).

Despite these strengths, the availability of alternatives means buyer power remains high.

Threat of Substitutes

The threat of substitutes is also high. Consumers seeking caffeine or refreshments have numerous alternatives from teas to bottled beverages. The rise of premium home brewing machines, such as Nespresso, has changed some consumption away from cafes. It is enabling consumers to replicate coffee at home for lower long term cost (MarketLine 2023).

Convenience stores and supermarkets have expanded their ready to drink offerings, creating further substitution pressure. In addition, growing interest in healthier options or reduced caffeine intake contributes to a slow shift toward alternative beverages MarketLine (2023).

Given these trends, Starbucks must continually innovate in order to maintain its value proposition against substitute products.

Competitive Rivalry

Competitive rivalry in premium coffee retail market is extremely high. Starbucks competes not only with established brands such as McDonald's and Costa, but also with thousands of local cafes that offer unique experiences and premium products (MarketLine, 2023). Competition is charged by constant product innovation, new store designs and digital capability expansions (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a).

Market saturation in developed economies enlarges this rivalry. Multiple coffee shops often operate within close proximity. Internationally, the expansion of global and regional competitors in growth markets, including China and India, intensifies pressure on Starbucks's plans of becoming larger than they already are.

Starbucks company's scale, technology investments and strong brand help maintain its competitive edge, but rivalry remains the most powerful of the five forces.

SWOT Analysis

Strengths

The most important strength for Starbucks is its brand equity across the world. It is associated with consistent quality, reliability, and a characteristic store environment. The brand power justifies premium pricing strategy of the company and helps retain customer loyalty. It's happening even amidst economic problems around the globe (Starbucks Corporation, 2024c).

Another major strength is Starbucks advanced technology ecosystem (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). Because of its Rewards program, mobile ordering, and AI-driven forecasting tools, the firm can engage with customers seamlessly and make operations more efficient each day. This infrastructure is mostly beneficial in urban markets where consumers expect things convenient and quick.

Starbucks also has proportions power. With 40,000 stores across 88 markets, the company's purchasing power, distribution capability and international presence definitely outpaces most competitors (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). Long-term ethical sourcing programmes, like C.A.F.E. Practice, improve supply security and their reputation (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b).

Weaknesses

Despite its strengths, there are a few internal limitations. High operating costs due to labour-intensive operations and premium store environments don't allow much flexibility in price-sensitive markets. Starbucks has a strong dependence on the U.S. and Canadian markets,. They're responsible for around 75% of total revenue which creates a concentration risk. Economic downturns in these markets unequally affect the performance of the company (Starbucks Corporation, 2024).

Disputes over wage levels, working conditions, and labour rights could affect public perception (McNicholas, Poydock and Edayadi, 2023). They may even lead to operational disruptions in some markets. Finally, the reliance on premium pricing may limit the reach of Starbucks's competitiveness among more economically sensitive customers.

Opportunities

There are several opportunities for further growth at Starbucks. Developing markets like India, Vietnam, and parts of Southeast Asia are growing middle class consumption and urbanisation rates (IMF, 2024). These areas are especially favourable for expansion.

Another segment is the ready-to-drink coffee beverages. They could give Starbucks more visibility in supermarkets and convenience channels if the offer would be more stretched. Digital innovation is another direction. Especially AI-driven personalisation and further improvement in mobile ordering and delivery integrations.

Because consumer interest in sustainability, traceability, and ethical sourcing is growing, it provides Starbucks with an opportunity to reinforce its leadership in responsible business practices. Investments in more sustainable packaging, energy efficiency, and transparent supply chains may build up brand differentiation (MarketLine, 2023).

Threats

Starbucks faces a lot of external threats. Many of them have been discussed in the PESTEL analysis part of this report. Commodity price goes up and climate-related disruptions threaten coffee availability while increasing sourcing costs (World Bank, 2024a).

Competition is still one of the major threats for the business. Strong global brands, local cafes and convenience retailers are competing aggressively on price, quality, and technology. Starbucks is pressured to innovate further in order to stay ahead of other businesses. Market saturation within mature economies decline growth opportunities and make the company dependent on emerging markets (MarketLine, 2023).

Economic downturns further threaten premium brands. Reduced non-mandatory spending could shift customers to cheaper alternatives, affecting transaction volume and frequency.

Micro-Economic Decisions: Past and Future

The micro-economic decisions of Starbucks have their foundation in its goal of entering the premium end of the coffee sector with high brand equity. However, that ambition is also a factor in the development of the market. High-end pricing strategy always shaped a lot of this approach. As described recently, it is the value-added ‘third place’ experience, store design and product customisation. Not only the cost of coffee itself (Schultz, 2011). That decision proven to be incredibly useful in providing solid margins to the brand. But Starbucks’s growth has also suppressed its power to appeal to price sensitive consumers, most notably in poorer marketplaces. Global inflation and increased commodity/packaging costs reduced purchasing power (IMF, 2024) and pushed cost for manufacturers and retailers higher. Further, by constructing a huge global store matrix and centralised supply chain processes, Starbucks has minimised unit costs and gained competitive advantage over suppliers (MarketLine, 2023). The consistency is reflected in the standardised processes and menu items that used to drive global expansion. At the same time, in this model, fixed costs increase. That’s especially in developed economies like the United States.

One of the key choices being made by the group has been to look at product portfolio-building as well. Seasonal beverages, food articles and ready-to-drink products help stabilise demand all season, offering Starbucks to garner more time to collect a share of the spending (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). That has generally succeeded in keeping interest going and allowing price premiums. Heavy innovation, though, can make it more difficult to run operations and manage inventory, particularly with a range of regional preferences.

Store location choices also impacted Starbucks's financial performance (Starbucks Corporation, 2024a). The retailer later diversified to suburban, drive-through and transport hub locations. Drive-through designs increased convenience and sales growth, especially in the post-pandemic world. Conversely, expanding into even more marginal territories eventually could lead to reduced profitability at a store level.

Automation and digital offerings have been one of Starbucks' greatest strategies. Mobile ordering, the Rewards program and AI forecasting have led to reduced waiting times and a dramatic increase in repeat purchase volumes for customers (Starbucks Corporation, 2023). These are longer-term investments rather than one-time improvements and require continual improvements that need infrastructure upgrades and significant upfront investment.

Workers pay and benefits strategies have also been crucial. Starbucks has historically valued employee retention and the quality of service through more generous benefits relative to its employees that are typically given to service employers. While this approach has improved the brand's image in some regions, increasing unionisation and wage hikes increased labour costs and started new conflicts (McNicholas, Poydock and Edayadi, 2023).

Future microeconomic decisions must take into account an increasingly unstable environment (IMF, 2024). Starbucks will probably upgrade its pricing strategy to keep up with increasing revenue while at the same time taking inflation into account to protect consumer demand. Perhaps a better approach to price adjustments, by product and market segment, will be the response. More product localisation could fit into various cultural preferences without losing global market visibility. Versatile store formats, such as smaller pick-up-only and drive-through options, which might encourage profitability in more densely populated urban and suburban areas, would be beneficial, but more automation and more efficient productivity metrics could offset that pressure to control labour costs.

Conclusion

This paper's analysis suggests that Starbucks operates in a competitive and complex environment. The political, economic and social factors interact with environmental and legal pressures to shape firm's strategic options. This was demonstrated through PESTEL framework. The PESTEL model has shown that economic and environmental forces are the strongest drivers of influence. Persistent inflation, wage pressures and commodity price volatility affect costs and pricing. At the same time climate change poses a direct threat to coffee supply and long-term sourcing stability (IMF, 2024; IPCC, 2022).

Based on a Porter's Five Forces analysis, it's found that the premium coffee retail industry has strong competitive rivalry, strong buyer power and a significant threat of substitutes like home brewing solutions and alternative beverages (MarketLine, 2023). Supplier power is moderate to high with production concentrated in a few geographic areas, also climate related risks. These results support the contention that Starbucks confronts substantial external influences at nearly all stages of its value chain. In all these aspects, Starbucks continues to enjoy significant structural advantages, due to such factors as strong brand equity, an advanced digital business environment and substantial economies of scale. Its difference in store experience and reputation for ethical sourcing enables it to continue to charge a premium price to keep a large number of consumers loyal, particularly in its core markets (Starbucks Corporation, 2023b).

The study of the SWOT will see that these strengths lead to a low degree of susceptibility, but which have not completely hedged the risks on both the market saturation, over-reliance on the U.S. market coupled with an increase in the tensions among labour. Starbucks continues to

be a competitive firm, but the fate of it will hinge on how well it can tailor micro and macro factors accordingly.

The company needs to balance its offer of high quality with lower prices, global standardisation with local responsiveness and sustainability with financial accountability. This technological capability and digital innovation provide significant opportunity but need to be married together with efficient supply chain and intelligent labour relations management to maintain Starbucks's success in global premium coffee market leadership.

Recommendations

A few key recommendations to reinforce Starbucks's strategic position and long-term resilience should be suggested from the integrated analysis.

First, Starbucks has to make supply chain much more resilient. That also means including in supply regions other than the traditional coffee regions, where possible, and further investing in agriculture innovation to make sure that farmers can adapt as a result of climate change effects (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b). Supporting more climate robust coffee options and better farming can ensure supply stability and lower a farm's vulnerability to shock.

Building on partnerships and technical assistance with developing producer countries would serve the company's sustainability objectives while minimising long-term procurement risk.

Second, the company needs to still keep improving its digital transformation. Starbucks has a powerful mobile app and loyalty ecosystem. So while AI & predictive analytics would benefit the business in better demand forecasting, waste reduction, and labour scheduling, overall, Starbucks could improve these processes if the organisation implemented these technologies

across the full company (Starbucks Corporation, 2023). Additional personalisation of offerings with integrated delivery and pick up options will foster customer loyalty and position Starbucks in a distinctive position among low cost rivals and independent cafes.

Third, speeding up sustainability efforts is important, not only to meet regulators, but also to stay competitive. Carbon reduction, new encouragements for reusable cups and enhanced transparency regarding environmental performance would be direct responses to the social and environmental demands that are laid out in the PESTEL analysis (Starbucks Corporation, 2024b). Clear communication that progress in these areas will lead to the brand equity and environmentally conscious consumers.

Fourth, Starbucks needs a more dynamic pricing strategy. Local income differences, inflation and competitive rivalry have the potential to support price point differentiation while avoiding weakening the premium branding positioning. Specific promotions or value derived product bundles. In some markets they may restrict customers switching during economic times of threats (IMF, 2024).

Fifthly, the company needs to focus on high-growth emerging markets, where middle class consumer preferences and urbanisation are on the rise and in developing countries such as India, Vietnam, and select Middle Eastern cities (IMF, 2024). This expansion, however, should be matched with also greater localised menus and store concepts which responds to regional tastes and cultural preferences, by extrapolating the learnings made earlier in the social and cultural analysis. It is true that Starbucks would benefit from more development in flexible store formats. Drive-thru, and pick-up only for stores, are easier to use while keeping the fixed cost of parking or rent in place, and they work with limited space locations to

minimise the cost of hire. On top of the greater automation, these sorts of formats can help to control labor pressures and ensure quality of service.

Together, these recommendations strive to both strengthen Starbucks's current strengths and counter the major threats to the business identified in the external and internal evaluations. If they work if they are good and if they act well, they can provide a pathway for Starbucks to build resilience, adaptability and sustainability in an increasingly uncertain global environment.

Reference List

Accounting for Everyone (2023) *How changes in foreign currency exchange rates impact multinational corporations' accounting practices*. Available at: <https://accountingforeveryone.com/how-do-changes-in-foreign-currency-exchange-rates-affect-the-accounting-for-multinational-corporations/> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

Chatham House (2019) *US–China Strategic Competition: The Quest for Global Technological Leadership*. Available at: <https://www.chathamhouse.org/sites/default/files/CHHJ7480-US-China-Competition-RP-WEB.pdf> (Accessed: 28 November 2025).

IEA (2024) *World Energy Outlook 2024*. Paris: International Energy Agency. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2024> (Accessed: 30 November 2025).

International Monetary Fund (2024) *World Economic Outlook: Navigating Economic Uncertainty*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund. Available at: <https://www.imf.org/en/publications/weo/issues/2024/10/22/world-economic-outlook-october-2024> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

IPCC (2022) *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Available at: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/> (Accessed: 28 November 2025).

Johanson, J. and Vahlne, J-E. (2009) 'The Uppsala internationalisation process model revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership', *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(9), pp. 1411–1431. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311611611>

227470275_The_Uppsala_Internationalization_Process_Model_Revisited_From_Liability_of_Foreignness_to_Liability_of_Outsidership (Accessed: 27 November 2025).

MarketLine (2023) *Starbucks Corporation Company Profile*. MarketLine Industry Profiles. Available at: <https://www.scribd.com/document/543160476/Starbucks-Corp-Profile-Starbucks-Corp-MarketLine-Intelligence-Center-pdf> (Accessed: 27 November 2025).

McKinsey & Company (2023) *Risk, resilience, and rebalancing in global value chains*. Available at: <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/innovation-and-growth/risk-resilience-and-rebalancing-in-global-value-chains> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

McNicholas, C., Poydock, M. and Edayadi, M. (2023) *Employers regularly engage in tactics to suppress unions: Examples at Starbucks, Amazon, and Google illustrate employers' anti-union playbook*. Working Economics Blog. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute. Available at: <https://www.epi.org/blog/employers-regularly-engage-in-tactics-to-suppress-unions-examples-at-starbucks-amazon-and-google-illustrate-employers-anti-union-playbook/> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

OECD (2024) *Employment and Labour-Cost Statistics, Q3 2024*. Paris: OECD Publishing. Available at: <https://stats.oecd.org/> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

Porter, M.E. (1985) *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

Schultz, H. (2011) *Onward: How Starbucks Fought for Its Life without Losing Its Soul*. New York: Rodale. (Accessed: 5 December 2025)

Shavitt, S. and Barnes, A.J. (2020) ‘Culture and the consumer journey’, *Journal of Retailing*, 96(1), pp. 40–54. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2019.11.009> (Accessed: 28 November 2025).

Starbucks Corporation (2023) *Starbucks Annual Report 2023*. Available at: https://s203.q4cdn.com/326826266/files/doc_financials/2024/ar/fy23-annual-report.pdf (Accessed: 27 November 2025).

Starbucks Corporation (2024a) *Starbucks Fiscal 2024 Annual Report*. Available at: https://s203.q4cdn.com/326826266/files/doc_financials/2024/ar/Starbucks-Fiscal-2024-Annual-Report.pdf (Accessed: 25 November 2025).

Starbucks Corporation (2024b) *Starbucks Global Impact Report 2024*. Available at: <https://about.starbucks.com/uploads/2025/05/Starbucks-Fiscal-2024-Global-Impact-Report.pdf> (Accessed: 25 November 2025).

United Nations (2023) *The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2023*. New York: United Nations. Available at: <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2023/> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

World Bank (2024a) *Global Economic Prospects: Inflation, Commodity Prices, and Input-Cost Effects*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Available at: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/global-economic-prospects> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).

World Bank (2024b) *Worldwide Governance Indicators: Political Stability and Absence of Violence/Terrorism (latest available data)*. Washington, DC: World Bank. Available from: <https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/> (Accessed: 5 December 2025).