

Student Name: Tomos Williams
Student ID number: 2319571
Programme: HND Quantity Surveying
Module: Research Project Design
Module code: ACBA5024
Lecturer: Hannah Williams
Assignment Title: Research Proposal



Title of the Study:
The Impact of Re-Use and Recycling of Structural Steel in the Construction Industry: Sustainability and Economic Implications.

Table of Contents

1. Abstract.....	3
2. Introduction	
- Background of the Study.....	3
- Research Questions.....	4
- Aims & Objectives.....	5
3. Literature Review	
- Review of Relevant Literature.....	6
- Identification of Gaps.....	6
- How my Research Will Address These Gaps.....	7
4. Research Design and Methodology	
- Research Design.....	7
5. Data Collection Methods	
- Quantitative Research Approach.....	8
- Qualitative Research Approach.....	8
- Sampling Methods.....	9
- Data Analysis Plan.....	10
6. Ethical Considerations.....	10
7. Research Timeline.....	11
8. Expected Outcomes	
- Potential Findings.....	12
- Impact.....	12
9. Reference List.....	13

Abstract

This study aims to explore how recycling and reusing structural steel impact the construction industry in terms of practicality, cost, and the environment. As concerns about sustainability and resource shortages continue to grow worldwide, the construction sector faces increasing pressure to cut down on waste and reduce its carbon footprint. Since structural steel is highly recyclable, it presents a valuable opportunity to support sustainability efforts by lowering the demand for newly produced steel. This is important because making new steel requires a lot of energy and contributes significantly to greenhouse gas emissions (American Institute of Steel Construction, n.d.).

This research will explore the main steps involved in recycling and reusing structural steel, looking at issues like transportation challenges, safety standards, and material quality. It will also analyse the financial advantages, such as reducing costs for waste disposal, purchasing materials, and overall project expenses. At the same time, the study will take into account possible difficulties, including resistance within the industry, lack of necessary infrastructure, and regulatory limitations (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

This study will use a mixed-methods approach, blending qualitative insights from industry experts, collected through case studies and interviews, with quantitative lifecycle assessments. The goal is to offer data-backed recommendations to stakeholders, industry leaders, and policymakers, helping to advance a circular economy and encourage more sustainable construction practices (Steel Construction Institute, 2016).

Introduction

Background of the Study

Steel's resilience, longevity, and versatility make it a crucial material for building structures, making the construction industry one of the world's largest consumers of raw materials. However, producing new steel requires extracting iron ore, a process that demands a great deal of energy and depletes natural resources. This contributes to habitat destruction, environmental damage, and high carbon emissions. In fact, steel production is responsible for about 6% of global CO₂ emissions (Metsec, 2020).

Recycling and reusing structural steel offer an effective way to lessen its environmental impact. Unlike many other materials, steel maintains its strength and quality even after multiple recycling processes, making it well-suited for circular economy practices. Recycling steel uses far less energy and produces fewer greenhouse gas emissions than manufacturing it from raw materials (Infra-Metals Co., 2023). Additionally, repurposing structural steel without extensive reprocessing further reduces waste and energy consumption.

Although recycling and reusing steel offer many advantages, several challenges limit their widespread use. Issues like disassembly and transportation can make the reuse process more complex. Economic concerns and the lack of standardized industry guidelines also create barriers to adoption. Overcoming these challenges is essential for promoting more sustainable construction practices (SteelConstruction.info, n.d.).

Research Questions

This project seeks to examine the key practical, financial, and environmental impacts of recycling and reusing structural steel in construction. By investigating these factors, the study aims to deepen understanding of sustainable building methods and their ability to lower carbon emissions, preserve natural resources, and enhance cost-effectiveness.

1. What are the environmental and economic impacts of reusing and recycling structural steel in the construction industry?

This research aims to measure and evaluate the environmental and financial impacts of recycling and reusing steel. It will explore how using recycled steel instead of newly produced steel can help cut carbon emissions, reduce energy use, and conserve natural resources. Additionally, the study will assess cost savings in material purchasing, waste disposal, and overall project expenses to determine whether these practices are financially beneficial for construction companies. Studies suggest that using reused steel in construction can lower emissions by 29-35% while also providing significant financial gains (Buzatu et al., 2023).

2. What factors influence the adoption of steel recycling and reuse in construction projects?

This research investigates the factors that encourage or hinder the adoption of steel recycling and reuse. It will analyse key aspects such as supply chain logistics, technological developments, industry attitudes, regulatory challenges, and the accessibility of recycling facilities to uncover both opportunities and obstacles in advancing sustainable steel practices. Major challenges include costs, storage limitations, low client demand, difficulties in tracking materials, and gaps in the supply chain (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

3. How do construction professionals perceive the feasibility and benefits of recycling/reusing steel?

Gaining insight into industry perspectives is essential for evaluating the practicality of these sustainable practices. This study will use surveys and interviews to explore construction professionals' opinions on the technical, financial, and logistical aspects of steel recycling and reuse. It will also assess their knowledge of sustainability efforts and their openness to incorporating them into future projects. Additionally, adopting new technologies and enhancing workforce training will play a key role in ensuring a more sustainable construction industry (Puma et al., 2024).

By exploring these questions, the study aims to offer valuable insights into how the construction industry can move toward a circular economy, promoting building practices that are both economically and environmentally sustainable.

Aims/Objectives

The main goal of this study is to examine the practical, financial, and environmental effects of recycling and reusing structural steel in the construction industry. As sustainability and resource conservation become increasingly important, understanding the advantages and challenges of steel recycling and reuse is essential for shaping industry standards and policies. By assessing the potential to reduce dependence on new steel production, this research aims to promote the growth of a circular economy in construction.

To accomplish this primary goal, the study will concentrate on the following specific objectives:

- 1. Assess the environmental impact of reusing and recycling structural steel compared to using new steel.**

This objective focuses on examining how recycling and reusing steel help reduce waste, energy use, and carbon emissions. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methods will be used to compare the environmental impacts of recycled steel versus newly produced steel. Notably, reusing structural steel can result in a reduction of around 60% in the initial carbon impact of the structure (Kanyilmaz et al., 2023).

- 2. Evaluate the economic feasibility of these practices for construction companies, including cost savings and long-term benefits.**

The study will explore financial factors, including initial investment costs, savings on materials, transportation, and waste disposal, along with long-term economic benefits such as lower project costs and improved sustainability credentials. Research has shown that, under certain market conditions, implementing steel reuse strategies is both technically and financially viable (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

- 3. Identify challenges and barriers to adopting steel recycling and reuse in construction.**

This objective will investigate the challenges preventing the widespread adoption of steel recycling and reuse, such as technological limitations, resistance within the industry, regulatory issues, and logistical difficulties. Overcoming these barriers is crucial for encouraging sustainable practices in the construction sector (Kanyilmaz et al., 2023).

- 4. Provide recommendations for improving the adoption of sustainable steel practices in the industry.**

Drawing from the research findings, the study will provide actionable recommendations for stakeholders, construction companies, and policymakers to improve steel recycling and reuse. These suggestions could include policy incentives, better recycling infrastructure, industry standardization, and educational initiatives to increase awareness of the benefits of using sustainable steel (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

By tackling each of these objectives, the study aims to provide valuable insights into how the construction industry can lower its environmental impact while remaining economically sustainable.

Literature Review

Review of Relevant Literature

The construction industry's focus on sustainability has driven research into eco-friendly materials, particularly structural steel. Recycling steel reduces carbon emissions, preserves resources, and lowers project costs. Life cycle assessments (LCA) show recycled steel requires less energy than new steel, cutting greenhouse gas emissions and environmental impact (Shyam Steel, 2023). Additionally, steel recycling supports the circular economy by reducing construction waste (Steel Construction Institute, n.d.).

However, challenges remain, including inconsistent quality and mechanical properties of reused steel, which can affect safety (Kanyilmaz et al., 2023). Logistical issues, like sorting, transportation, and disassembly, complicate the process. Moreover, lack of industry-wide awareness and standardized regulations limits adoption (Densley Tingley et al., 2017). Some studies suggest addressing these challenges through better deconstruction design, improved recycling infrastructure, and regulatory incentives (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

While steel recycling and reuse offer significant benefits, further research and industry collaboration are needed for broader adoption.

Identification of Gaps

While research on recycling's economic and environmental benefits is extensive, there is limited focus on structural steel in construction. Most studies address general material recycling or sustainability, without exploring the specific challenges and opportunities of reusing steel in building projects. Given steel's widespread use, a focused study is needed to understand its recycling potential.

A key gap is the lack of exploration into industry-specific barriers to steel recycling. Though some studies mention logistical and regulatory issues, few analyse the factors influencing project-level decision-making. Additionally, there is little research on construction professionals' perceptions of recycled steel's feasibility and benefits. Understanding their attitudes and knowledge gaps is crucial for developing effective policies and incentives (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

This project aims to fill these gaps by providing insights into steel recycling in construction, offering solutions to challenges, and promoting sustainable practices.

How My Research Will Address These Gaps

This study aims to fill the identified research gaps by providing new data on the environmental impacts and industry views on recycling and reusing structural steel in construction. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study will gather quantitative data through life cycle assessments (LCA) to evaluate how using recycled steel, instead of virgin steel, influences carbon emissions, energy consumption, and overall environmental impact. This will provide solid evidence of the sustainability benefits linked to these practices (World Steel Association, n.d.).

Along with environmental analysis, the study will include qualitative research through surveys and interviews with key stakeholders such as architects, engineers, and contractors. Gaining insight into their attitudes, concerns, and openness to using recycled steel is crucial for evaluating the feasibility and practical challenges of adopting these practices. This part of the study will help close the knowledge gap about industry-specific views on the implementation of steel recycling (Kanyilmaz et al., 2023).

The study will also analyse case studies of successful steel recycling and reuse in actual construction projects. These case studies will showcase best practices, strategies for addressing logistical and regulatory challenges, and key lessons learned. The insights gained will help shape industry standards and guide regulatory policies, supporting the shift toward more sustainable construction practices.

Research Design and Methodology

Research Design

This study will use a mixed-methods approach to evaluate the economic and environmental impacts of recycling and reusing structural steel in construction. Combining qualitative and quantitative analysis, the research will assess measurable outcomes and industry perspectives.

The quantitative aspect will include a lifecycle assessment (LCA) of projects using recycled steel, comparing environmental factors like carbon emissions, energy use, and resource efficiency with projects using new steel. The goal is to provide data on the cost-effectiveness and sustainability of steel recycling (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

The qualitative component will involve interviews with industry professionals—contractors, engineers, architects, and policymakers—to explore their experiences, challenges, and views on steel recycling. Key obstacles like reluctance, financial constraints, logistics, and regulations will be examined (Kanyilmaz et al., 2023).

By combining these methods, the study aims to offer data-driven insights and recommendations to promote the adoption of sustainable steel practices in construction.

Data Collection Methods

Quantitative Research Approach

To assess the financial and environmental impacts of recycling and reusing structural steel in construction, the quantitative part of this study will focus on collecting measurable data. This will be achieved through two main methods: industry surveys and lifecycle assessments (LCAs).

1. Lifecycle Assessments (LCAs):

This study will conduct lifecycle assessments (LCAs) to compare construction projects that have used recycled steel with those that have used only newly produced steel. The analysis will focus on key factors such as energy consumption, carbon emissions, and the overall financial impact of each method. LCAs are commonly used to evaluate the sustainability advantages of recycled steel, showing significant environmental benefits compared to producing new steel (Pomponi and Moncaster, 2017). By examining these factors, the study will assess whether steel recycling presents a viable and competitive alternative to traditional steel production in the construction industry. The results of these assessments will offer data-driven insights into the economic and environmental benefits of using recycled steel (Densley Tingley et al., 2017).

2. Surveys of Construction Companies:

In addition to LCAs, this study will survey construction companies to gain an understanding of current trends and the rate at which steel recycling is being adopted within the industry. The surveys will examine factors such as financial incentives, cost savings, regulatory impacts, and the main challenges companies face when trying to recycle and reuse steel. Previous studies have highlighted the significant role that economic and regulatory factors play in shaping industry practices, with policy-driven incentives being key to improving recycling rates (Giorgi et al., 2022). By gathering input from industry professionals, this study will identify obstacles to wider adoption and explore whether financial or policy incentives could promote a more sustainable approach to steel use in construction.

By combining these two approaches, the study will evaluate industry involvement and the economic feasibility of steel recycling, while also providing quantifiable evidence of its environmental impact.

Qualitative Research Approach

This study will incorporate qualitative research methods to complement the quantitative analysis and gain deeper insights into industry perspectives on reusing and recycling structural steel. This will involve semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders and a detailed review of case studies from real construction projects.

1. Semi-Structured Interviews with Industry Professionals:

This study will conduct semi-structured interviews with professionals in the construction industry, including engineers, architects, sustainability managers, and contractors. Interviews are a valuable tool for gaining insights into industry perspectives, as they allow for a detailed exploration of attitudes, challenges, and motivations related to steel recycling and reuse (Bryman, 2016). Key topics of discussion will include awareness of sustainable construction practices, perceived financial and technical challenges, regulatory barriers, and the potential benefits of recycling steel. Previous research has shown that industry professionals often identify logistical issues and the lack of regulatory support as major obstacles to using sustainable materials (Densley Tingley et al., 2017). By interviewing

professionals from various sectors, the study will offer a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and feasibility of scaling up these practices.

2. Case Studies of Construction Projects Using Recycled Steel:

In addition to interviews, this study will analyse case studies of construction projects that have successfully incorporated recycled steel. Case studies are helpful in identifying best practices, challenges specific to each project, and key factors that lead to successful implementation (Yin, 2018). Examining real-world examples provides valuable insights into both the potential challenges and practical advantages of recycling steel. Research has shown that case studies can bridge the gap between theoretical sustainability benefits and their actual application in the industry, offering useful lessons for policymakers and industry professionals (Giorgi et al., 2022). These case studies will also highlight strategies that can be replicated to encourage broader adoption of steel recycling and reuse within the industry.

The qualitative part of this study will provide a deep understanding of industry experiences, challenges, and opportunities related to steel recycling and reuse in construction by combining stakeholder interviews with analysis of real-world projects.

Sampling Methods

To ensure a comprehensive and balanced dataset, this study will employ a range of sampling methods for both the quantitative and qualitative aspects.

1. Quantitative Sampling:

For the quantitative analysis, a random selection method will be used to choose construction projects from a database of recent projects. This approach ensures an unbiased sample, offering a diverse representation of projects in terms of location, size, and type (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). By including projects that have used recycled or reused steel as well as those that have relied on newly produced steel, the study will provide a comparative analysis of the environmental and economic impacts. Using random selection methods will also improve the generalizability of the results, making the findings applicable to wider industry practices (Bryman, 2016).

2. Qualitative Sampling:

For the qualitative part of the study, purposive sampling will be used to select industry professionals with direct experience in steel recycling and reuse. This method is ideal for gaining in-depth insights from knowledgeable participants, as it targets individuals with relevant expertise rather than selecting randomly (Patton, 2015). The study will involve professionals such as engineers, architects, project managers, sustainability consultants, and policymakers who have worked on projects using recycled steel. This focused approach ensures that the data collected reflects informed perspectives on the challenges, benefits, and feasibility of recycling steel in construction. Purposeful participant selection enhances the reliability of the qualitative findings and helps generate practical recommendations for broader industry adoption (Tracy, 2020).

The use of these diverse sampling methods in the research will lead to a deeper understanding of steel recycling in the construction sector, capturing both specific professional viewpoints and broader, data-driven trends from construction projects.

Data Analysis Plan

The quantitative and qualitative parts of this study will employ distinct analytical methods to ensure a thorough examination of the collected data.

1. Quantitative Data Analysis:

To evaluate the financial and environmental impacts of using recycled steel in construction, the quantitative data will be subjected to statistical analysis. Lifecycle assessment (LCA) data will be used to compare carbon emissions, energy consumption, and overall resource efficiency between projects that use recycled steel and those that rely solely on newly produced steel (ISO, 2006). Additionally, a cost-benefit analysis will be performed to determine whether recycling steel offers greater financial advantages than using conventional steel. This will include examining differences in waste disposal costs, procurement expenses, and potential long-term savings (Morioka and Bolis, 2020). The statistical findings will provide data-driven insights into the viability and sustainability of steel recycling in the construction sector (Singh et al., 2017).

2. Qualitative Data Analysis:

The qualitative data collected from semi-structured interviews will be analysed using thematic analysis, a technique that identifies recurring patterns and themes in the responses from industry professionals (Braun and Clarke, 2006). By carefully reviewing interview transcripts, the study will pinpoint key themes related to challenges, incentives, and perceptions regarding steel recycling. This process will help uncover common issues faced by construction professionals, such as logistical challenges, regulatory obstacles, and material quality concerns (Guest, MacQueen, and Namey, 2012). Additionally, it will highlight factors that promote adoption, such as cost savings, environmental benefits, and regulatory incentives. By organizing the qualitative data into clear themes, the study will provide a better understanding of industry perspectives on steel recycling and offer insights that can guide future policy recommendations (Nowell et al., 2017).

This study will offer both factual data and contextual insights by integrating statistical and thematic analysis, delivering a thorough assessment of steel recycling in the construction industry.

Ethical Considerations

This study will adhere to strict ethical guidelines to protect the rights, privacy, and well-being of all participants. Several important measures will be implemented to ensure ethical integrity throughout the entire research process.

1. Informed Consent and Voluntary Participation:

Before participating, all respondents will be given a clear explanation of the study's objectives, methods, and potential impacts. Informed consent will be obtained to ensure participants fully understand the purpose of the research and their voluntary involvement (Bryman, 2016). Participants will also be made aware that they can withdraw from the study at any point without facing any negative consequences (Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill, 2019).

2. Confidentiality and Anonymity:

To ensure participant privacy, all personal data and identifying details will be kept confidential. If requested, participants' identities will remain anonymous in any published results, so their responses cannot be linked to them (Creswell and Creswell, 2018). Survey

data and interview transcripts will be securely stored and coded to prevent unauthorized access (Bell, Harley, and Bryman, 2022).

3. Secure Data Storage and Responsible Use:

All collected data will be securely stored in password-protected systems, accessible only to authorized researchers working on the study (Wiles, 2013). The data will not be shared with third parties without explicit consent and will be used exclusively for research purposes. Any published results will be presented in a manner that ensures the privacy and confidentiality of individual participants are maintained (Flick, 2018).

By implementing these ethical measures, this study will ensure that participants feel secure and respected while making valuable contributions to the understanding of steel recycling in construction.

Research Timeline

A clear timeline has been established to ensure the research is conducted systematically and efficiently. The process will be divided into five key stages, each with a set duration, to allow for thorough examination and analysis.

1. Literature Review (Month 1-2):

The study will start with an extensive review of existing literature on steel recycling and reuse in construction, including academic papers, industry reports, and case studies (Bryman, 2016). This phase will help identify gaps in current knowledge, refine the research questions, and set the theoretical foundation for the study (Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill, 2019). The review will also cover relevant policies, sustainability frameworks, and lifecycle assessment (LCA) methods to provide context for the research (Creswell and Creswell, 2018).

2. Data Collection (Surveys & Interviews) (Month 3-4):

After the literature review is completed, the study will move on to data collection, which will include surveys with construction companies and interviews with key industry stakeholders such as engineers, architects, and project managers (Bell, Harley, and Bryman, 2022). The goal is to collect quantitative data on the adoption rates of steel recycling and gather qualitative insights into industry perspectives, challenges, and motivations (Flick, 2018). A carefully designed sampling strategy will ensure a wide range of industry professionals are represented (Wiles, 2013).

3. Data Analysis (Quantitative & Qualitative) (Month 5-6):

After data collection is finished, statistical analysis will be performed to assess the economic and environmental impacts of steel recycling, using findings from the LCA and survey responses (Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill, 2019). Thematic analysis will be used to analyse the qualitative interview data, highlighting key themes related to the challenges and opportunities within the industry (Creswell and Poth, 2017). This stage is crucial for deriving meaningful conclusions from the research results (Flick, 2018).

4. Drafting the Report/Findings (Month 7-8):

After the analysis, the results will be organized into a well-structured research report, which will include the methodology, findings, discussions, and recommendations (Bell, Harley, and Bryman, 2022). The outcomes will be connected to the study's objectives and the existing

literature to emphasize their importance and potential impact on the construction industry (Bryman, 2016).

5. Final Revision & Submission (Month 9):

The final stage will consist of revising and improving the report based on feedback from academic advisors, industry experts, and peer reviewers (Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill, 2019). The report will be carefully reviewed for consistency, clarity, and accuracy before submission. Any required changes will be made to ensure the study's conclusions and recommendations are robust and well-supported (Wiles, 2013).

By following this timeline, the research will be conducted in a structured and organized manner, ensuring that each phase receives adequate focus and contributes to the overall success of the study.

Expected Outcomes

The aim of the study is to provide valuable insights into the practical, financial, and environmental impacts of recycling and reusing structural steel in the construction industry. It is expected that the findings will highlight both the benefits and challenges of expanding the use of these practices.

Potential Findings

This study is expected to highlight the significant economic and environmental advantages of recycling and reusing structural steel. These practices can help cut down on carbon emissions, energy use, and waste by decreasing the need for newly produced steel, thereby promoting more sustainable construction methods (Geyer, 2020). Lifecycle assessments (LCA) have demonstrated that recycling steel greatly reduces greenhouse gas emissions when compared to producing virgin steel, further supporting its role in a circular economy (World Steel Association, 2021).

From an economic standpoint, the findings could indicate that steel recycling is a cost-effective option, especially when factoring in long-term savings on waste disposal and material sourcing (Hao, Tam, and Zuo, 2020). Research shows that reusing steel components can reduce construction expenses while enhancing sustainability credentials, potentially providing a competitive edge in green building certification programs (Ding, 2022).

However, the study is also expected to highlight several challenges to the widespread adoption of steel recycling. These could include logistical issues, concerns about the strength and quality of recycled materials, and the absence of standardized industry regulations (Kibert, 2016). Some construction companies may view recycled steel as less dependable due to supply chain limitations and the additional processing required, which could hinder its use in projects (Chung and Ip, 2021). Addressing these challenges through improved deconstruction practices, better quality control, and regulatory incentives may help increase the acceptance of steel recycling within the industry (Tam, 2018).

Impact

The findings of this study could have important implications for both industry practices and policy. By offering evidence-based insights, the research could assist construction companies in making informed choices about integrating recycled steel into their projects (Geyer, 2020). Greater awareness of the economic and environmental advantages of steel recycling might motivate more

companies to consider sustainable alternatives, which could ultimately promote wider adoption within the industry (Tam, 2018).

The study's results could also contribute to the creation of best practices, industry standards, and regulatory frameworks that encourage the recycling and reuse of steel (World Steel Association, 2021). Policymakers and sustainability advocates might use these findings to introduce more formal regulations that promote the circular use of construction materials (Chung and Ip, 2021). Implementing clear legal guidelines and certification programs could further incentivize companies to incorporate recycled steel into their supply chains.

Overall, the findings could play a key role in promoting the circular economy in the construction industry by showing that sustainable steel practices are not only practical but also advantageous for both businesses and the environment (Hao, Tam and Zuo, 2020). A move toward increased material circularity in construction could result in long-term resource efficiency, lower carbon emissions, and stronger sustainability credentials throughout the sector (Ding, 2022).

Reference List

American Institute of Steel Construction (n.d.) 'Structural Steel Sustainability'. Available at: <https://parallax.aisc.org/sustainability.aspx> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Bell, E., Harley, B. and Bryman, A. (2022) *Business research methods*. 6th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) 'Using thematic analysis in psychology', *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77–101.

Bryman, A. (2016) *Social research methods*. 5th edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Buzatu, C., Ungureanu, V. and Hradil, P. (2023) 'Environmental and economic impact of steel industrial buildings made with reclaimed elements', in Ungureanu, V. et al. (eds.) *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Materials, Systems and Structures*. Taylor & Francis. Available at: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/oa-edit/10.1201/9781003323020-160/environmental-economic-impact-steel-industrial-buildings-made-reclaimed-elements-buzatu-ungureanu-hradil> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Chung, J.K.H. and Ip, K. (2021) 'Steel reuse in construction: Barriers and opportunities', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, p. 126674.

Creswell, J.W. and Creswell, J.D. (2018) *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th edn. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

Densley Tingley, D., Cooper, S. and Cullen, J.M. (2017) 'Understanding and overcoming the barriers to structural steel reuse: A UK perspective', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 148, pp. 642–651. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.02.006. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S095965261730210X> (Accessed: 2 April 2025).

Ding, G.K.C. (2022) *Sustainable construction: The role of environmental assessment tools*. London: Routledge.

Flick, U. (2018) *An introduction to qualitative research*. 6th edn. London: SAGE Publications.

Geyer, R. (2020) *Resource efficiency and climate change: Material efficiency strategies for a low-carbon future*. Paris: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

Giorgi, S., Lavagna, M., Campioli, A. and Pagnoncelli, M. (2022) 'Material circularity and life cycle assessment as complementary tools for evaluating sustainable building materials', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 80, p. 103784. DOI: 10.1016/j.scs.2022.103784. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2210670722003582> (Accessed: 2 April 2025).

Guest, G., MacQueen, K.M. and Namey, E.E. (2012) *Applied thematic analysis*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

Hao, J.L., Tam, V.W.Y. and Zuo, J. (2020) 'Recycling and reusing steel in the construction sector: A sustainability perspective', *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 158, p. 104811.

Infra-Metals Co. (2023) 'Sustainability of Structural Steel'. Available at: <https://www.infra-metals.com/sustainability-of-structural-steel/> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

ISO (2006) *ISO 14040: Environmental management—Life cycle assessment—Principles and framework*. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.

Kanyilmaz, A., Birhane, M., Fishwick, R. and del Castillo, C. (2023) 'Reuse of Steel in the Construction Industry: Challenges and Opportunities', *International Journal of Steel Structures*. DOI: 10.1007/s13296-023-00778-4. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13296-023-00778-4> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Kibert, C.J. (2016) *Sustainable construction: Green building design and delivery*. 4th edn. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.

Metsec (2020) 'The Environmental Impact of Steel Explained'. Available at: <https://www.metsec.com/the-environmental-impact-of-steel/> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Morioka, S.N. and Bolis, I. (2020) 'Sustainable value generation: A cost-benefit analysis of circular economy practices in construction', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 270, p. 122292.

Nowell, L.S., Norris, J.M., White, D.E. and Moules, N.J. (2017) 'Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria', *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), pp. 1–13.

Patton, M.Q. (2015) *Qualitative research and evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*. 4th edn. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

Pomponi, F. and Moncaster, A. (2017) 'Circular economy for the built environment: A research framework', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, pp. 710–718. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.055. Available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0959652616320650> (Accessed: 2 April 2025).

Puma, G.C.C., Salles, A., Turk, J., Ungureanu, V. and Bragança, L. (2024) 'Utilisation of reused steel and slag: Analysing the circular economy benefits through three case studies', *Buildings*, 14(4), p.

979. DOI: 10.3390/buildings14040979. Available at: <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-5309/14/4/979> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019) *Research methods for business students*. 8th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education.

Shyam Steel (2023) 'The Benefits of Using Recycled Steel in Construction: Environmental and Economic Advantages'. Available at: <https://shyamsteel.com/blogs/the-benefits-of-using-recycled-steel-in-construction-environmental-and-economic-advantages/> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Singh, A., Berghorn, G., Joshi, S. and Syal, M. (2017) 'Review of life-cycle assessment applications in building construction', *Journal of Building Engineering*, 9, pp. 10–25.

Steel Construction Institute (2016) 'Barriers to structural steel reuse and means of overcoming them'. Available at: <https://asbp.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Barriers-to-structural-steel-reuse-and-means-of-overcoming-them-SCI-Report-1.pdf> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

SteelConstruction.info (n.d.) 'Recycling and reuse'. Available at: https://www.steelconstruction.info/Recycling_and_reuse (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Tam, V.W.Y. (2018) *Re-use of structural steel in construction: Challenges and strategies*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Tracy, S.J. (2020) *Qualitative research methods: Collecting evidence, crafting analysis, communicating impact*. 2nd edn. Hoboken: Wiley-Blackwell.

Wiles, R. (2013) *What are qualitative research ethics?* London: Bloomsbury.

World Steel Association (2021) *Sustainable steel: At the core of a green economy*. Brussels: World Steel Association.

World Steel Association (n.d.) 'Life cycle assessment in the steel industry'. Available at: <https://worldsteel.org/wider-sustainability/life-cycle-thinking/life-cycle-assessment-in-the-steel-industry/> (Accessed: 1 April 2025).

Yin, R.K. (2018) *Case study research and applications: Design and methods*. 6th edn. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.

Word Count: 4248 words (excluding headings and reference list)