

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	MOHAMMED NAHEEM KADIR
Title of Project:	How technology has made a huge impact on exercising
Supervisor:	James Williams
2 nd marker:	Kevin Palmer
Course:	☒ BIT BusComp CIS CNetS CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	☒ Survey Review Experiment Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
General lack of focus. Too descriptive, very little analysis or evaluation.	38½
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	
Agreed that work was worthy of a bare pass	42

Signature: K. Palmer Date:

Third marker (Gaynor Thomas) has inspected work and happy to agree on 42%

Gaynor Thomas 17/5/17

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	2 1/2 /5	/5	/5	/5
Literature Review	8 /20	/35	/20	/15

Comments:

Rather brief introduction, limited background presented. Aim needs to be clearer and more focussed. Reasonable objectives although some of the explanations are unclear

The literature review lacks focus - why discuss exercise equipment? unclear regarding link between personal and work based
A range of tracking technology identified but limited discussion of effectiveness etc.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	2 /5	/5	/5	/5
Survey Design	6 /15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

Basic information presented on research methodology. Apparently strategy selected was Archival Research although a survey was carried out
SMART cannot really be applied to individual questions of the questionnaire.

As for the literature review - why consider equipment?
No questions to link technology with exercise in the workplace

Lack of information on what technology is used
e.g. distance covered, heart rate etc.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	8 /25	/25	/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	3 /10	/10	/10	/10

Comments:

Basic graphs presented with accompanying textual description. No real analysis carried out e.g. does type / frequency of exercise vary with age? Evaluation is very weak - no critical appraisal presented.
No comparisons carried out between results of

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:

→ survey and published work regarding use of technology to support exercising
Debatable that objectives were addressed
e.g. ① How technology has impacted exercising
- no evidence
No valid conclusions drawn.
Limited suggestions for further work and recommendations

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	4 /10	/10	/10	/10
Quality of Report	5 /10	/10	/10	/10
Comments: Basic self reflection presented Report is well structured but more care needs to be taken to avoid typographical and grammatical errors. References should place less emphasis on the web and include some peer reviewed literature				
TOTAL:	38 1/2 /100	/100	/100	/100