

Separation of Powers Theory Uk

To ensure that there is no concentration of power in a single institution, democratic governance demands the following of the doctrine of separation of powers. In the UK's unique constitutional arrangement, the theory is partly followed. There is a more fluid system blending of executive, legislative, and judiciary branches in the UK, compared to systems with rigid systems, for example the US. *House of Commons Library. (2021)*. In this essay I will reflect on the separation of powers theory, its application in the UK, and its disadvantages and advantages. I will also examine the impact of the Constitutional Reform Act 2005 and make suggestions for any future revisions to ensure the UK's constitutional framework is improved. *Constitution Unit, UCL. (n.d.)*.

Under Montesquieu's initial formulation of the separation of powers, to prevent the abuse of power, the legislative, executive, and judicial should operate independently. *Journal of International and Comparative Law (JICL). (2020)*. Since the UK does not have a written constitution, there is no strict separation. By establishing the UK Supreme Court and removing the judicial function from the House of Lords, the constitutional Reform Act 2005 substantially altered this balance and asserted judicial independence. *House of Commons Library. (2021)*. The legislative and executive are still very much intertwined since the government ministers are selected from the Parliament, and it is hard to separate the two from each other though this was the correct direction for reform. *Constitution Unit, UCL. (n.d.)*.

A disadvantage of the UK's separation of powers theory is the relationship between the legislature and executive, which threatens effective oversight. Parliament's election of the Prime Minister and Cabinet may lead to dominance of the legislative process. By this, checks and balances are disabled, as the government seeks to exert substantial influence on parliamentary proceedings due to its usual majority membership in the House of Commons. *House of Commons Library. (2021)*. Government control over the legislative agenda can hinder oversight and opposition and therefore undermine Parliament's capacity for autonomous action. *UK Parliament. (n.d.)*.

Another substantial negative is the restricted scope of judicial review in the UK. Due to parliamentary supremacy, the Supreme Court is not entitled to invalidate important legislation enacted by Parliament. Unlike jurisdictions such as the US, where the courts are constitutional in supremacy, judges in the UK are not empowered to declare legislation unconstitutional. Parliament's passage of controversial legislation places a limitation on the ability of the judiciary to enforce fundamental rights, therefore leaving little room for judicial challenge of potentially oppressive laws. *Journal of International and Comparative Law (JICL). (2020)*.

In addition, the absence of a completely codified constitution leads to uncertainty about the division of powers. In the UK, the distribution of powers is not outlined in a single written instrument, but rather in a combination of statutes, common law, and constitutional traditions. This dependence on unspoken traditions may result in a hazy power structure and possible abuses of authority by the government. As seen by recent discussions over executive authority and legal arguments surrounding Brexit, the lack of codified constitution raises the possibility of constitutional crises. *House of Lords Library (2020)*. Long term legal and political ambiguity might result from political disputes about the definition of power, which are most likely to happen in the absence of a clear and final framework. The absence of clear constitutional guidance may also make it clear for citizens to comprehend the boundaries of governmental authority, which could jeopardise democratic accountability and values. This circumstance might also lead to demands for a more codified and transparent constitution that more clearly defines the functions and duties of everybody's government. The UK's constitutional tradition and governing style would need to fundamentally change for such a transition to occur, though, and people who support the flexibility of the current system would object. *UK Parliament. (n.d.)*.

An important advantage of the UK's division of powers is the strengthening of judicial independence. Notwithstanding its difficulties, the UK's division of powers has a number of advantages. Judicial independence has the important benefit of strengthening the rule of law. With the establishment of the Supreme Court and the removal of judicial duties from the House of Lords, the Constitutional Reform Act of 2005 significantly strengthened the judiciary. *Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. (n.d.)*. By guaranteeing judges independence from political interference, this innovation strengthened the impartiality of legal judgement. Therefore, the court can support fundamental rights and serve as a check on executive excess, consequently promoting a just legal system. *British Institute of Human Rights (BIHR). (n.d.)*.

Another benefit is that checks and balances encourage government accountability. Opportunities for examining executive activities are offered by parliamentary committees and procedures like Prime Minister's Questions. To examine government policies and hold ministers accountable. For example, select committees are essential. *House of Lords Constitutional Committee. (2006)*. By using these procedures, the public and elected officials are held accountable for those in authority. Through the prevention of unbridled power, these oversight mechanisms strengthen openness and sound government. *Constitution Unit, UCL. (n.d.)*.

Furthermore, the governance system in the UK is flexible, which might be helpful during emergencies. When needed, the combination of the Executive and Parliament enables prompt legislative action, as COVID- 19 pandemic showed. *Institute for Government. (2020)*. To address public health and economic issues, emergency laws and financial measures were swiftly adopted, demonstrating the effectiveness of the UK's adaptable constitutional framework. In contrast to strict constitutional systems that necessitate

lengthy legal proceedings in order to execute urgent measures, the UK's structure for prompt decision making, which can be extremely important during times of national emergency. Moreover, a system with a greater balance and less concentration in one arm of government may result from such reforms. A stronger division of powers would help guarantee that no branch can function without oversight, safeguarding individual freedoms and promoting a more robust democracy. *House of Lords Library (2020)*. For example, an elected House of Lords would demonstrate a more transparent and representative approach to legislation, guaranteeing that all laws enacted by Parliament are examined by a body that is directly answerable to the people. As a result, judgements made throughout the legislative process would have more validity. *Wintour, P. (2025)*.

Several measures could be put into place to strengthen the separation of powers in the UK. First, by codifying the constitution, the divisions between the several parts of government would become more obvious, eliminating uncertainty and bolstering the rule of law. In order to prevent the administration from going beyond its bounds, a written constitution would formally define the boundaries of each branch's power.

House of Commons Library. (2021).

Second, in order to improve the government's scrutiny, more robust parliamentary oversight procedures might be implemented. Legislative monitoring could be improved by giving opposition parties more resources, restricting executive control over parliamentary processes, and bolstering the independence of Select Committees. By doing this, the executive branch's hegemony over Parliament would be lessened. *House of Lords Library. (2020)*.

Another possible reform is extending judicial review authority to enable courts to reverse laws that violate fundamental rights is another possible reform. Giving the Supreme Court the power to declare laws unconstitutional would greatly improve judicial checks and balances, even if it would necessitate a change in the UK constitutional principles.

The Law Society. (n.d.).

Lastly, the House of Lords may become a more legitimate and effective check on presidential power if it were reformed by becoming a fully elected upper chamber. By guaranteeing that legislative review is carried out by representatives answerable to the public rather than appointed members, an elected House of Lords would offer an extra democratic protection. *Wintour, P. (2025)*.

To conclude, even while the UK's division of powers architecture includes checks and balances, it is still not flawless. A major obstacle is the combination of the legislative and executive branches. Since the Prime Minister and Cabinet are chosen from Parliament, they frequently have a great deal of influence over legislative actions, which makes it harder for Parliament to examine the government on its own. *House of Commons Library. (2021)*. This combination undermines the monitoring function of Parliament by allowing the government to shape the legislative agenda, weakening the system of checks and balances. However, the UK system does have some advantages, especially the judicial

independence established by the 2005 Constitutional Reform Act. *Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. (n.d.)*

A major step toward a more independent and unbiased court was taken with the creation of the Supreme Court and the separation of judicial duties from the House of Lords. However, protecting fundamental rights and preventing government overreach are severely hampered by the lack of a fully codified constitution and the restrictions on judicial review. *Journal of International and Comparative Law (JICL). (2020).*

There are two sides to the UK system's flexibility as well. In times of crisis, like the COVID- 19 epidemic, it permits quick parliamentary action; nevertheless, this same flexibility also raises the possibility of presidential supremacy. The system is vulnerable to political scheming and interpretation due to the absence of a written constitution and the dependence on unwritten traditions, which can lead authority and legal conflicts pertaining to Brexit have demonstrated this, as the absence of precise constitutional direction has increased ambiguity and sparked worries about the separation of powers. Looking ahead, a few key reforms could really strengthen the UK's constitutional framework. For one, a codified constitution would clear up any confusion, making sure the separation of powers is well defined. *House of Commons Library. (2021).* Plus, improving parliamentary scrutiny and expanding judicial review would give stronger checks on executive actions. These changes would help ensure better transparency, accountability, and protection of citizens rights, leading to a more balanced and resilient system of governance. *Institute for Government. (2020).*

Reference List

British Institute of Human Rights (BIHR). (n.d.). Separation of powers, parliamentary sovereignty & the rule of law. Available at:
<https://www.bihar.org.uk/get-informed/legislation-explainers/separation-of-powers-parliamentary-sovereignty-the-rule-of-law>

Constitution Unit, UCL. (n.d.). The Constitution Unit. Available at:
<https://www.ucl.ac.uk/constitution-unit/>

House of Commons Library. (2021). The UK constitution: the separation of powers. Available at:
<https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN06053/SN06053.pdf>

House of Lords Constitutional Committee. (2006). The Constitution: Parliamentary Sovereignty. Available at:
<https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200607/ldselect/ldconst/151/15110.htm>

House of Lords Library. (2020). Use and scrutiny of emergency powers during the coronavirus pandemic: Constitution Committee report. Available at:
<https://lordslibrary.parliament.uk/use-and-scrutiny-of-emergency-powers-during-the-coronavirus-pandemic-constitution-committee-report/>

Institute for Government. (2020). The role of Parliament during the coronavirus crisis. Available at:
<https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/parliament-role-coronavirus.pdf>

The Law Society. (n.d.). Judicial review reform. Available at:
<https://www.lawsociety.org.uk/topics/human-rights/judicial-review-reform>

UK Parliament. (n.d.). Parliamentary sovereignty. Available at:
<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/role/sovereignty/>

Wintour, P. (2025). Abolition of hereditary peers: Labour's Lords reform plan. The Guardian. Available at:
<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2025/mar/03/abolition-hereditary-peers-labour-lords-reform>

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom. (n.d.). Separation of powers: worksheets for teachers. Available at:
https://www.supremecourt.uk/uploads/separation_of_powers_worksheets_for_teachers_14634b8b9f.pdf

Journal of International and Comparative Law (JICL). (2020). [No title available]. Journal of International and Comparative Law, [online] Available at:
<https://www.jicl.org.uk/storage/journals/November2020/CSQIb3YEdiRtgqnicDFI.pdf>

